

CENTRAL CROPS AND SOILS  
RESEARCH STATION

Highmore, South Dakota

INTRODUCTION

This is an annual report of the Central Crops and Soils Research Station. The experimental area is located one mile west of Highmore, South Dakota. The soils on which the Experiment Farm is located have developed over glacial drift, a dark colored shale, and alluvial deposits consisting of sediments derived from the two other materials.

A field tour for 1973 was not scheduled but the station was and is open to visitors at all times.

The advisory board meeting in March of 1973 outlined the immediate research problems as follows: Minimum tillage, Cultural practices, Commercial fertilizer use in corn production, Variety trials of soybeans, mustard, and sunflowers, and stubble mulching for soil and moisture conservation.

---

This report was prepared by members of the South Dakota Agricultural Experiment Station. It is an annual report and results published herein are therefore neither complete nor conclusive.



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
<b>Weather Data</b>	3
<b>Small Grain Variety Testing</b>	
Rye	4
Winter Wheat	4
Winter Triticales	5
Spring Wheat	6
Flax	6
Oats	7
Spring Barley	8
<b>Specialty Crop Teeting</b>	
Sunflower Yield Trial	8
Miscellaneous Specialty Crops	10
<b>Soybean Yield Testing</b>	10
<b>Sorghum Performance Teeting</b>	
Sorghum Breeding	11
Grain Sorghum Performance Testing	12
Sorghum Forage Testing	12
<b>Crop Production and Mmagement</b>	
Seed Treatment Studies of Winter Wheat	17
Date of Planting Study of Grain Sorghum	17
Row Spacing Study of Grain Sorghum	20
<b>Crop Disease Control</b>	
Management of Stubble, Fallow, and Seed Bed Preparation for Wheat Streak Mosaic Control in Winter Wheat	21
<b>Agricultural Advisory Group - Central Crops &amp; Soils Station</b>	22

Table 1. Weather Data - Crops and Soils Research Station - Highmore, SD - 1972-73.

Month	1972				1973								Total
	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	June	July	Aug	
Rainfall in Inches*	Tr	1.83	1.04	1.41	0.64	0.34	1.90	1.54	2.22	0.92	2.06	1.44	
Longtime Average**	1.45	1.18	0.54	0.36	0.39	0.48	0.88	1.85	2.78	3.41	2.34	2.18	17.84
Departure from Longtime Average	-1.45	0.65	0.50	1.05	0.25	-0.14	1.02	-0.31	-0.56	-2.49	-0.28	-0.74	
Average Temperature*	62.0	46.8	30.6	13.4	18.8	24.4	40.0	45.5	57.4	68.8	75.5	72.4	
Longtime Average**	61.7	49.5	32.7	19.6	13.7	17.9	30.3	45.4	56.3	66.3	73.3	71.7	44.9
Departure from Longtime Average	0.3	-2.7	-2.1	-6.2	5.1	6.5	9.7	0.1	1.1	2.5	2.2	0.7	
Av. Monthly Maximum*	75.8	60.5	38.3	23.2	28.3	35.0	49.8	59.4	73.7	84.8	91.8	86.4	
Av. Monthly Minimum*	48.2	33.1	23.0	3.6	9.2	13.9	30.1	31.6	41.0	52.9	59.2	58.3	

Last killing frost, 1973 - May 14; Last frost in spring - May 22

First frost in fall - Sept. 26, 1972; First killing frost in fall - Oct. 6, 1972.

\*Data taken and recorded at Central Research Station.

\*\*Longtime averages were recorded at same location.

## SMALL GRAIN VARIETY TESTING

H. A. Geise, D. G. Wells, P. B. Price,  
D. L. Reeves and J. J. Bonnemann

Objective: To observe and compare small grain varieties and experimental strains for winterhardness, grain yield, disease resistance, and other characteristics of area adaptability.

### RYE

The plots were seeded by using a deep furrow drill. The seeding rate was 5 pecks or 70 pounds per acre. The soil was fertilized by applying 15 pounds of elemental phosphorus with the seed. The results of the trial are presented in Table 2.

Table 2. Standard Variety Rye Yield Trial - Central Research Station - 1973.

Variety	Yield - Bu/Acre			3 Yr Av.	Test Wt Lb/Bu
	1971	1972	1973		
Caribou	55.9	46.3	23.9	42.0	55
Coloma		53.7	43.1		55
Cougar	67.6	70.8	37.8	58.7	54
Frontier	70.2	74.9	43.4	62.8	57
Kodiak			40.0		52
Pearl	75.7	64.8	39.5	60.0	55
Von Lochow	75.6		47.0		56
Zelder	82.0		44.5		56
LSD (05) - 5.9 Bu/A		Mean - 39.9			
C.V. - 11.6%					

Note: Date of Planting: September 14, 1972  
Date of Harvest: July 10, 1973

### WINTER WHEAT

Winter wheat varieties were evaluated in 4 row rod length sized plots. They were fertilized by broadcasting 30 pounds of nitrogen per acre and applying 15 pounds of elemental phosphorus with the seed. The information is published in Table 3.

**Table 3. Standard Variety Winter Wheat Trial - Central Research Station, 1973.**

Variety or Selection	Test Wt-Lb/Bu	Yield-Bu/Acre
Sentinel	60	39.5
HiPlains	60	37.4
Eagle	62	37.1
Gage	60	37.1
Nebred	59	35.9
Bronze	60	35.7
Cloud	60	35.2
Scout 66	61	34.8
Sage	61	34.6
Trapper	59	34.5
Homestead	59	33.9
Centurk	60	32.9
Hume	60	32.9
Buckskin	60	32.5
SD 69103	58	32.5
Winoka	60	31.4
Minter	59	31.2
SD 7117	61	30.2
SD 69100	57	30.2
Scoutland	62	30.0
Lancer	59	28.3
Froid	57	26.7
LSD (05) - 6.8 Bu/A	C.V. - 14.5%	Mean - 33.4

**Table 4. Winter Triticales Yield Trial - Central Research Station, 1973.**

Variety or Selection	Test Wt-Lb/Bu	Yield-Lbs/Acre
Fas-Gro 131	48	1425

Note: All plots reported in Tables 3 and 4 were seeded on September 14, 1972 and harvested on July 19, 1973.

## SPRING WHEAT AND FLAX

All varieties reported in Tables 5 and 6 were seeded in fallow. The soil was fertilized by broadcasting 30 pounds of nitrogen per acre, and applying 15 pounds of phosphorus per acre with the seed when planted. The plots were seeded on April 6, and harvested with a self-propelled combine in late July.

