## South Dakota State University Open PRAIRIE: Open Public Research Access Institutional Repository and Information Exchange

**Economics Commentator** 

Economics

11-9-1972

# General Revenue Sharing

Allyn O. Lockner South Dakota State University

Follow this and additional works at: http://openprairie.sdstate.edu/econ\_comm Part of the <u>Agricultural and Resource Economics Commons</u>, and the <u>Regional Economics</u> <u>Commons</u>

#### **Recommended** Citation

Lockner, Allyn O., "General Revenue Sharing" (1972). *Economics Commentator*. Paper 7. http://openprairie.sdstate.edu/econ\_comm/7

This Newsletter is brought to you for free and open access by the Economics at Open PRAIRIE: Open Public Research Access Institutional Repository and Information Exchange. It has been accepted for inclusion in Economics Commentator by an authorized administrator of Open PRAIRIE: Open Public Research Access Institutional Repository and Information Exchange. For more information, please contact michael.biondo@sdstate.edu.



No. 8

November 9, 1972

#### GENERAL REVENUE SHARING

The State and Local Fiscal Assistance Act of 1972, H. R. 14370, became law on October 20th. This legislation appropriates \$30.2 billion to a special fund for distribution among state and local governments. The program, retroactive to January 1, 1972, at an initial annual level of \$5.3 billion, will run until December 31, 1976. The annual distribution after the first year will be increased by \$150 million a year.

This issue of the <u>Economics News-</u> <u>letter</u> summarizes the main provisions of this Act and shows the estimated amounts going to local governments in South Dakota during calendar 1972.

## <u>Eligibility</u>

All states and all general purpose local governments (<u>organized</u> counties, townships and <u>incorporated</u> municipalities) are eligible to receive the funds. There is no population cut-off for local governments. Local jurisdictions may not receive over 50% of their adjusted taxes (excluding taxes levied for education) plus intergovernmental transfers. The minimum allocation is \$200. In addition, a local government may not receive less than 20 percent, nor more than 145 percent of the per capita allocation to all local governments in that state.

## Allocation Among States

The Act allocates to each state for each entitlement period the greater of two amounts computed by the following methods: 1. A three-factor formula allocating to each state an amount in the same ratio to \$5.3 billion as the figure produced by the state's population, multiplied by its state and local government tax effort, multiplied by its relative income is to the figure produced by the same factors for the nation as a whole.

2. A five-factor formula allocating \$3.5 billion among the states according to population (one-third), urbanized population (one-third), and population weighted by per capita income (one-third); and the remaining \$1.8 billion according to individual income tax collections by state governments (one-half) and the general tax effort of the state and local governments (one-half).

South Dakota's allocation for the 1972 calendar year is based on the three-factor formula.

## Allocation Within States

The Act also allocates one-third of each state's entitlement to the state government and the remaining twothirds to local governments within the state. The local governments' portion is divided as follows:

1. Allocated to each county area within a state is an amount computed on the basis of population, tax effort and relative income. 2. Allocated to each county government is an amount determined by the ratio of its tax collections to total tax collections by all governments in the county.

3. Allocated among all township governments within a county is a total amount determined by their combined share of tax collections, with each township's amount determined by population, tax effort, and relative income.

4. Allocated to municipal governments is the remainder of the county's share determined according to population, tax effort and relative income.

There are also monies allocated to the governing bodies of local Indian tribes on the basis of population.

States are permitted to legislate optional formulas for distributing local government funds by population and tax effort, or by population and relative income, or by both.

A state government's revenue-sharing entitlement is reduced if it reduces transfers of state funds to local governments. (The penalty could be reduced or offset if the state assumed responsibility for expenditures previously made by local governments or has conferred new taxing authority on local governments to provide the funds.)

#### <u>Use of Shared Funds</u>

The Act requires local governments to use revenue-sharing funds only for "priority expenditures": capital expenditures authorized by law or maintenance and operating expenditures on public safety (including, but not limited to, law enforcement, fire protection and building code enforcement), environmental protection (including, but not limited to, sewage disposal, sanitation and pollution abatement), public transportation (including, but not limited to, transit systems and streets and roads), health, recreation, libraries, social services for the poor and aged, and financial administration. There are no restrictions on use of funds received by the state governments.

The Act also contains other provisions --- including those pertaining to the handling and reporting on use of shared monies, prohibition of discrimination, wages to be paid out of shared funds, federal collection of state income taxes, and various ceilings on grants for social services.

#### CALENDAR 1972 GENERAL REVENUE SHARING IN SOUTH DAKOTA

For calendar year 1972, \$25.1 million is to be distributed in South Dakota, with approximately \$8.4 million going to state government and \$16.7 million going to local governments.

