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Abstract

Studies on rodent models and rare human disorders of estrogen production or response have revealed an increased complexity of the actions of estrogen on bone. ER\textsubscript{\textalpha} disruption in human males results in delayed epiphyseal maturation, tall stature, trabecular thinning, marked cortical thinning, genu valgum and significantly reduced cortical vBMD, but trabecular number is preserved and there is normal to increased periosteal expansion. Aromatase deficiency results overall in a similar phenotype, although less is known about skeletal architecture. Importantly, estrogen replacement in these individuals, even if provided late in the third decade, may normalize aBMD. Less certain is whether there is complete recovery of normal skeletal architecture and strength. Rodent models, in general, are consistent with the human phenotype but are confounded by inherent differences between mouse and human physiology and issues regarding the completeness of the different knock-out lines. Both human and rodent studies suggest that residual effects of estrogen through ER\textsubscript{\textbeta}, truncated ER\textsubscript{\textalpha} forms or nonclassical estrogen receptors might account for different phenotypes in the hERKO man, aromatase deficient subjects and rodents. Importantly, androgen, particularly by preserving trabecular number and augmenting both periosteal and epiphyseal growth, also has significant actions on bone.
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1. Introduction

Estrogen is well known to be important in females for bone growth and metabolism. However, evidence has emerged from rodent models [1] and rare disorders of estrogen production or response in humans [2,3] that the physiologic role for estrogen is far more complicated, involving actions in males as well as females [4]. Estrogen actions are further complicated by the presence of two distinct but related nuclear receptors, ERα [4] and ERβ [5], both with different splice variants [6] and the recent discovery of a membrane bound functional ER, the G protein-coupled receptor GPR30 [7–9]. For this review, we will describe recent experimental evidence from human and animal models that increase understanding of the role of estrogen relative to androgen in bone.

2. Production and cellular mechanism of action of estrogen

Circulating estrogens are produced from the aromatization of androgens by the cytochrome P450 enzyme, aromatase [10]. In males, unlike females in which the ovaries are the primary source of estrogen, the majority of the estrogen in the circulation is derived from extragonadal tissues [11]. The regulation of aromatase activity in different tissues such as breast, adipose and gonads is complex and it is increasingly clear that in addition to classical hormonal pathways there are important local regulatory mechanisms in place that regulate estrogen production in a tissue specific manner [12–14]. Estrogen produced by androgen aromatization induces cellular changes by several different mechanisms [15]. The major signal transducers are two distinct receptor proteins, ERα and ERβ, which have distinct tissue expression patterns [16] in both humans and rodents. ERα and ERβ are encoded by unique genes from separate chromosomal locations. Estrogens are thought to diffuse into target cells and are bound by the ER, which is located primarily in the nucleus, but can also be associated with the plasma membrane [17]. Recently, the membrane form [18] has been demonstrated to be unable to rescue a deficiency in the nuclear located receptor. The nuclear ER-estrogen complex can regulate genes, positively or negatively, by binding directly to specific DNA sequences in the promoter region of regulated genes involving the recruitment of coregulatory proteins (coactivators or corepressors) to the promoter, producing increased or decreased mRNA levels and associated protein production, and resulting physiological response. Gene-targeted knock-out mouse models lacking these receptors exhibit distinct phenotypes [19].

An alternative mode of action of estrogen involves an indirect mechanism by which the ER does not bind directly to the DNA but interacts with existing transcription factors; this is referred to as the tethered mechanism of nuclear receptor gene regulation [20,21]. To elicit many actions of the hormone this “genomic” mechanism typically occurs over the course of hours in most tissues. In contrast, non-genomic mechanisms, can also occur with estrogen, either through the ER located in or adjacent to the plasma membrane involving adaptor proteins such as caveolin-1 or Shc, or through other non-ER plasma membrane–associated estrogen-binding proteins, such as GPR30 [22], resulting in cellular responses such as activation of kinases which then acts to prime the genomic actions. Finally, a third aspect of ER activity involves the ligand independent activation of the receptor protein. Such an action has been shown experimentally in cells and animal models, involving the activation
of kinase cascades by growth factors or other membrane signaling agents [23]. The extent to which any of these specific mechanisms are involved in mediating the physiological actions of estrogen still requires considerable study in order to develop effective biomedical understanding and therapeutic treatment.