**Table 5. Spring Wheat Variety Trial-Central Crops & Soils Research Station.**

Variety	Date of Heading	Height Inches	Lodging Percent	Test Wt Lbs/Bu	Yield Bu/A
<b>HARD RED SPRING</b>					
Waldron	June 7	31	5	51.3	33.4
Chris	9	31	0	52.9	30.2
Polk	10	31	2	54.6	29.2
Fortuna	8	32	5	51.5	28.7
Nowesta	7	30	2	50.5	27.8
Nordak	9	34	0	52.2	26.3
Manitou	7	32	0	51.5	26.3
<b>SEMI-DWARFS</b>					
Olaf	June 10	26	0	52.4	34.6
Bonanza	9	26	0	50.8	34.3
World Seeds 1809	7	27	0	51.4	33.8
Bounty 208	5	25	2	50.5	31.8
Era	9	26	0	49.5	31.8
Lark	7	25	5	50.5	31.8
<b>DURUM</b>					
Ward	June 10	30	2	55.1	33.0
Hercules	9	30	8	56.8	32.5
Leeds	10	31	8	57.5	31.9
Rolette	7	29	10	57.5	30.5
Wells	10	28	8	55.4	22.3
Harvested - July 10, 1973				Mean - 30.6	

**Table 6. Flax Variety Trial - Central Crops & Soils Research Station, 1973.**

Variety	Test Wt-Lbs/Bu	Yield-Bu/Acre
Linott	47.8	15.6
Nored	44.0	15.2
Summit	47.5	14.6
Windom	49.5	12.8
B5128	43.1	12.0
Notatac	47.8	10.7
Harvested - July 19, 1973		Mean - 13.5

OATS

All varieties listed in Tables 7 and 8 were seeded on fallow. The soil was fertilized by broadcasting nitrogen at the rate of 30 pounds per acre, while phosphorus was applied with the seed at the rate of 15 pounds of the element per acre. The plots were seeded on April 6, and harvested with a self-propelled combine on July 10.

Table 7. Oat Variety Trial - Crops & Soils Research Station-Highmore, SD-1973

Variety	Date of Heading	Height Inches	Lodging Percent	Test Wt Lbs/Bu	Yield Bu/A
Cayuse	June 16	30	2	31	80.0
Holden	12	32	0	35	74.5
Kelsey	15	32	2	34	74.2
Kota	12	34	8	35	73.8
Garland	9	30	2	35	72.8
Grundy	June 12	32	5	36	72.1
Diana	11	31	0	34	71.1
Dupree	10	32	5	35	69.4
Chief	10	33	0	34	68.0
Burnett	11	33	8	35	67.4
Nodaway 70	June 12	32	2	37	67.0
Otee	14	29	2	36	67.0
Trio	15	31	2	35	64.6
Lodi	17	34	2	32	61.9
Portal	17	32	2	36	60.9
Froker	June 10	33	8	35	60.6
M-72	10	31	8	34	58.9
Mammoth	18	36	10	34	57.5
Rodney	10	32	2	34	57.2
Pettis	15	31	10	37	56.5
Dal	10	30	5	32	55.4
Mean -					66.2

Table 8. Oat Variety Trial (Forage Type) - Central Crops & Soils Research Station

Variety	Height Inches	Forage Yield***			Grain Yield Bu/Acre
		% Dry Matter	Protein**	Tons/Acre	
Cayuse	30	81	12.3	5.4	80.0
Dal	30	81	10.7	6.0	55.4
Froker	33	82	11.4	6.4	60.6
Kelsey	32	83	12.2	6.4	74.2
Lodi	34	81	10.3	5.6	61.9
Portal	32	78	10.9	5.6	60.9
Mammoth	36	80	12.0	5.9	57.5
Rodney	32	78	11.1	5.7	57.2

\*\*Percent protein content was calculated from Kjeldahl nitrogen analysis and is reported on an oven-dry basis.

\*\*\*Forage yields are reported on a 12% moisture content.



## SPRING BARLEY

The plots were seeded in fallow and received 30 pounds of nitrogen fertilizer. Phosphorus fertilizer was applied at the rate of 15 pounds of elemental phosphorus per acre with the seed at planting time. The yields and other data are presented in Table 9. The plots were seeded April 6 and harvested July 10.

Table 9. Spring Barley Variety Trial - Central Crops & Soils Research Station.

Variety	Date of Heading	Height Inches	Lodging Percent	Test Wt Lbs/Bu	Yield-Bu/Acre	
					1973	3 Yr Av
Liberty	June 5	28	8	47	61.3	51.2
Burk	1	29	5	47	56.5	--
Firlbeck's III	1	26	10	46	51.6	48.6
Primus II	6	27	18	49	51.3	63.4
Prilar	5	30	12	44	51.3	52.3
Larker	4	30	18	45	48.8	51.7
Conquest	June 2	29	10	46	48.4	53.5
Beacon	5	28	25	42	42.9	--
Cree	6	28	22	43	42.9	48.5
Trophy	7	28	15	41	39.8	--
Nordic	7	29	30	42	39.5	48.3
Dickson	3	27	25	41	37.4	44.5

Mean - 50.8

## SPECIALTY CROP TESTING

H. A. Geise

**Objectives:** To observe and compare various specialty crop varieties and selections for grain yield, disease resistance, new management practices, and other characteristics for area adaptability.

### SUNFLOWER YIELD TRIAL

Sunflowers are grown as a crop for several purposes. The large-seed types are grown for whole-seed-uses in the confectionery trade. They are also preferred by some bird feeders because the large seed is less likely to attract sparrows and is less likely to be lost on the ground.

The small seeded varieties have a much thinner hull resulting in a proportionally larger meat. These thin hulled types are used primarily for their oil. Certain varieties contain over 50% high quality oil in the seed.

Seed yields reported in 1973 (Table 10) are extremely low because of bird damage. A large flock of sparrows moved into the field before the flowers were ready for harvest and within a short time had destroyed the plots.