In the following table Column (1) presents the total amounts going to all local governmental units within each county itself. Column (2) presents the total amounts going to county governments. Column (3) presents the total amount going to all townships in the county. Where there are no townships, or township governments do not raise any revenue, a "0" is shown. Column (4) presents the total amount going to all municipalities with populations of under 2,500. Column (5) presents the amounts going to each municipality within the county with a population of over 2,500. Names of the municipalities appear after the amounts. All amounts are preliminary estimates that may be revised when the United States Treasury finalizes plans to issue revenue-sharing checks to state and local governments. Distributions to Indian tribes are not reflected in the table.

CALENDAR	1972	GENERAL	REVENUE	SHARING	ΙÑ	SOUTH	DAKOTA
•		Amos	mto in T	7077000			

(1) County Area 152,556 567,942 74,627 312,807 437,702 978,351 214,082 63,422 200,189 80,278	(2) County Gov't. 118,181 310,444 50,310 247,764 284,060 477,928 131,270 61,486	(3) All Townships 14,204 31,536 2,096 0 48,237 49,919	(4) All Cities Under 2,500 20,170 19,308 22,221 65,043	(5) All Cities Over 2,500 0 206,653 - Huron 0
Area 152,556 567,942 74,627 312,807 437,702 978,351 214,082 63,422 200,189 80,278	Gov't. 118,181 310,444 50,310 247,764 284,060 477,928 131,270	<u>Townships</u> 14,204 31,536 2,096 0 48,237	Under 2,500 20,170 19,308 22,221 65,043	Over 2,500 0
152,556 567,942 74,627 312,807 437,702 978,351 214,082 63,422 200,189 80,278	118,181 310,444 50,310 247,764 284,060 477,928 131,270	14,204 31,536 2,096 0 48,237	20,170 19,308 22,221 65,043	0
567,942 74,627 312,807 437,702 978,351 214,082 63,422 200,189 80,278	310,444 50,310 247,764 284,060 477,928 131,270	31,536 2,096 0 48,237	19,308 22,221 65,043	0 206,653 - Huron 0
74,627 312,807 437,702 978,351 214,082 63,422 200,189 80,278	50,310 247,764 284,060 477,928 131,270	2,096 0 48,237	22,221 65,043	206,653 - Huron 0
312,807 437,702 978,351 214,082 63,422 200,189 80,278	247,764 284,060 477,928 131,270	0 48,237	65,043	0
437,702 978,351 214,082 63,422 200,189 80,278	284,060 477,928 131,270	48,237		-
978,351 214,082 63,422 200,189 80,278	477,928 131,270		A A A	0
214,082 63,422 200,189 80,278	131,270	49,919	16 <b>,</b> 834	88,572 – Brookings
63,422 200,189 80,278			36,942	413,562 - Aberdeen
200,189 80,278	61 <i>1</i> 96	14 <b>,</b> 465	19 <b>,</b> 296	49,051 – Chamberlain
80,278		1 <b>,</b> 937	0	0
	163,275	2,130	6,067	28,717 - Belle Fourch
	69,251	0	11,027	· 0 · · ·
344,610	204,580	61,426	78,604	0
201,135	148,862	24,242	28,030	0
199,595 <sup>a</sup>	132,588	27,801	39,206	37,999 - Vermillion
380,009	231,310	17,457	7,348	123,894 - Watertown
174,175	108,000	22,463	43,712	. <b>O</b>
116,042	77,162	0	38 <b>,</b> 880	0
475,927	236,640	13,239	11,309	214,740 - Mitchell
317,767	266,218	27,187	24,362	0
134,533	94,852	22,558	17 <b>,</b> 122	0
47,171	39,547	1,191	6,432	0
166,634	129,461	18,800	18,372	0
202,338	141,672	23,144	37 <b>,</b> 522	0
188,370	121,656	2,943	14 <b>,</b> 303	49,468 - Hot Springs
131,119	102,888	13,802	14,429	0
255,302	173,253	30,175	13 <b>,</b> 338	38,536 – Milbank
244,717	179,282	21,812	43 <b>,</b> 623	0
74,642	59,078	. 0	15,564	0
184,739	112,367	26,663	45,709	0
194,698	136,427	24,855	33,416	0
137,895	108,194	15,204	14,497	0
				0
				66,924 - Pierre
-				0
	68,423		12,575	0
	30,500	2,128		0
				0
				0
279,254				0
280,243				9,364 - Madison
400 <b>,</b> 903	200,994	723	48 <b>,</b> 966	99,502 – Lead
				50,718 – Spearfish
			22,127	20,625 – Canton
				0
				0
		3,134		0
		26,858		0
				50,691 - Sturgis
			7,021	0
	107,219	23,469		0
	504,843			989,343 – Sioux Falls
	218,213			0
,016,457	449,378			525,926 - Rapid City
	145.391	8,411	20,126	0
173,928 162,257	56,916	88,442	14,900	
	67,653 204,772 371,469 91,723 42,558 120,717 68,637 279,254 280,243 400,903 381,479 110,109 264,265 183,155 217,546 264,773 88,048 162,439 616,254 277,978 016,457 173,928	204,772 127,644   371,469 262,782   91,723 63,423   42,558 30,500   120,717 91,566   68,637 42,614   279,254 207,245   280,243 <sup>a</sup> 227,055   400,903 200,994   381,479 291,043   110,109 83,551   264,265 177,505   183,155 154,602   217,546 173,977   264,773 205,592   88,048 71,500   16,254 504,843   277,978 218,213   016,457 449,378	$204,772$ $127,644$ $5,864$ $371,469$ $262,782$ $40,093$ $91,723$ $68,423$ $10,726$ $42,558$ $30,500$ $2,128$ $120,717$ $91,566$ $10,838$ $68,637$ $42,614$ $8,783$ $279,254$ $207,245$ $35,126$ $280,243^{a}$ $227,055$ $42,908$ $400,903$ $200,994$ $723$ $381,479$ $291,043$ $47,685$ $110,109$ $83,551$ $11,936$ $264,265$ $177,505$ $40,724$ $183,155$ $154,602$ $3,134$ $217,546$ $173,977$ $26,858$ $264,773$ $205,592$ $2,053$ $88,048$ $71,500$ $9,528$ $162,439$ $107,219$ $23,469$ $616,254$ $504,843$ $44,791$ $277,978$ $218,213$ $39,380$ $016,457$ $449,378$ $11,999$	$67, 653$ $65, 608$ $558$ $1, 487$ $204, 772$ $127, 644$ $5, 864$ $4, 340$ $371, 469$ $262, 782$ $40, 093$ $68, 594$ $91, 723$ $68, 423$ $10, 726$ $12, 575$ $42, 558$ $30, 500$ $2, 128$ $9, 930$ $120, 717$ $91, 566$ $10, 838$ $18, 313$ $68, 637$ $42, 614$ $8, 783$ $17, 241$ $279, 254$ $207, 245$ $35, 126$ $36, 883$ $280, 243^{a}$ $227, 055$ $42, 908$ $10, 280$ $400, 903$ $200, 994$ $723$ $48, 966$ $381, 479$ $291, 043$ $47, 685$ $22, 127$ $110, 109$ $83, 551$ $11, 936$ $14, 621$ $264, 265$ $177, 505$ $40, 724$ $46, 036$ $183, 155$ $154, 602$ $3, 134$ $25, 419$ $217, 546$ $173, 977$ $26, 858$ $16, 711$ $264, 773$ $205, 592$ $2, 053$ $6, 437$ $88, 048$ $71, 500$ $9, 528$ $7, 021$ $162, 439$ $107, 219$ $23, 469$ $31, 752$ $616, 254$ $504, 843$ $44, 791$ $77, 277$ $277, 978$ $218, 213$ $39, 380$ $20, 385$

