

South Dakota State University
**Open PRAIRIE: Open Public Research Access Institutional
Repository and Information Exchange**

Extension Extra

SDSU Extension

1-1-2004

South Dakota Flax Variety Evaluations 2003

Kathleen Grady
South Dakota State University

Lee Gilbertson
South Dakota State University

Follow this and additional works at: http://openprairie.sdstate.edu/extension_extra

Recommended Citation

Grady, Kathleen and Gilbertson, Lee, "South Dakota Flax Variety Evaluations 2003" (2004). *Extension Extra*. Paper 266.
http://openprairie.sdstate.edu/extension_extra/266

This Other is brought to you for free and open access by the SDSU Extension at Open PRAIRIE: Open Public Research Access Institutional Repository and Information Exchange. It has been accepted for inclusion in Extension Extra by an authorized administrator of Open PRAIRIE: Open Public Research Access Institutional Repository and Information Exchange. For more information, please contact michael.biondo@sdstate.edu.



Extension Extra

ExEx8055
December 2004
Plant Science

COLLEGE OF AGRICULTURE & BIOLOGICAL SCIENCES / SOUTH DAKOTA STATE UNIVERSITY / USDA

South Dakota Flax Variety Evaluations: 2004

Kathleen Grady, oilseed breeder and Extension specialist
and Lee Gilbertson, senior ag research technician

The success of flax production is affected by choice of variety. Carefully examine variety characteristics such as seed yield, oil content, and maturity. In some cases oil content or maturity may offset a yield advantage.

Yield

Evaluate as much yield data as possible, looking at relative performance over many locations and years. For example, in this publication, variety comparisons from 3 years and 5 locations are better than those from a single year or location. Consistently good performance over many environments is called "yield stability."

Good yield stability means that a variety may or may not be the best yielder at all locations, but it does rank high in yield potential at many locations. A variety that ranks in the upper 20% over all locations exhibits better yield stability than one that is the top yielder at two locations but ranks in the lower 40% at two other locations.

Table 1 presents flax yield data from 2004 (or the most recent year for which data are available) for several sites in South Dakota. Three-year and statewide yield averages are also provided. Table 2 summarizes the characteristics of the varieties included in the performance trials.

To determine if one variety is better than another for a given trait, use the least significant difference (LSD.05) value at the bottom of each data column. The LSD value is a statistical way to indicate if a trait like yield differs when comparing two varieties. If two varieties differ by more than the LSD value for a given trait, they will likely differ when grown again under highly similar conditions. For example, if the trial at Webster could be repeated exactly as it was in 2004 (see Table 1), the yield ranking of AC Watson (50.8 bu/A) and AC Hanley (45.6 bu/A)

might change places since their yield difference (5.2 bu/A) is less than the indicated LSD value of 6.6 bu/A.

However, we would expect AC Watson (50.8 bu/A) to yield more than AC Emerson (43.7 bu/A) if the test was repeated, since their yield difference (7.1 bu/A) is greater than the indicated yield LSD value of 6.6 bu/A.

In Table 1, the minimum yield of varieties that were in the top-yielding group at a particular location is printed at the bottom of each data column (when significant differences in yield were measured). Any variety meeting or exceeding this minimum yield value differed by less than the LSD.05 value from the highest-yielding variety in the test and is therefore considered to be in the top-yielding group.

For example, in the 2004 trial at Watertown there were six varieties in the top-yield group. Numerically, CDC Arras had the highest yield (41.2 bu/A). However five other varieties were also in the top-yield group because their yields were within one LSD value (5.1 bu/A) of CDC Arras.

If the LSD.05 value is indicated as 'ns,' it means there were no statistically significant differences in yield among the varieties; in other words, yields were all close enough to each other to be essentially the same, considering the amount of error inherent in the test.

When evaluating yield, look at as many trials as possible. It is unlikely that the environmental conditions of a test will repeat in any future year.

The coefficient of variability (C.V.) listed at the bottom of the data table is a relative measure of the precision or reliability of a test. Generally, trials with low C.V. rates are more reliable for

making variety choices than trials with higher C.V. rates. Trials with C.V. rates not exceeding 15-20% may be considered reliable.

Oil Content

Among varieties with similar yield potential, select the one with the highest oil content.

Maturity

Later-maturing varieties generally produce higher yields than early varieties when seeded at normal planting dates.

Maturity is particularly important if planting is delayed. In many cases of late seeding only an early variety will mature properly and exhibit its best yield potential and oil content.

Table 1. 2004 and 3-year average flax yields (bu/A) at several locations in South Dakota.