Table 10. Sunflower Variety Trial - Central Crops & Soils Station - 1973

Variety	Date of Heading	Test Wt lb/Bu	Yield Lb/A
NONOILSEED VARIETIES			
SD68001	July 10	29	575
Mingren	16	23	558
Sundak	17	25	389
Commander	13	24	378
Arrowhead	11	27	357
		Nonoilseed Mean	- 451
OILSEED VARIETIES			
Luch	July 18	28	582
VNIIMK 8931-66	20	28	498
Record	23	29	477
Sputnik	19	21	392
Peredovik 66		21	392
OILSEED - GENETIC MALE STERILITY			
Roneum HS-52	July 23	26	605
OILSEED - CYTOPLASMIC MALE STERILITY			
Cargill 111	July 25	29	1051
(cmsHA89xHA234) x RHA271	20	32	680
cmsP21VR1 x RHA269	27	28	577
cmsHA234 x RHA271	18	32	572
cmsHA234 x RHA266	21	30	486
cmsHA234 x RHA269	25	28	473
cmsHA232 x RHA271	16	30	443
cmsHA99 x RHA271	27	31	402
cmsP21VR1 x RHA266	17	28	398
cmsP21VR1 x RHA265	29	26	350
Cargill 101	24	30	332
cmsHA232 x RHA265	22	28	332
cmsHA89 x RHA266	22	21	286
cmsHA89 x RHA271	23	31	288
cmsHA89 x RHA269	28	30	198
Cargill 102	28	30	170
cmsHA232 x RHA266	21	32	148
cmsHA99 x RHA266	25	30	148
cmsHA234 x RHA265	22	28	132
cmsHA99 x RHA265	29	28	131
cmsHA89 x RHA265	31	29	96
		Oilseed Mean	- 380
		Average Yield	- 392

A number of specialty crops were grown in observation plots in 1973. The crops are grown for the oil which is extracted from their seed. Specialty crops require new management techniques which must be utilized if the crops are to be successfully grown. They require different seedbed preparation, planting procedures, pest control practices, and harvesting procedures.

Table 11. Specialty Crop Testing - Crops & Soils Research Stations - 1973

Specialty Crop	Seed Yield - Pounds per Acre		
	Highmore	Brookings	Presho
Brown Mustard	229	1036	232
Yellow Mustard	414	671	222
Crambe	761	1683	675
Lentils	0	254	0
Oilseed Sunflowers	380	1726	---
Nonoilseed Sunflowers	451	1734	---

### SOYBEAN YIELD TESTING

A. O. Lunden

Soybeans were planted at Highmore to determine the most adapted variety and to study the effect of row spacing in anticipation of the western extension of the soybean belt in South Dakota. Narrow-rows are more desirable as they provide better ground cover against wind erosion and are easier to harvest. Five varieties were planted on May 21 in replicated split plots of 12 and 30 inch rows. Results of the test are presented in Table 12. Yields were not high but were acceptable in relation to the rainfall in 1973. Weed control was satisfactory although plant stands were lower than intended. Plant population was not as important as expected with some plots of 60-70,000 plants per acre yielding as well as plots with the desired 100,000 plants per acre. Plant height was, reduced with low stands and harvest was more difficult in these plots.

Table 12. Soybean Yields - Central Crops & Soils Research Station - 1973

Variety & Maturity	Row Spacing	Yield Bu/A	Height Inches	Seed Quality	Relative Pod Height	Post-Harvest Ground Cover
Corsoy-late	12"	17.9	20	good	good	good
	30"	15.0	23	good	good	poor
Hark-late	12"	15.2	18	good	fair	fair-good
	30"	14.1	21	good	poor	poor
Swift-early	12"	15.0	16	green	very poor	fair
	30"	12.1	20	green	poor	very poor
Steele-midseason	12"	16.1	20	sl. green	poor	fair to good
	30"	12.4	19	sl. green	poor	poor
Wells-late	12"	15.5	18	good	poor	fair to good
	30"	14.0	22	good	poor	poor

Corsoy was the best entry and narrow-row planting resulted in a 20% yield advantage for this variety. Seed quality was generally good but the earliest variety, Swift, had many green seeds and Steele had some immaturity. Pod height was too low for easy harvest but was better with narrow rows. Profitable soybean yields can be obtained in the Highmore area but drill planting should be considered in view of the wind erosion potential after soybeans and the limited ground cover left in the field after harvest.

## SORGHUM PERFORMANCE TESTING

### Sorghum Breeding

A. O. Lunden

Grain sorghum plantings in 1973 included Regional Uniform Test entries, experimental hybrids and early lines. All entries were planted on May 24 in 30 inch rows. The early lines were also included in a late drill-plant test. The narrow-row yield test, planted on June 7, was designed to evaluate three early-maturing lines for late planting. Sorghum yields were near average in 1973 in spite of very dry midsummer conditions. Yields are presented in Table 13.

The main advantages of late planting are less weeds and improved stand because growing conditions are much better for this tropical crop when planted in warm soil. The poor stands of both SD102 and SD104 planted in May and good stands from June planting demonstrate this fact. Greenbug populations were low on the Furadan treated early planting but severe lodging on the late planting may have been influenced by severe greenbug damage in that field.

SD104 is an excellent grain sorghum line for late planting, RS506 is good for early planting, and SD106 produces a good yield whether planted early or late.

Table 13. Grain Sorghum Yields-Central Crops & Soils Research Station - 1973

<u>Entry</u>	<u>Row Spacing</u>	<u>Planting Date</u>	<u>Percent Stand</u>	<u>Test Wt Lb/Du</u>	<u>Yield Lb/A</u>	<u>Height Inches</u>	<u>Percent Lodging</u>
RS610	30"	5-24	69	54	1610	34	4
RS506	30"	5-24	74	56	4010	42	11
SD451	30"	5-24	51	54	2350	43	5
SD106	30"	5-24	82	54	3690	34	9
SD104	30"	5-24	54	56	2270	33	8
SD102	30"	5-24	52	54	2520	37	5
SD106	12"	6- 7	89	52	2540	29	15
SD104	12"	6- 7	80	53	2540	29	78
SD102	12"	6- 7	82	52	2370	30	80

## Grain Sorghum Performance Testing

J. J. Bonnemann

**Objective:** To compare the performance of grain sorghum hybrid varieties as to yield and other agronomic characteristics.

Performance trials with grain sorghum hybrids have been conducted on a fee basis at the Central Research Station since 1962. Table 14 presents the 1973 yields and agronomic data. Long term averages and other information can be found in circulars entitled Grain Sorghum Performance Trials, South Dakota Agricultural Experiment Station.

## Sorghum Forage Testing

H. A. Geise

**Objective:** To compare the various forage sorghums and sudangrasses, or their hybrids as to their adaptability, their forage production, and their forage quality.

Sorghum forage testing at the Central Research Station is continued because of voluntary contribution of seed by commercial companies. With the exception of certain preselected "check" varieties, which will remain unidentified, all other seed is donated.

The research will not make interpretations or verbal comparisons of the trials, because of what may be misconstrued as "brand" favoritism.

When studying the tables, the reader should consider the extremely droughty conditions which occurred during the growing season. The lack of available moisture prevented heading and maturity, and thus altered such measurements as maturity, and plant type.

The data from these trials are presented in Tables 15, 16, 17, and 18. The plots were planted on May 30. Plant notes, sugar content of plant sap, moisture content, and forage yield measurements were taken and recorded on October 5.