3. Control of longitudinal growth and final stature

The most visible action of estrogen on the human skeleton for both males and females is control of body proportion and determination of final stature [2,3]. The male identified with ERα point mutation (hERKO), described throughout this review, is a unique individual and clinical case. He presented at age 28 with tall stature (204 cm), eunuchoid body proportions (0.83 (average for men, 0.96)), unfused epiphyses (bone age ~15 years) and moderate to severe genu valgum. Unlike normal males, in which there is a self-limited surge of estrogen coinciding with a growth spurt [24], in the hERKO man there was likely a prolonged phase of elevated estrogens associated with sustained linear growth into his third decade. There was a minimal bone age progression despite normal testosterone and insulin-like growth factor-I (IGF-I) levels. Tall stature ensued but with marked eunuchoid body proportions and debilitating genu valgum. This is strikingly similar to reports in 7 aromatase deficient (AD) men all whom presented either in their 3rd or 4th decade with tall stature ranging from 183 to 204 cm, bone ages ranging from 14.5 to 16.5 years, genu valgum and eunuchoid body proportions (see Table 1) [2,25–31]. By contrast, a 8th case of aromatase deficiency presented at age 17.1 years with a bone age of 12, normal stature and apparently normal body proportions [32] and an 9th case was reported as a male infant [33]. Importantly, in the aromatase deficient cases in which estrogen treatment was initiated [30,34–37], there was epiphyseal maturation, but only in the adolescent-aged individual was a significant growth acceleration observed [32]. AD has been reported in 11 females to date [2] but are readily identified early in life secondary to virilization; estrogen therapy is instituted before a bone phenotype becomes manifest.

Consistent with the longitudinal growth observations, ERα and ERβ [38–42], and more recently with the GPR30 [22,43], a G protein membrane estrogen signaling receptor, have been localized to human growth plate chondrocytes. In the rabbit, which resembles the human with respect to epiphyseal fusion in response to estrogen, ERα is readily detectable in resting, proliferative and hypertrophic chondrocytes [44]. However, animal models other than the rabbit and human have manifested different phenotypes, perhaps in part because of fundamental differences in growth dynamics [45–49]. Notably, in normal mice, the epiphyses do not completely fuse during the equivalence of puberty [24,50,51]. Further complicating interpretation is a sexually dimorphic age dependent pattern in the mouse knock-out models [52] and differences related to the degree of completeness of the knock-out [1,21,53,54]. For example, putatively complete ERKO mice [55] appear to have femur bone length similar to wild type in both males and females, while other reports indicate shorter bones in both males and females [56,57]. ERβ knock-out (bERKO) male and young and old female mice display unchanged femur lengths whereas in intermediate aged female mice with naturally occurring high estrogen levels have longer bones [49]. However, bERKO female mice do not have abnormal estrogen levels compared to wild type [55,58,59]. Aromatase deficient mice (ArKO) phenotype reveals a shortened femur length in
males with less effect in females [45,46]. GRP30 knock-out female mice are smaller than normal where as male knock-out animals appear similar to wild type controls [60]; subsequent analysis of the effect of ovariectomy on female GRP30−/− animals reveals decreased femur length compared to wild type ovariectomized with reduced impact of estrogen replacement [61]. Finally, androgen receptor knock-out (ARKO) mouse models show shorter femurs accompanied by osteopenia only in males and not in females [62].

As a result of the disparate phenotypes, the primary reason for difference in phenotypes between rodents and man remains largely speculative. Does ERβ account for some estrogen-mediated actions on epiphyseal maturation? Do the shorter femurs in female GRP30−/− animals coupled with localization of GRP30 in growth plates indicate an important function for GRP30 mediated estrogen signaling in bone growth? If so, why only in female mice and why are femurs shorter rather than longer? An intriguing potential explanation has been suggested from the observation that hERKO man possessed a mutation in exon 2 consisting of a cytosine-to-thymine transition at codon 157 of both alleles, resulting in a premature stop codon that likely produced a truncated protein [3,52,53]. There is in vitro evidence that this truncated product may sustain some residual estrogenic activity [52,63]. Chagin and Savendahl [52] have speculated that this residual truncated N-terminal fragment [6,52,53,64] in the hERKO man may have served to retard epiphyseal closure until the 4th decade of life by acting as a dominant negative inhibitor of ERβ. However, no experimental studies have indicated such a mechanism to date. In fact, cultured bone cells from the ERKO man, despite having both ERβ mRNA and protein, failed to elicit any estrogen responsiveness relative to control wild type cells [65,66]. The fused epiphyses ultimately occurred in the hERKO man at age 35.5 without the benefit of significant estrogen intervention [65] but with elevated endogenously produced estrogen. Epiphyseal fusion could be explained by a prolonged action of modestly high estrogens through either ERβ, GRP30 or truncated ERα but there remains no definitive evidence for any of these possibilities.