Variety	Origin -Year	Brkngs	Brookings		Watertown		Webster		High-	Statewide		State- wide Rank	Yield* Stability
		Early 2004	Late-seeded 2004	2-yr	2004	2-yr	2004	3-yr	more 2003	2004	3-yr		
				-2-		-2-		-3-		-4-	-9-		
AC Carnduff	CAN-99	34.0	23.5	20.8	33.9	24.7	46.4	32.0	23.6	34.4	27.2	1	4/5
AC Emerson	CAN-95	25.8	22.6	18.7	36.6	23.8	43.7	30.7	20.8	32.2	25.2	12	3/5
AC Hanley	CAN-02	28.0	24.4	21.5	30.1	20.9	45.6	30.9	16.3	32.0	24.6	18	2/5
AC Watson	CAN-97	24.7	25.0	20.0	35.0	23.9	50.8	33.9	22.2	33.9	26.4	5	3/5
Carter	ND-04	32.4	21.8	18.6	31.7	22.0	43.3	31.9	19.3	32.3	25.4	11	2/5
Cathay	ND-97	23.9	25.3	21.8	27.8	20.9	39.9	30.0	18.8	29.2	24.3	19	0/5
CDC Arras	CAN-00	27.1	21.6	23.4	41.2	27.5	48.4	32.4	19.5	34.6	27.0	3	3/5
CDC Bethume	CAN-00	29.1	23.3	21.3	38.2	25.3	47.8	32.3	21.0	34.6	26.7	4	5/5
CDC Mons	CAN-03	31.6	24.2	--	30.7	--	45.8	--	--	33.1	--	--	3/4
CDC Normandy	CAN-96	26.4	25.4	25.6	26.6	19.4	41.9	30.3	21.5	30.1	25.2	14	1/5
CDC Valour	CAN-97	23.8	22.6	20.3	31.3	22.3	48.7	30.3	18.2	31.6	24.2	20	1/5
Linora	CAN-92	32.5	23.1	24.5	35.4	23.8	45.0	31.8	19.5	34.0	27.2	2	2/5
Linott	CAN-66	27.4	20.7	20.6	32.3	22.9	44.9	31.1	21.0	31.3	25.2	13	2/5
McGregor	CAN-82	19.2	21.1	19.9	32.9	21.4	47.9	33.5	17.4	30.3	24.6	17	1/5
Nekoma	ND-02	32.1	22.9	22.4	32.0	21.8	39.2	28.6	18.8	31.5	25.2	15	1/5
Omega	ND-90	24.2	20.7	16.8	24.3	19.2	43.7	30.1	19.5	28.2	23.6	21	1/5
Pembina	ND-97	28.9	26.5	23.9	34.0	22.1	42.7	29.1	14.3	33.0	24.8	16	1/5
Prairie Blue	CAN-03	33.9	26.2	24.9	33.2	22.2	39.0	--	21.6	33.1	--	--	2/5
Rahab 94	SD-94	25.8	22.1	22.8	36.4	23.5	45.8	33.3	19.0	32.5	26.2	6	3/5
Selby	SD-00	27.4	26.9	22.6	33.8	24.5	42.2	29.6	20.6	32.6	26.1	7	1/5
Verne 93	SD-93	29.3	24.5	23.3	27.3	20.8	46.3	32.0	20.3	31.8	25.9	9	3/5
Webster	SD-98	28.2	27.3	25.3	34.3	24.5	43.2	30.2	17.1	33.3	25.8	10	0/5
York	ND-02	29.1	23.0	21.7	34.1	23.4	45.8	31.3	17.2	33.0	25.9	8	2/5
Experimentals													
FP2112	CAN-exp.	32.9	25.3	--	32.1	--	42.6	--	--	33.2	--	--	2/4
FP2114	CAN-exp.	24.7	22.4	--	31.8	--	46.4	--	--	31.3	--	--	1/4
FP2118	CAN-exp.	27.6	18.5	--	35.0	--	47.5	--	--	32.1	--	--	1/4
FP2119	CAN-exp.	29.0	19.7	--	33.2	--	44.9	--	--	31.7	--	--	3/4
N2007	ND-exp.	24.4	23.8	--	31.4	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	0/2
N2010	ND-exp.	30.1	20.5	--	27.6	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	1/2
N2014	ND-exp.	28.2	20.3	--	32.5	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	0/2
N305	ND-exp.	25.2	21.5	--	30.8	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	0/2
N320	ND-exp.	28.5	23.9	--	36.1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	2/2
N323	ND-exp.	29.6	26.5	--	36.4	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	2/2
N325	ND-exp.	29.8	23.3	--	31.7	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	1/2
Grand Mean		28.1	23.2	21.8	32.7	22.8	44.5	31.2	19.5	32.1	25.6		
LSD.05		5.7	ns^	ns	5.1	ns	6.6	ns	3.9	ns	ns		
Minimum yield of top group		28.3	18.5	16.8	36.1	19.2	44.2	28.6	19.7	28.2	23.6		
C.V.		12.4	14.8	15.0	9.6	12.1	9.0	10.0	9.7	9.9	10.7		

* Yield stability = number of times in top yield group/total number of tests having significant differences.

^ ns = there were no significant differences in yield among the varieties.

Seed Availability and Quality

Seed sources for Canadian and some older flax varieties may be limited. Be sure to plant only high quality seed with good germination. Certified seed is recommended to assure varietal purity, viability, and freedom from pathogens and weed seed.