Table 14. Grain Sorghum Performance Trial - Central Crops & Soils Station

Brand & Variety	Yield Lbs/A 1973	Test Weight lbs/Bu	Date of 50% Heading	Percent Lodging @Harvest	Percent Moisture 9/20/73
Western WS 201	4246	55	7-28	2	17
Northrup King NK180	4234	55	8- 1	2	29
SDAES SD106	4197	54	7-24	5	20
DeKalb A-25	3875	53	7-26	0	19
Pioneer 8681	3780	57	8- 7	0	34
ACCO R1010	3733	56	7-30	3	23
SDAES RS610	3627	56	8- 4	2	32
SDAES RS506	3595	56	7-27	20	26
Northrup King NK121	3492	56	7-28	0	22
DeKalb A-26	3416	54	7-31	0	22
SDAES SD451	3395	55	7-29	10	19
Pioneer 878	3383	57	8- 2	0	22
Pioneer 883	3260	56	8- 6	0	32
Pioneer 894	3132	57	7-28	3	21
DeKalb X1330	3099	56	7-24	3	16
Frontier 385	3072	56	8- 4	0	25
Asgrow Dorado E	3050	58	8- 2	0	26
Excel 202C	3048	56	8- 1	0	19
ACCO R920	2998	55	7-27	2	17
Funk's G393	2891	58	8- 4	0	30
Western WS102	2877	55	7-28	20	22
Horizon 25	2870	56	8- 3	0	29
Funk's Exp HW3843	2704	55	8- 7	0	31
SDAES SD104	2687	55	7-24	30	18
Frontier Super 400A	2650	56	8- 5	0	28
Frontier 400C	2504	55	8- 6	0	31
Frontier 389	2414	56	8- 5	0	31
Pioneer 866	2411	57	8- 6	0	32
Funk's G251	2403	57	7-29	0	18
Frontier 350	2164	56	7-30	0	19
Northrup King NK233A	2071	58	8- 3	0	26
Funk's Exp HW3075	1922	55	8- 8	0	34
Horizon 45	1691	56	8- 8	0	35.+ <sup>a</sup>
SDAES NB635	1781	56	8-12	0	35.+
Funk's G-399	1638	58	8- 7	0	30
Excel 9163	1159	59	8- 6	15	32
SDAES SD503	1034	56	7-30	2	25
LSD(05) - 1464 lbs/a	Mean-2890		C.V. - 31%		

<sup>a</sup>+ sign indicates moisture exceeded 35% which was the top range of the moisture meter used.

Note: Plots were seeded May 22 and harvested September 27. Yield data are an average of three replications.

Table 15. Performance Trial of Forage Sorghum Varieties - Central Crops & Soils Research Station, Highmore, SD - 1973

Brand & Variety	Date of Heading	Percent Lodging	Maturity* (1-5)	Height Inches	% Sugar in Bag	Plant** Type	Percent*** Protein	Forage Yield**** Tons/Acre
Acco FS531	9- 1	0	4	70	14.4	1	8.2	12.7
Pioneer 931	8-31	tr	4	73	18.0	1	9.5	12.6
Northrup King 24	8-31	4	4	68	14.5	1	9.8	12.2
Northrup King 25	8-11	tr	1	73	7.8	3	8.9	11.2
DeKalb FS-4	8-20	0	1	64	14.1	3	8.5	10.0
Rudy-Patrick Sumax	8- 6	0	1	64	11.6	3	7.2	9.2
Rudy-Patrick 55F	8-23	tr	3	67	12.2	3	8.7	9.2
Advance 1071F	8-18	0	2	72	12.1	3	9.4	9.1
Weathermaster 500B	8-25	0	3	59	6.0	3	9.3	8.5
Asgrow Beefbuilder T	8-22	0	4	61	18.2	2	7.9	8.5
Acco FS403R	8-22	0	2	63	23.6	3	8.5	7.9
Frontier FX352	8-17	0	2	66	15.3	3	8.1	7.2
Excel Silo-F111 33A	8-22	0	2	50	10.0	8	9.8	7.1
Northrup King 23	8-15	tr	2	64	14.0	3	7.1	7.1
Asgrow Dairy D	8-21	0	3	57	19.1	3	9.1	7.0
Sokota 300	8-11	tr	1	74	7.7	3	9.9	6.7
Frontier S-209	8-11	1	1	66	17.4	3	8.9	6.2
Waconia	8-14	2	1	69	22.8	4	7.2	5.9
Acco FS401R	8-16	0	1	50	10.9	8	10.2	5.9
Weathermaster 500R	8-16	tr	2	61	8.6	3	8.5	5.7
Frontier S-210	8-12	0	2	67	18.2	3	8.4	5.3
DeKalb FS-1b	8-22	0	2	38	14.1	8	10.4	5.2
Rudy-Patrick 22F	8- 4	1	1	55	17.1	4	8.8	5.2
DeKalb FS-1a	8-20	0	2	45	14.1	8	9.3	5.2
Northrup King 26	8- 1	6	1	68	11.1	4	7.7	4.8
SD XR873	8-12	1	1	51	6.0	8	8.5	4.6
Acco X7804	8-21	0	3	46	12.8	8	10.4	4.4
Northrup King 22	8-15	0	1	43	8.0	8	9.4	4.0
Frontier S-205	8- 4	6	1	66	16.2	4	8.5	3.6
Rancher	7-27	tr	1	62	15.8	4	6.0	2.9
SD 252F	7-23	27	1	62	6.6	4	8.2	2.4
Dual	7-30	12	1	55	20.0	4	8.8	1.5

LSD(05) - 2.0 Tons/Acre

C.V. = 19.67

Mean = 6.8

Note: Footnote explanations can be found on following pages.

\*\*Legend for Plant Type - Tables 15-18.

Score	Description	Score	Description
1	Tall-Extra Leafy-No Grain	6	Short-Extra Leafy-No Grain
2	Tall-Leafy-Some Grain	7	Short-Leafy-Some Grain
3	Tall-Leafy-Grain (50-50)	8	Short-Leafy-Grain (50-50)
4	Tall-Few Leaves-Some Grain	9	Short-Few Leaves-Some Grain
5	Tall-Few Leaves-No Grain	10	Short-Few Leaves-No Grain

Table 16. Performance Trial of Sorghum-Sudangrass Crosses - Central Crops & Soils Research Station - Highmore, SD - 1973