Although the ArKO cases and hERKO man clearly demonstrate that estrogen is critical for final epiphyseal maturation, a closer examination of their “pubertal” increment in growth does not implicate conclusively which sex steroid, androgen or estrogen, in addition to the prerequisite contribution of other hormone systems such as the GH-IGF-I axis [67–69], is the primary mediator of the pubertal growth spurt that is typically about 15–20% of final height [67,70,71]. Bone ages in the hERKO man and male aromatase deficient patients at presentation were substantially more advanced though not fused, and stature was greater, than in late presenting hypogonadal individuals [72,73]. Interestingly, the available longitudinal growth data in the hERKO case and the aromatase deficient patients suggest that a pubertal growth spurt occurred since the documented statures at age 18 matched the predicted height based on parental target range. This was followed during the third decade by tall stature greater than observed in isolated hypogonadism [69,74], eunuchoid body proportions similar to isolated hypogonadism and genu valgum seemingly more severe than reported with hypogonadism [72–74]. Although androgen insensitivity (AI) syndromes argue strongly that estrogen in the absence of a functional androgen receptor (AR) can induce both a growth spurt and epiphyseal maturation [75–77], there is controversy in these rare individuals regarding whether estrogen can fully compensate for the lack of androgen
action [75,78]. Do the available data support the notion that androgen can promote growth without inordinate bone age maturation under some circumstances? The growth data of hypogonadal individuals, particularly with intact adrenal androgen levels and normal growth hormone secretion [72,73], reveal an apparent maintenance of prepubertal height velocity during the first half of the second decade. Bone age is delayed but a full growth increment characteristic of puberty does not generally occur. This suggests that the differences in the growth observed in the hERKO case and the aromatase deficiency patients compared with hypogonadal individuals is related to combined androgen exposure and effectively deficient estrogen state. Seemingly, despite the well-documented actions of nonaromatizable androgen to advance bone age [79–82], under some circumstances androgen levels in the pubertal range can promote pubertal growth without rapid maturation of the epiphyses in the absence of full estrogen action.

What precise sex steroid environment promotes linear growth without inordinately advancing bone age is an important question to address in future clinical studies. Modulation of estrogen exposure, while simultaneously maintaining normal circulating androgens, has a number of intriguing potential benefits. Age-appropriate masculinization can be achieved while suppressing bone age maturation. In addition, maintenance of androgen concentrations allows for greater increments in height per unit of bone age advancement and, as mentioned later in this review, may result in simultaneous periosteal growth of the skeleton and could lead to a greater peak bone mass. As already indicated, this is a markedly different outcome from the tapered height velocity observed in longstanding hypogonadism [24] and may result in a larger and stronger skeleton less susceptible to fractures.

A tentative overall conclusion is that normal to increased stature can ultimately evolve over time without estrogen and that bone age maturation tends to arrest at about 15 years. Final epiphyseal fusion, coupled with a growth spurt, is, however, observed only if estrogen exposure occurs at younger bone ages. This conclusion suggests that manipulation of estrogen production and/or signaling has potential for augmenting final height. Recent studies using aromatase inhibitors confirm the potential for increasing stature in a variety of clinical conditions by modulating estrogen concentrations [83]. For example, Mauras et al. [84], in a study involving 52 short adolescent males randomized to receive either anastrozole or placebo for up to 36 months, demonstrated similar linear growth with a decrease in the rate of bone age advancement. The resulting increase in predicted height at 36 months was +6.7 ± 1.4 cm for the anastrozole group. Importantly, there was no significant difference in the spine BMD Z-score. However, the authors and a recent position statement by the Lawson Wilkins Pediatric Endocrine Society [85] stress that long-term follow-up is needed before aromatase inhibitors are used in children for stature manipulation outside of a controlled trial setting.

