South Dakota State University Open PRAIRIE: Open Public Research Access Institutional Repository and Information Exchange

Economics Commentator

Economics

2-26-1976

Food Prices, Farm Prices, and Marketing Margins

Robert J. Antonides South Dakota State University

Follow this and additional works at: http://openprairie.sdstate.edu/econ_comm

Part of the <u>Agricultural and Resource Economics Commons</u>, and the <u>Regional Economics</u>
Commons

Recommended Citation

Antonides, Robert J., "Food Prices, Farm Prices, and Marketing Margins" (1976). *Economics Commentator*. Paper 86. http://openprairie.sdstate.edu/econ_comm/86

This Newsletter is brought to you for free and open access by the Economics at Open PRAIRIE: Open Public Research Access Institutional Repository and Information Exchange. It has been accepted for inclusion in Economics Commentator by an authorized administrator of Open PRAIRIE: Open Public Research Access Institutional Repository and Information Exchange. For more information, please contact michael.biondo@sdstate.edu.

Economics Newsletter

Editor: Robert J. Antonides Extension Economist

Economics Department

South Dakota State University

Brookings 57006

(605) 688-4141

No. 87

February 26, 1976

FOOD PRICES, FARM PRICES, AND MARKETING MARGINS

(Editors note: Marketing margins are defined as the difference between retail cost of all foods originating on U.S. farms sold in retail food stores and the farm value. The retail cost is a component of the Consumer Price Index. The farm value is the payment to the farmers for equivalent quantities of food products less an allowance for byproducts.)

Although continuing some six to seven percent above the first half of 1975, retail food prices are expected to rise about one percent each quarter during the first half of 1976.

Retail food prices in 1975 averaged about 8½ percent above 1974. This compares with average increases of about 14½ percent for each of the two preceding years. The Consumer Price Index includes other food items than those produced in the U.S. such as sea food, coffee, bananas and imported sugar. Prices of the CPI items increased more in 1975 on the average than did the market-basket foods.

Farm-to-retail marketing spreads this year will again probably have more to do with how much retail food prices rise than will farm prices. See Table 1. However, the increase in marketing spreads should moderate from the nine percent rise in 1975, primarily because of some prospects for a slower increase in prices of materials and services purchases by food marketing firms.

Much of the increase in marketing margins early this year will be a carry-over from the high levels for meat that developed last fall as cattle and hog prices dropped sharply. Spreads for bakery and cereal products and fat and oil products, which also are at high levels, should contract some as retail prices are adjusted to reflect the drop in grain and oil-seed prices last fall. Spreads for other foods may increase

gradually during the year reflecting rising costs of inputs purchases by food marketing firms.

Table 1.	Market	Basket	of	Farm	Foods
	Retail	Farm		Farm-Retail	
Year	cost	value		spread	
		1967=1	100		
1967	100.0	100.0		100.	. 0
1968	103.6	105.3		102.	. 5
1969	109.1	114.8		105.	. 5
1970	113.7	114.1		113.	. 4
1971	115.7	114.4		116.	. 5
1972	121.3	125.1		118.	. 9
1973	142.3	167.2		126.	. 5
1974	161.9	178.4 151.		. 4	
1975	173.6	186.7		165.	. 3

Month-to-month variations in the spread for a market basket of farm foods may be simply differences between changes in retail and farm prices. But over a longer period, the margin represents charges incurred between the farm and consumer for assembling, processing, transporting, and distributing farm products.

In 1975, higher retail prices raised the cost of the farm-food market basket to \$1,878, up about \$127 or seven percent for the year. However, this was only about half the rise in both 1973 and 1974.

Most of the rise in food prices last year was the result of tight meat supplies and sharply increasing farmretail spreads for processed crop products. Higher retail prices for livestock products, particularly pork, accounted for about half of the rise in the retail cost of the market basket.

Marketing spreads accounted for almost three-fourths of last year's rise in retail food prices, the farm side representing the other fourth.

The farm-retail spread for foods averaged about \$1,094 in 1975, up \$92 or nine percent from 1974. This was a slow-down from the record increase of 20 percent in 1974, but well ahead of the six percent gain in 1973 and the two percent rise in 1972. Marketing spreads have increased every year during the past decade.

The farm value of foods in the market basket averaged \$782 in 1975, up five percent from 1974. Values were sharply higher for hogs, poultry, and fruits and vegetables, but lower for eggs, oilseeds and sugar.

Despite the increasing farm-retail margins, it is unfair to assign it all to the middleman. His costs have risen, too, and tend to be quite inflexible with built-in increases in labor costs (including wage increases, escalation contracts and fringe benefits), energy, taxes, and other items that tend to rise The farmer's share of continuously. the market value of goods is reflected This table does not in Table 2 below. exhibit a radical reduction in the share taken by the producer, either over a nine year span or within the last year or two.

Table	2.Farmers'	Share	of Marke	t Basket
Year	Percent		Year	Percent
1967	39		1973	
		- 11	1st Qtr	44
1968	39	- 11	2nd Qtr	45
		-	3rd Qtr	49
1969	41		4th Qtr	44
1970	39		1974	
		11	1st Qtr	45
1971	38	11	2nd Qtr	41
		11	3rd Qtr	42
1972	40		4th Qtr	42
1973	46		1975	
		11	1st Qtr	40
1974	43	11	2nd Qtr	42
		11	3rd Qtr	44
1975	42		4th Qtr	42

In summary, prices in the first half of 1976 should continue above a year ago and, along with increasing output, will boost cash receipts from livestock and products. Although crop prices are expected to remain under last year's levels, larger volumes of marketings and generally stronger domestic and foreign demands will help maintain cash receipts. Production expenses will probably continue upward, but at a slower pace.

Marketing costs will also rise some, thus resulting in higher retail costs but not at the pace of recent quarters and years. Some relief for producers, marketers and consumers appears in sight.

> --Robert J. Antonides, Extension Economist-Marketing--

(3400 printed at an estimate cost of 1¢ each for educational purposes) Educational programs and materials are offered to all people without regard to race, color, religion, sex or national origin.

Cooperative Extension Service U. S. Department of Agriculture South Dakota State University Brookings, South Dakota 57006

OFFICIAL BUSINESS
PENALTY FOR PRIVATE USE \$300

AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER

Postage and Fees Paid U. S. Department of Agriculture AGR 101

Third class mail (Bulk Mail)