Brand & Variety	Date of Heading	Percent Lodging	Maturity* (1-5)	Height Inches	% Sugar in Sap	Plant** Type	Percent*** Protein	Forage Yield**** Tons/Acre
Funk's 83F	8- 9	2	1	68	17.0	2	9.4	7.7
DeKalb ST-4	8-14	3	1	81	12.1	2	8.8	7.7
Acco Sweet Sioux III	8- 4	4	1	86	9.1	3	6.9	7.6
Weathermaster FS551	8- 5	2	1	77	13.2	3	9.4	7.3
Rudy-Patrick Mor-Su II	8-11	3	1	57	10.7	3	8.6	7.2
Frontier Hidan 35	8- 7	17	1	76	9.0	3	9.5	7.1
Frontier Hidan 39	8-12	3	1	74	11.8	3	10.8	7.0
DeKalb ST-6	8- 6	1	1	80	11.3	3	7.9	6.8
Excel Chowmaker 235	8-15	4	1	75	13.4	3	10.4	6.5
Funk's 78F	8- 9	6	1	78	18.7	3	9.4	6.3
Doreman Sure-Graze	8-14	4	1	73	6.1	3	9.9	6.3
Excel Graze-N-Bale	8- 2	13	1	78	11.1	3	8.8	6.1
Acco Sweet Sioux	8-16	3	1	74	16.2	3	6.8	6.0
Northrup King Jordan 70	8-11	3	1	72	10.9	3	8.4	5.8
Weathermaster FS550	8- 1	21	1	73	19.0	3	9.4	5.8
Frontier HX1144	8- 3	9	1	73	14.7	4	8.1	5.3
Acco Sweet Sioux II	8- 3	3	1	69	12.0	3	7.8	4.9
DeKalb SX-15	8-14	2	1	79	10.6	2	8.2	4.9
Frontier HX1146	8- 1	14	1	70	9.6	4	8.9	4.2
Pioneer 988	8- 4	14	1	69	13.6	4	8.9	3.9
Frontier HX1149	7-25	25	1	65	12.4	4	8.9	3.8

Note: Yield differences are not statistically significant

C.V. - 30.8%

Mean - 6.1

\*See legend on page 16.

\*\*See legend at top of page.

\*\*\*Protein content was calculated from Kjeldahl nitrogen analysis and is reported on an oven-dry basis.

\*\*\*\*Forage yields are reported on an oven-dry basis.



Table 17. Performance Trial of Sudangrasses - Central Crops & Soils Research Station, Highmore, SD - 1973

Brand & Variety	Date of Heading	Percent Lodging	Maturity* (1-5)	Height Inches	% Sugar in Sap	Plant** Type	Percent*** Protein	Forage Yield**** Tons/Acre
Rudy-Patrick Trudy G	7-21	4	1	75	13.0	4	8.5	4.9
Northrup King Trudan 5	7-25	11	1	78	11.8	3	7.6	4.7
Cal/West Monarch	7-22	5	1	70	7.0	3	9.9	4.2
Acco HS-33	7-20	8	1	73	14.6	3	7.4	3.6
Piper	7-20	9	1	68	6.8	4	6.1	2.9
LSD(05) - 1.1 Ton/Acre			C.V. - 17.8%			Mean - 4.0		

Table 18. Performance Trial of Blends of Sorghum - Central Crops & Soils Research Station, Highmore, SD - 1973

Brand & Variety	Date of Heading	Percent Lodging	Maturity* (1-5)	Height Inches	% Sugar in Sap	Plant** Type	Percent*** Protein	Forage Yield**** Tons/Acre
Acco FB-44	8-10	0	1	68	5.6	3	8.1	5.4
Acco 3 Little Indians	8- 3	8	1	75	18.4	3	7.2	5.1
Note: Yield differences are not statistically significant				C.V. - 14.2%			Mean - 5.4	

\*Legend for Maturity: 1-Mature Grain; 2-Hard Dough Stage; 3-Milk Stage; 4-Pollination Stage; 5-Not Headed

\*\*See legend at top of page 15.

\*\*\*Protein content was calculated from Kjeldahl analysis and is reported on an oven-dry basis.

\*\*\*\*Forage yields are reported on an oven-dry basis.

## CROP PRODUCTION AND MANAGEMENT

### Seed Treatment of Winter Wheat

H. A. Geise

**Objectives:** To determine the effects of a biological organism used as a seed treatment on the yield and agronomic characteristics of selected winter wheat varieties.

Seed of eighteen varieties of winter wheat was selected for this study. One-half of the seed of each variety was treated with a bacteria, Bacillus *umiflagellatus*, at the rate of 4 oz. per 100 lbs of seed. The treated and untreated samples were seeded in paired plots at the rate of 60 lbs per acre, using a deep furrow drill. The plots were observed periodically during the following growing season to determine what changes could be observed.

The varieties responded differently for those characters shown in Table 19. The most obvious was the response to winter survival. Height differences of up to 5 inches were seen but the response was not consistent. Some of the treated plots were taller than the untreated while other treated plots were shorter. The treatment appeared to hasten maturity with an average of 5% less moisture at the time of harvest. Yield was increased in most instances but the differences were not consistent and are probably not statistically significant.

### Grain Sorghum Management

H. A. Geise

**Objectives:** To determine the optimum date of planting and row spacing for short-season or early-maturing varieties of grain sorghum.

#### Date of Planting

Three early-maturing or short-season grain sorghum varieties were seeded at fifteen day intervals to determine the optimum date of planting. The dates of seeding based on expected soil temperatures bracketed the time period when the optimum temperature for rapid emergence first occurs by an earlier and a later planting. The earlier planting permits a long growing season with possible heading and flowering prior to summer moisture stress, while a later planting delays heading until after the drought period but delays maturity until frost damage may occur.

The results of this study presented in Table 20 indicate there was difficulty in obtaining a uniform stand. There were two reasons for the poor stand, one was an excess of soil moisture resulting in a poor seed bed and cool soil which hindered germination and emergence.

The second date of planting resulted in a good stand, healthy plants and an excellent yield. Test weight was low due to drought during the period when the kernels were filling.

The third or late planting in early July resulted in fair stands but heading was delayed by dormancy induced by drought. Yields in this part of the study was further reduced by excessive sparrow damage.

Table 19. Influence of Seed Treatment (*Bacillus uniflagellatus*) on Grain Yield and Other Agronomic Characteristics of Selected Winter Wheat Varieties - Central Crops & Soils Research Station - Highmore, South Dakota - 1973.