A final important caveat, however, to the prospects for sex steroid manipulation of final height is that there are senescence factors, intrinsic to the epiphysis, that serve to define an overall limit on final stature [24]. The near final epiphyseal fusion in the hERKO subject in particular supports this notion. Not only was linear growth without ERα activity largely completed by the middle to end of the 3rd decade in this patient and in the aromatase deficient cases, but the increased stature was accompanied by abnormalities of the body
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proportion, marked *genu valgum*, and osteopenia. The role of estrogen appears to be accelerate senescence within a growth plate that has an internal program limiting the number of chondrocyte divisions [24,86]. Though both high doses of estrogen and early estrogen exposure will reduce final height [87], estrogen exposure within the physiologic range is not associated with significantly compromised final height and reduced estrogen production in boys with constitutional delay may lead to improved height prediction. The rapid fusion observed in the estrogen treated aromatase patients [26] and the progressive epiphyseal maturation observed in young children with precocious puberty [88] all point to a program of senescence within the growth plate that estrogen is able to modulate in humans and in some mammals. Stature increments, substantially beyond the normal genetic potential, are not likely to be achieved safely by manipulating estrogen action for more than a few years.

4. Bone mineral density and architectural integrity in human models

The next most consistent clinical phenotype of human models of estrogen deficiency and/or resistance is marked decreased areal measures of bone mineral density (aBMD). The decreased spine aBMD in the 7 reported aromatase patients improved significantly within 6 months of estrogen treatment (Table 1). The decreased aBMD is presumably a manifestation of decreased trabecular volume from increased bone resorption coupled with decreased bone formation, a predictable outcome of the severe disruption in estrogen action [89,90] on trabeculae, a well-documented site for ERα [38,40] and ERβ [38,40] receptor expression in both osteoblasts and osteoclasts. Interestingly, many studies on the relationship between bone and circulating sex steroids in men suggest a greater correlation with estradiol than testosterone [91–94]. However, with the hERKO man, the only case with a detailed histomorphometric analysis [65], preservation of trabecular number is documented. This is not characteristic of male and female hypogonadism, and is more typical of the aging skeleton with normal androgen concentrations [95]. The preserved trabecular number may be explained, in part, by residual actions of estrogen through ERβ [55], known to be expressed in human osteoblasts [38] and osteoclasts [39,40], and normal androgen levels [96,97]. Studies involving supplementation with testosterone [98] to standard estrogen/progesterone replacement in postmenopausal women and analysis of AI individuals [75] strongly suggest important actions of androgens on trabecular bone. Androgen receptors have been reported in human osteoblasts [99,100]. Finally, in the detailed longitudinal follow-up of the aromatase subject described by Rochira et al. [36]; following a window of estrogen replacement for approximately 2 years, androgen was added to the regimen with a further increase in spine aBMD from 1.018 g/cm² to 1.134 g/cm². The authors’ interpretation is that the full anabolic action of estrogen requires androgen. Regardless, a potential clinical implication is that interventions that augment ERα pathways and preserve the anabolic actions of androgen may be particularly effective in maintaining and/or augmenting trabecular bone.

It is interesting to compare these observations with the impact of estrogen on human bone among lactating women. Decreases of 3–9% in spine and femoral neck aBMD have been reported among lactating women [101–103]. This decrease occurs rapidly within the first 3–6 months of lactation, reaching rates of bone loss of 1% per month. However, the amount of bone lost during lactation is variable and the length of postpartum amenorrhea is an
important determinant. Although the length of postpartum amenorrhea is associated with the length of lactation, some women resume menses while still breastfeeding. Several studies have found that the net change in spine aBMD is less in women who resumed menses during lactation compared to women who do not resume menses [103,104]. Importantly and particularly relevant for this review, spine aBMD increases on average 5.2% between 6 and 12 months postpartum, the majority of this increase occurring following weaning [103] and women with high parity who breast-fed their children have greater bone cross-sectional area and bone bending strength later in life [105]. These findings underscore the importance of estrogen in regulating bone loss during lactation and suggest there are inherent mechanisms for skeletal recovery from periods of lowered estrogen.