.

•

(continued)					
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
	County	County	AZZ	All Cities	All Cities
County	Area	Gov't.	Townships	Under 2,500	Over 2,500
Sanborn	134,831	111,289	12,841	10,701	0
Shannon	0	0	0	0	0
Spink	386,405	278,148	38,719	26,225	43,312 - Redfield
Stanley	89,608	84,892	. 0	4,716	0
Sully	86,143	80,688	682	4,773	0
Todd	0	0	0	0	0
Tripp	217,493	168,956	21,196	3,153	24,187 – Winner
Turner	360,036	281,355	27,860	50, 821	0
Union	191,444	136,005	23,833	31,605	0
Walworth	286,001	216,173	0	17,509	52,320 – Mobridge
Washabaugh	0	0	0	0	0
Yankton	335,807	203,959	15,907	7,777	108,165 - Yankton
Ziebach	75,741	67,000	0	8,741	0

<sup>a</sup>Amounts for Columns (2), (3), (4) and (5) do not add to total for this county area. Source: Letter and enclosures from Philip Dearborn, Consultant, Advisory Commission on Intergovernmental Relations, Washington, D.C., dated October 12, 1972.

Allyn O. Lockner, Professor of Economics

An Equal Opportunity Employer

POSTAGE AND FEES PAID U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE AGR 101



COOPERATIVE EXTENSION SERVICE U. S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE SOUTH DAKOTA STATE UNIVERSITY Brookings, S. D. 57006 OFFICIAL BUSINESS