Cultivar	Seed Treatment	% Winter Survival	Height Inches	Percent Moisture	Test Weight Lbs/Bu	Grain Yield Bushel/Acre	Percent of Check
Bronze	Check	70	33	8.8	58.0	12.1	
	Bacillus	80	34	9.0	57.0	14.4	119
Centurk	Check	82	31	8.8	57.5	20.7	
	Bacillus	80	31	8.7	57.5	20.9	101
Eagle	Check	65	30	11.0	60.0	13.4	
	Bacillus	75	31	9.9	59.0	16.5	123
Froid	Check	95	35	9.0	55.0	14.3	
	Bacillus	95	34	8.8	55.5	18.8	132
Gage	Check	90	30	8.8	58.0	21.0	
	Bacillus	85	28	9.1	59.0	20.2	96
Gulde	Check	65	29	11.6	62.0	15.1	
	Bacillus	50	28	11.6	62.0	11.3	75
Hume	Check	40	32	9.9	58.0	7.1	
	Bacillus	50	30	11.0	59.0	10.4	146
Lancer	Check	25	31	12.2	59.0	9.1	
	Bacillus	25	28	11.9	61.0	9.6	106
Minter	Check	40	29	14.3	57.0	11.8	
	Bacillus	2	24	7.9	53.0	0.8	7

Table 19. Continued

Cultivar	Food Treatment	% Winter Survival	Height Inches	Percent Moisture	Test Weight Lbs/BU	Grain Yield Bushels/Acre	Percent of Check
Omaha	Check	25	25	11.6	59.5	8.8	136
	Bacillus	30	26	11.9	57.5	12.0	
Scoutland	Check	87	28	10.3	61.0	23.2	92
	Bacillus	85	28	9.9	61.0	21.4	
Scout 66	Check	70	27	9.6	57.5	17.2	130
	Bacillus	87	28	9.4	61.5	22.3	
Shamoa	Check	90	31	9.0	59.5	22.7	105
	Bacillus	95	31	9.2	59.5	23.8	
SD 7117	Check	92	31	9.3	59.0	24.7	100
	Bacillus	95	29	9.1	60.0	24.8	
SD 66169	Check	90	33	9.8	60.0	19.4	110
	Bacillus	92	32	10.2	60.0	21.3	
Trader	Check	85	31	9.6	58.0	18.7	115
	Bacillus	90	30	9.8	59.0	21.5	
Trapper	Check	65	29	14.5	57.0	11.7	161
	Bacillus	87	30	11.2	60.0	18.8	
Winoka	Check	95	32	10.0	59.0	22.3	80
	Bacillus	90	30	11.2	60.0	17.9	
Average	Check	70.6	30.4	15.3	58.6	16.3	107
	Bacillus	71.8	29.6	10.0	59.0	17.0	

Table 20. Effect of Date of Planting on Three Varieties of Grain Sorghum-Central Crops & Soils Research Station - Highmore, SD - 1973.

Date of Planting	Variety	Percent Stand	Height Inches	Grain Yield	
				Lb/Bu	Lb/A
June 1	SD 106	58	36	52.7	1330
	NK 121	78	41	51.7	1463
	NK MM52	77	33	54.3	1065
				Average -	1286
June 15	SD 106	85	34	50.5	2121
	NK 121	92	39	53.7	2124
	NK MM52	93	32	53.0	2069
				Average -	2105
July 1	SD 106	90	36	24.8	183
	NK 121	87	32	30.7	289
	NK MM52	87	32	33.5	144
				Average -	205

Note: All plots were seeded in 24" rows.

#### Row Spacing Study

The purpose of this study was to determine the most advantageous row spacing for three short-season grain sorghum varieties. The populations were kept as constant as possible. The results in Table 21 do not show any large differences in yield between row spacings, although there is a slight advantage in wider rows. The bird damage indicates the seeds were succulent for a longer period of time, and although yields were severely reduced the seed quality was better as shown by test weight. The plots were seeded in mid-June.

Table 21. Effect of Row Spacing on Yield of Three Short-Season Varieties of Grain Sorghum-Central Research Station-Highmore, SD - 1973.

Variety	Row Space	Percent Stand	Percent Lodging	Percent Bird Damage	Height Inches	Grain Yield	
						Lb/Bu	Lbs/A
SD 106	6"	61	1.0	1.0	30	47	1200
	12"	86	0	1.0	32	48	1232
	24"	86	1.0	12.0	32	48	1203
NK 121	6"	79	0.5	9.0	32	50	1469
	12"	92	2.0	18.0	31	52	1430
	24"	88	2.5	15.0	32	52	1658
NK MM52	6"	74	2.0	16.0	33	55	1732
	12"	93	6.0	15.0	29	55	1779
	24"	89	3.5	14.0	29	56	1749

#### Mean Yields

Row Space	Lbs/A	Variety	Lbs/A
6"	1467	SD 106	1212
12"	1480	NK 121	1519
24"	1537	NK MM52	1753

## CROP DISEASE CONTROL

### Management of Stubble, Fallow, and Seed Bed Preparation for Mosaic Control in Winter Wheat

W. S. Gardner and H. A. Geise

The wheat streak mosaic virus, which infects winter wheat, can be controlled through the management of wheat stubble by proper fallow practices and seed bed preparation. The virus is spread by the wheat curl mite which reproduces on annual and perennial grasses as well as in wheat. Thus volunteer wheat and annual or perennial grasses may harbor the mite and the mosaic virus so that they can spread into the wheat fields.

Tentative recommendations are that wheat stubble should be tilled before August 15 to kill volunteer wheat and grassy weeds so the mites and virus will be destroyed. The wheat stubble should be left exposed on the soil surface so the soil will be protected from erosion. Summer fallowing should destroy all volunteer wheat and grassy weeds but leave the soil surface protected by a stubble mulch. All volunteer wheat and grassy weeds should be destroyed 7 to 10 days before the wheat is planted. Otherwise these plants can act as a reservoir for mites and virus to infect the wheat planted after mid-September.

The incidence of wheat streak mosaic can also be controlled by regulating the date of planting of winter wheat. The results of the demonstration are shown in Table 22.

Table 22. Influence of Date of Planting on Yield of Winter Wheat and Incidence of Wheat Streak Mosaic - Central Crops & Soils Research Station - Highmore

Date of Planting	Percent Stand	% Mosaic Infection	1973		Average 1968-71	
			Test Wt Lbs/Bu	Yield Bu/A	Percent Mosaic	Yield Bu/A
Aug. 15	65	18.3	56	11.4	46	18.0
Aug. 25	78	4.0	55	10.6	34	30.0
Sept. 4	53	1.2	50	4.5	17	35.0
Sept. 14	62	3.0	52	6.4	8	42.0
Sept. 24	88	1.0	55	11.1	1	39.0
Oct. 4	92	0	57	15.0	0	28.0

**AGRICULTURAL ADVISORY GROUP**

**Central Research Station**

**Highmore**

Duane Moody	Huron	Beadle County
Fred R. Holscher, Pree.	Faulkton	Faulk County
Art Hibbison	Miller	Hand County
Orville Hobert	Harrold	Hughes County
Gary Haiwick	Highmore	Hyde County
Keith Kleppin	Wessington Springs	Jerauld County
Marvin Haag	Hoven	Potter County
Guy Goddard	Onida	Sully County