Issued in furtherance of Cooperative Extension work, Acts of May 8 and June 30, 1914, in cooperation with the USDA. Gerald Warmann, Director of Extension, Associate Dean, College of Agriculture & Biological Sciences, South Dakota State University, Brookings. SDSU is an Affirmative Action/Equal Opportunity Employer (Male/Female) and offers all benefits, services, and educational and employment opportunities without regard for ancestry, age, race, citizenship, color, creed, religion, gender, disability, national origin, sexual preference, or Vietnam Era veteran status.

Access at <http://agbiopubs.sdstate.edu/articles/ExEx8055-04.pdf>

Table 2. Characteristics of flax varieties.

Variety	Origin -Year	Days to Flower	Seed Size	Color		Statewide Averages				Disease Resistance		
				Flower	Seed	Oil %	Height (cm)	Yield (bu/A)		Lodging (1-9)*	Wilt	Rust
		-2-				-9-	-9-	-4-	-9-	-1-		
AC Carnduff	CAN-99	53	Small	Blue	Brown	40.3	53	34.4	27.2	1.1	MR	R
AC Emerson	CAN-95	51	Medium	Blue	Brown	39.4	52	32.2	25.2	1.3	R	R
AC Hanley	CAN-02	51	Small	Blue	Brown	38.8	49	32.0	24.6	2.3	MR	R
AC Watson	CAN-97	50	Med-Lg	Blue	Brown	40.5	52	33.9	26.4	1.2	MS	R
Carter	ND-04	51	Small	Blue	Yellow	39.9	52	32.3	25.4	0.8	MS	R
Cathay	ND-97	52	Medium	Blue	Brown	40.6	55	29.2	24.3	1.6	R	R
CDC Arras	CAN-00	54	Medium	Blue	Brown	40.5	54	34.6	27.0	0.8	R	R
CDC Bethume	CAN-00	52	Medium	Blue	Brown	40.4	52	34.6	26.7	1.6	MR	R
CDC Mons	CAN-03	53	Small	Blue	Brown	--	--	33.1	--	0.8	MR	R
CDC Normandy	CAN-96	51	Med-Sm	Blue	Brown	40.0	53	30.1	25.2	1.5	MR	R
CDC Valour	CAN-97	49	Medium	Blue	Brown	39.4	52	31.6	24.2	2.4	S	R
Linora	CAN-92	50	Med-Sm	Blue	Brown	40.6	53	34.0	27.2	1.5	MR	R
Linott	CAN-66	51	Med-Sm	Blue	Brown	40.3	56	31.3	25.2	0.8	MS	R
McGregor	CAN-82	54	Medium	Blue	Brown	39.3	53	30.3	24.6	1.2	MR	R
Nekoma	ND-02	51	Med-Sm	Blue	Brown	40.2	52	31.5	25.2	1.3	S	R
Omega	ND-90	51	Medium	Blue	Yellow	40.7	52	28.2	23.6	1.1	MS	R
Pembina	ND-97	51	Med-Sm	Blue	Brown	40.3	55	33.0	24.8	0.9	R	R
Prairie Blue	CAN-03	51	--	Blue	Brown	--	--	33.1	--	1.1	MR	R
Rahab 94	SD-94	51	Medium	Blue	Brown	40.9	51	32.5	26.2	1.2	MR	R
Selby	SD-00	52	Medium	Blue	Brown	40.7	56	32.6	26.1	1.0	MR	R
Verne 93	SD-93	49	Med-Sm	Blue	Brown	40.2	53	31.8	25.9	1.3	R	R
Webster	SD-98	54	Med-Sm	Blue	Brown	41.0	56	33.3	25.8	1.3	MR	R
York	ND-02	53	Medium	Blue	Brown	39.1	52	33.0	25.9	0.6	MR	R
Experimentals												
FP2112	CAN-exp.	--	Med-Lg	Blue	Brown	--	--	33.2	--	2.8	S	--
FP2114	CAN-exp.	--	Large	Blue	Brown	--	--	31.3	--	1.6	MR	--
FP2118	CAN-exp.	--	Med-Lg	Blue	Brown	--	--	32.1	--	1.8	R	--
FP2119	CAN-exp.	--	Medium	Blue	Brown	--	--	31.7	--	2.2	S	--
N2007	ND-exp.	--	--	Blue	Yellow	--	--	--	--	1.4	MS	--
N2010	ND-exp.	--	--	Blue	Brown	--	--	--	--	0.5	MR	--
N2014	ND-exp.	--	--	Blue	Brown	--	--	--	--	1.4	MR	--
N305	ND-exp.	--	--	Blue	Brown	--	--	--	--	1.2	--	--
N320	ND-exp.	--	--	Blue	Brown	--	--	--	--	1.2	--	--
N323	ND-exp.	--	--	Blue	Brown	--	--	--	--	0.5	--	--
N325	ND-exp.	--	--	Blue	Brown	--	--	--	--	1.3	--	--
Grand Mean		51				40.2	53	32.1	25.6	1.3		
LSD.05		ns				0.5	2	ns	2.5	ns		
C.V.		2.3				1.8	6.4	9.9	10.7	70.2		

* Lodging rated on a scale of 1 to 9, where 1=no lodging and 9=flat.