Another remarkable set of findings observed in 2 of the aromatase patients by pQCT and by both pQCT and histomorphometry in the hERKO males is a marked thinning of the cortex with low cortical vBMD and increased trabecularization by histomorphometry [65] (Table 2). The decreased cortical thickness was present with normal to increased periosteal circumference or cross-sectional bone area (Fig. 1). The ArKO subject described by Rochira, who was also hypogonadal with low testosterone concentrations, showed increases in cortical thickness and periosteal expansion with testosterone plus estrogen replacement [36]. Bone cross-sectional area is greater in men and is considered to be secondary to the increased periosteal apposition rate induced by androgens [106–109] and a possible inhibition of resorption on endosteal surfaces by estrogen [110]. Postmenopausal women are susceptible to increased endosteal bone loss relative to men, exhibit less periosteal apposition of new bone, and display greater cortical porosity [111]. The greater cortical vBMD that is observed in women of reproductive age has been hypothesized to be a result of estrogen-driven packing of excess bone for needs related to pregnancy and lactation [112]. Although estrogen is considered by some to exert primarily inhibitory actions on periosteal expansion, there is recent evidence from animals models that many of the actions of estrogen on periosteum may be to stimulate expansion through ERα, but in a dosage sensitive manner, and potentially, in collaboration with androgen actions [76,76,97,113,114] on periosteally located AR [114]. However, recent studies have found that estrogen does not have an independent effect on periosteal expansion and that the action of estrogen and bone loading on bone structure are independent and additive [115]. In the aromatase deficient subject presenting at age 17 years described by Bouillon et al., estrogen replacement alone appeared to induce modest linear growth but skeletal size was proportionately augmented; total vBMD and bone mineral apparent density (BMD adjusting for bone size) at the 4% distal radius measured by pQCT were unchanged, yet aBMD at the same location was increased, indicating that bone size and not true volumetric density were affected [32]. However, longitudinal measures at the 4% distal radius are difficult to compare due to large differences in bone diameter that occur at this location within very short distances. Because of the continued growth of this individual, it would have been impossible to measure the same location repeatedly over time, making the results difficult to interpret.

Related to the above description of the periosteum, bone width is affected in both the hERKO and aromatase deficient subjects. Specifically, wrist breadth at time of presentation...
of the hERKO man was greater than normal at 7.2 cm (normal adult males: 6.0 ± 0.5 [mean ± SD]; normal adult females: 5.3 ± 0.3 cm) and the width of the distal femurs were both estimated to be 113 mm (normal adult male 90 ± 7 mm). The skeletal “frame size” as measured at the wrist and knee is distinctly different from the ectomorphic appearing skeleton of longstanding hypogonadism [116] and this phenotype may be due to important actions of androgen in these individuals [79,97,113]. The ArKO subjects have normal to slightly elevated androgen prior to intervention and this may serve to maintain and/or augment bone size. In the ArKO patient described by Bouillon et al. [32], the initiation of estrogen replacement, which was followed by reduction but relative maintenance androgen concentrations, resulted in significant increase in skeletal size based on the observation that despite increases in cortical cross-sectional area, vBMD remained unchanged [32]. This notion that androgen enlarges the skeleton is supported by studies demonstrating that femoral area of androgen resistant rats is lower compared to normal males [117]. In addition, it is well established that androgen stimulates periosteal bone growth [79,118–120] and different skeletal domains such as the mandible [121,122] display sexual dimorphism.