**THE COOPERATIVE EXTENSION SERVICE**

**South Dakota State University**

**Duane C. Acker, Director**

**County Extension Agents of the Central Research Station Area**

Richard Fadgen	Huron	Beadle County
Ramon Larsen, Sec.	Faulkton	Faulk County
Robert Schubloom	Miller	Hand County
James Likness	Pierre	Hughes County
Wilford Paynter	Highmore	Hyde County
Lawrence Carson	Wessington Springs	Jerauld County
Lawrence Madsen	Gettysburg	Potter County
Harold Wood	Onida	Sully County

1870

1. 1870  
 2. 1871  
 3. 1872  
 4. 1873  
 5. 1874  
 6. 1875  
 7. 1876  
 8. 1877  
 9. 1878  
 10. 1879  
 11. 1880  
 12. 1881  
 13. 1882  
 14. 1883  
 15. 1884  
 16. 1885  
 17. 1886  
 18. 1887  
 19. 1888  
 20. 1889  
 21. 1890  
 22. 1891  
 23. 1892  
 24. 1893  
 25. 1894  
 26. 1895  
 27. 1896  
 28. 1897  
 29. 1898  
 30. 1899  
 31. 1900  
 32. 1901  
 33. 1902  
 34. 1903  
 35. 1904  
 36. 1905  
 37. 1906  
 38. 1907  
 39. 1908  
 40. 1909  
 41. 1910  
 42. 1911  
 43. 1912  
 44. 1913  
 45. 1914  
 46. 1915  
 47. 1916  
 48. 1917  
 49. 1918  
 50. 1919  
 51. 1920  
 52. 1921  
 53. 1922  
 54. 1923  
 55. 1924  
 56. 1925  
 57. 1926  
 58. 1927  
 59. 1928  
 60. 1929  
 61. 1930  
 62. 1931  
 63. 1932  
 64. 1933  
 65. 1934  
 66. 1935  
 67. 1936  
 68. 1937  
 69. 1938  
 70. 1939  
 71. 1940  
 72. 1941  
 73. 1942  
 74. 1943  
 75. 1944  
 76. 1945  
 77. 1946  
 78. 1947  
 79. 1948  
 80. 1949  
 81. 1950  
 82. 1951  
 83. 1952  
 84. 1953  
 85. 1954  
 86. 1955  
 87. 1956  
 88. 1957  
 89. 1958  
 90. 1959  
 91. 1960  
 92. 1961  
 93. 1962  
 94. 1963  
 95. 1964  
 96. 1965  
 97. 1966  
 98. 1967  
 99. 1968  
 100. 1969  
 101. 1970  
 102. 1971  
 103. 1972  
 104. 1973  
 105. 1974  
 106. 1975  
 107. 1976  
 108. 1977  
 109. 1978  
 110. 1979  
 111. 1980  
 112. 1981  
 113. 1982  
 114. 1983  
 115. 1984  
 116. 1985  
 117. 1986  
 118. 1987  
 119. 1988  
 120. 1989  
 121. 1990  
 122. 1991  
 123. 1992  
 124. 1993  
 125. 1994  
 126. 1995  
 127. 1996  
 128. 1997  
 129. 1998  
 130. 1999  
 131. 2000  
 132. 2001  
 133. 2002  
 134. 2003  
 135. 2004  
 136. 2005  
 137. 2006  
 138. 2007  
 139. 2008  
 140. 2009  
 141. 2010  
 142. 2011  
 143. 2012  
 144. 2013  
 145. 2014  
 146. 2015  
 147. 2016  
 148. 2017  
 149. 2018  
 150. 2019  
 151. 2020  
 152. 2021  
 153. 2022  
 154. 2023  
 155. 2024  
 156. 2025  
 157. 2026  
 158. 2027  
 159. 2028  
 160. 2029  
 161. 2030  
 162. 2031  
 163. 2032  
 164. 2033  
 165. 2034  
 166. 2035  
 167. 2036  
 168. 2037  
 169. 2038  
 170. 2039  
 171. 2040  
 172. 2041  
 173. 2042  
 174. 2043  
 175. 2044  
 176. 2045  
 177. 2046  
 178. 2047  
 179. 2048  
 180. 2049  
 181. 2050  
 182. 2051  
 183. 2052  
 184. 2053  
 185. 2054  
 186. 2055  
 187. 2056  
 188. 2057  
 189. 2058  
 190. 2059  
 191. 2060  
 192. 2061  
 193. 2062  
 194. 2063  
 195. 2064  
 196. 2065  
 197. 2066  
 198. 2067  
 199. 2068  
 200. 2069  
 201. 2070  
 202. 2071  
 203. 2072  
 204. 2073  
 205. 2074  
 206. 2075  
 207. 2076  
 208. 2077  
 209. 2078  
 210. 2079  
 211. 2080  
 212. 2081  
 213. 2082  
 214. 2083  
 215. 2084  
 216. 2085  
 217. 2086  
 218. 2087  
 219. 2088  
 220. 2089  
 221. 2090  
 222. 2091  
 223. 2092  
 224. 2093  
 225. 2094  
 226. 2095  
 227. 2096  
 228. 2097  
 229. 2098  
 230. 2099  
 231. 2100  
 232. 2101  
 233. 2102  
 234. 2103  
 235. 2104  
 236. 2105  
 237. 2106  
 238. 2107  
 239. 2108  
 240. 2109  
 241. 2110  
 242. 2111  
 243. 2112  
 244. 2113  
 245. 2114  
 246. 2115  
 247. 2116  
 248. 2117  
 249. 2118  
 250. 2119  
 251. 2120  
 252. 2121  
 253. 2122  
 254. 2123  
 255. 2124  
 256. 2125  
 257. 2126  
 258. 2127  
 259. 2128  
 260. 2129  
 261. 2130  
 262. 2131  
 263. 2132  
 264. 2133  
 265. 2134  
 266. 2135  
 267. 2136  
 268. 2137  
 269. 2138  
 270. 2139  
 271. 2140  
 272. 2141  
 273. 2142  
 274. 2143  
 275. 2144  
 276. 2145  
 277. 2146  
 278. 2147  
 279. 2148  
 280. 2149  
 281. 2150  
 282. 2151  
 283. 2152  
 284. 2153  
 285. 2154  
 286. 2155  
 287. 2156  
 288. 2157  
 289. 2158  
 290. 2159  
 291. 2160  
 292. 2161  
 293. 2162  
 294. 2163  
 295. 2164  
 296. 2165  
 297. 2166  
 298. 2167  
 299. 2168  
 300. 2169  
 301. 2170  
 302. 2171  
 303. 2172  
 304. 2173  
 305. 2174  
 306. 2175  
 307. 2176  
 308. 2177  
 309. 2178  
 310. 2179  
 311. 2180  
 312. 2181  
 313. 2182  
 314. 2183  
 315. 2184  
 316. 2185  
 317. 2186  
 318. 2187  
 319. 2188  
 320. 2189  
 321. 2190  
 322. 2191  
 323. 2192  
 324. 2193  
 325. 2194  
 326. 2195  
 327. 2196  
 328. 2197  
 329. 2198  
 330. 2199  
 331. 2200  
 332. 2201  
 333. 2202  
 334. 2203  
 335. 2204  
 336. 2205  
 337. 2206  
 338. 2207  
 339. 2208  
 340. 2209  
 341. 2210  
 342. 2211  
 343. 2212  
 344. 2213  
 345. 2214  
 346. 2215  
 347. 2216  
 348. 2217  
 349. 2218  
 350. 2219  
 351. 2220  
 352. 2221  
 353. 2222  
 354. 2223  
 355. 2224  
 356. 2225  
 357. 2226  
 358. 2227  
 359. 2228  
 360. 2229  
 361. 2230  
 362. 2231  
 363. 2232  
 364. 2233  
 365. 2234  
 366. 2235  
 367. 2236  
 368. 2237  
 369. 2238  
 370. 2239  
 371. 2240  
 372. 2241  
 373. 2242  
 374. 2243  
 375. 2244  
 376. 2245  
 377. 2246  
 378. 2247  
 379. 2248  
 380. 2249  
 381. 2250  
 382. 2251  
 383. 2252  
 384. 2253  
 385. 2254  
 386. 2255  
 387. 2256  
 388. 2257  
 389. 2258  
 390. 2259  
 391. 2260  
 392. 2261  
 393. 2262  
 394. 2263  
 395. 2264  
 396. 2265  
 397. 2266  
 398. 2267  
 399. 2268  
 400. 2269  
 401. 2270  
 402. 2271  
 403. 2272  
 404. 2273  
 405. 2274  
 406. 2275  
 407. 2276  
 408. 2277  
 409. 2278  
 410. 2279  
 411. 2280  
 412. 2281  
 413. 2282  
 414. 2283  
 415. 2284  
 416. 2285  
 417. 2286  
 418. 2287  
 419. 2288  
 420. 2289  
 421. 2290  
 422. 2291  
 423. 2292  
 424. 2293  
 425. 2294  
 426. 2295  
 427. 2296  
 428. 2297  
 429. 2298  
 430. 2299  
 431. 2300  
 432. 2301  
 433. 2302  
 434. 2303  
 435. 2304  
 436. 2305  
 437. 2306  
 438. 2307  
 439. 2308  
 440. 2309  
 441. 2310  
 442. 2311  
 443. 2312  
 444. 2313  
 445. 2314  
 446. 2315  
 447. 2316  
 448. 2317  
 449. 2318  
 450. 2319  
 451. 2320  
 452. 2321  
 453. 2322  
 454. 2323  
 455. 2324  
 456. 2325  
 457. 2326  
 458. 2327  
 459. 2328  
 460. 2329  
 461. 2330  
 462. 2331  
 463. 2332  
 464. 2333  
 465. 2334  
 466. 2335  
 467. 2336  
 468. 2337  
 469. 2338  
 470. 2339  
 471. 2340  
 472. 2341  
 473. 2342  
 474. 2343  
 475. 2344  
 476. 2345  
 477. 2346  
 478. 2347  
 479. 2348  
 480. 2349  
 481. 2350  
 482. 2351  
 483. 2352  
 484. 2353  
 485. 2354  
 486. 2355  
 487. 2356  
 488. 2357  
 489. 2358  
 490. 2359  
 491. 2360  
 492. 2361  
 493. 2362  
 494. 2363  
 495. 2364  
 496. 2365  
 497. 2366  
 498. 2367  
 499. 2368  
 500. 2369  
 501. 2370  
 502. 2371  
 503. 2372  
 504. 2373  
 505. 2374  
 506. 2375  
 507. 2376  
 508. 2377  
 509. 2378  
 510. 2379  
 511. 2380  
 512. 2381  
 513. 2382  
 514. 2383  
 515. 2384  
 516. 2385  
 517. 2386  
 518. 2387  
 519. 2388  
 520. 2389  
 521. 2390  
 522. 2391  
 523. 2392  
 524. 2393  
 525. 2394  
 526. 2395  
 527. 2396  
 528. 2397  
 529. 2398  
 530. 2399  
 531. 2400  
 532. 2401  
 533. 2402  
 534. 2403  
 535. 2404  
 536. 2405  
 537. 2406  
 538. 2407  
 539. 2408  
 540. 2409  
 541. 2410  
 542. 2411  
 543. 2412  
 544. 2413  
 545. 2414  
 546. 2415  
 547. 2416  
 548. 2417  
 549. 2418  
 550. 2419  
 551. 2420  
 552. 2421  
 553. 2422  
 554. 2423  
 555. 2424  
 556. 2425  
 557. 2426  
 558. 2427  
 559. 2428  
 560. 2429  
 561. 2430  
 562. 2431  
 563. 2432  
 564. 2433  
 565. 2434  
 566. 2435  
 567. 2436  
 568. 2437  
 569. 2438  
 570. 2439  
 571. 2440  
 572. 2441  
 573. 2442  
 574. 2443  
 575. 2444  
 576. 2445  
 577. 2446  
 578. 2447  
 579. 2448  
 580. 2449  
 581. 2450  
 582. 2451  
 583. 2452  
 584. 2453  
 585. 2454  
 586. 2455  
 587. 2456  
 588. 2457  
 589. 2458  
 590. 2459  
 591. 2460  
 592. 2461  
 593. 2462  
 594. 2463  
 595. 2464  
 596. 2465  
 597. 2466  
 598. 2467  
 599. 2468  
 600. 2469  
 601. 2470  
 602. 2471  
 603. 2472  
 604. 2473  
 605. 2474  
 606. 2475  
 607. 2476  
 608. 2477  
 609. 2478  
 610. 2479  
 611. 2480  
 612. 2481  
 613. 2482  
 614. 2483  
 615. 2484  
 616. 2485  
 617. 2486  
 618. 2487  
 619. 2488  
 620. 2489  
 621. 2490  
 622. 2491  
 623. 2492  
 624. 2493  
 625. 2494  
 626. 2495  
 627. 2496  
 628. 2497  
 629. 2498  
 630. 2499  
 631. 2500  
 632. 2501  
 633. 2502  
 634. 2503  
 635. 2504  
 636. 2505  
 637. 2506  
 638. 2507  
 639. 2508  
 640. 2509  
 641. 2510  
 642. 2511  
 643. 2512  
 644. 2513  
 645. 2514  
 646. 2515  
 647. 2516  
 648. 2517  
 649. 2518  
 650. 2519  
 651. 2520  
 652. 2521  
 653. 2522  
 654. 2523