5. Conclusions

Detailed analysis of homozygous-affected hERKO patient and aromatase individuals, as well as appropriate experimental animal models, suggest a complex contribution of estrogen to bone growth, aBMD, and skeletal structural integrity (Fig. 2). ERα disruption specifically results in delayed epiphyseal maturation, tall stature, trabecular thinning, marked cortical thinning, significantly reduced cortical vBMD, and normal to increased periosteal expansion. Of significant clinical relevance, debilitating genu valgum evolves. This supports an important role for ERα in mediating the actions of estrogen on the male skeleton, a result supported from the animal models as well. Whether this would hold true for female subjects is not known at the current time, since no hERKO female patients have been identified and the findings in the female mice are not as revealing as expected. Lack of estrogen due to aromatase deficiency results in a similar phenotype though less is known about skeletal architecture. Estrogen replacement, even if provided late in third decade may normalize aBMD, although it is less clear if there is complete recovery of normal skeletal architecture and strength. It also is not clear whether the residual effect of estrogen through ERβ, truncated ERα or GPR30 might manifest a different phenotype in the hERKO man than aromatase deficient subjects. Androgen, particularly by preserving trabecular number and augmenting both periosteal and epiphyseal growth, has important actions on bone. Targeting both ER and AR and the production of their respective activating hormones and/or mimetics will provide complimentary strategies to the management of sex hormone-regulated diseases such as osteoporosis and short stature.
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Fig. 1.
pQCT images of hERKO subject and normal man. This figure depicts pQCT images at the 4% (left) and 20% (right) distal radius in the hERKO subject (top row, A) and a man with similar arm length (bottom row, B). Decreased cortical thickness is apparent at both sites, as well as increased periosteal circumference and decreased trabecular vBMD, at the 4% distal radius.
Fig. 2.
Summary of the action of estrogen and androgen on bone. This figure depicts a rendition of a human distal femur. The principle actions of the sex steroids on bone are demonstrated based on the clinical findings in ArKO male subjects and the hERKO man. The action of androgen are listed on the left; the effects of estrogen on the right. The combined actions are shown on the lower middle.
Table 1
hERKO man and ArKO individuals: skeletal findings at presentation and aBMD response to estrogen treatment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Chronological age (year)</th>
<th>Bone age (year)</th>
<th>Height (cm)</th>
<th>Genu valgum</th>
<th>Spine aBMD At presentation</th>
<th>Spine aBMD After estrogen</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ArKO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morishima et al. [2,34]</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>0.931 (T = −1.96)</td>
<td>1.123 (T = +0.08) (12 months of estrogen)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carani et al. [26,35]</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>0.933 (T = −2.07)</td>
<td>1.18 (T = −0.20) (~2 years of estrogen)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herrmann et al. [37]</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>0.971 (T = −2.24)</td>
<td>1.150 (T = −1.0) (6 months of estrogen)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maffei et al. [27,36]</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>183.5</td>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>0.773 (T = −2.2)</td>
<td>0.813 (T = −1.9) (12 months of estrogen)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maffei et al. [28]</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>191.8</td>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>0.352 (T = −1.8) (ultradistal forearm)</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bouillon et al. [32]</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>0.837 (T = −2.31)</td>
<td>1.031 (T = −0.55) (~3 years of estrogen)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lanfranco et al. [30]</td>
<td>26.8</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>Marked</td>
<td>0.87 (T = −3.0)</td>
<td>0.980 (T = −2.2) (14 months of estrogen)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pura et al. [31]</td>
<td>26.8</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deladoey et al. [33]</td>
<td>Infant</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hERKO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith et al. [3]</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>0.745 (T = −3.1)</td>
<td>No change; near complete fusion by age 35 without treatment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2

Comparison of bone phenotype in males with different conditions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Aging</th>
<th>Hypogonadism</th>
<th>Estrogen resistance</th>
<th>Aromatase deficiency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>aBMD</td>
<td>Decreased</td>
<td>Decreased</td>
<td>Decreased</td>
<td>Decreased</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vertebral Tb bone mineral loss</td>
<td>40% decrease (from 20 to 80 years) [123]</td>
<td>Similar to females</td>
<td>Markedly decreased</td>
<td>Markedly decreased</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tb thickness (μm) (iliac crest)</td>
<td>129 ± 28 [124]</td>
<td>~124</td>
<td>76.2</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tb number/mm (iliac crest)</td>
<td>1.23 ± 0.37 [124]</td>
<td>1.161 ± 0.230 [125]</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tb structural integrity (iliac crest)</td>
<td>Thinned but intact</td>
<td>Thinned with some decreased integrity</td>
<td>Thinned but intact</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cortical thickness (iliac crest and wrist)</td>
<td>Decreased</td>
<td>Markedly decreased</td>
<td>Modestly decreased</td>
<td>Modestly decreased (wrist)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bone turnover</td>
<td>Active</td>
<td>Modestly increased</td>
<td>Markedly quiescent</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skeletal size</td>
<td>Larger relative to women</td>
<td>Increased in length but not width</td>
<td>Increased in length and width</td>
<td>Increased in length and width</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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