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Biochar Reduced Nitrous Oxide and Carbon Dioxide Emissions from Soil with Different Water and Temperature Cycles

Jiyul Chang, David E. Clay,* Sharon A. Clay, Rajesh Chintala, Janet M. Miller, and Thomas Schumacher

ABSTRACT

Interactions among biochar, respiration, nitrification, and soils can result in biochar increasing, decreasing, or not impacting greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions. This experiment determined the impact of water-filled porosity (WFP) and corn (Zea mays L.) stover biochar on CO2 and N2O emissions in May (spring) and August (summer). The May experiment contained two N rates [0 and 224 kg Ca(NO3)2–N ha–1], whereas the August had three N rates [0, 224 kg Ca(NO3)2–N ha–1, and 224 kg (NH4)2SO4–N ha–1]. The average temperatures in the May and Augusts 2014 experiments were 14 and 24°C, respectively. Biochar reduced CO2–C emissions in the high WFP Ca(NO3)2 treatment in the May and August experiments 15.4 and 16.3 kg ha–1, respectively. Associated with the CO2–C decrease was a 15.7% reduction in the soil solution dissolved organic C. In addition, N2O–N and CO2–C emissions were not correlated in the May Ca(NO3)2, ha–1 treatment, whereas in the August experiment, N2O–N and CO2–C emissions were correlated (r2 = 0.98, P < 0.01). In August, biochar increased the apparent nitrification from 16 to 25 kg NH4–N (ha x d)–1 in the low WFP (NH4)2SO4 treatment, and it did not influence the nitrification rate in the high WFP (NH4)2SO4 treatment. In general, N2O–N emissions increased with WFP and N rate and were reduced 21.7% by biochar. The findings suggest that multiple mechanisms contributed to N2O emissions and seasonal differences in soil temperature could result in biochar having a mixed impact on GHG emissions.

Core Ideas

• Biochar reduces CO2 gas emission from soil in high soil temperature.
• Biochar reduces N2O gas emission from soil in high soil temperature.
• Biochar reduces N2O gas emission from high water-filled porosity condition.

Research suggests that a large component of agriculture’s carbon footprint is linked to nitrous oxide emission, which is a potent greenhouse gas (Wang et al., 2012b; Wilson et al., 2015). To reduce agricultural impact on the environment, GHG emissions need to be reduced and N fertilizer efficiency needs to be enhanced (Mcdonald et al., 2015; Mohammed et al., 2016). Traditional approaches to reduce GHG emissions include: (i) reducing the tillage intensity, (ii) reducing N fertilizer additions, (iii) adopting techniques, such as cover crops, that improve nutrient recycling; and (iv) splitting the N application (Clay et al., 2012, 2015; Reese et al., 2014; Kovács et al., 2015; Hooper et al., 2015). Unfortunately, some of techniques may increase labor and production costs while adversely affecting yield.

Biochar has been proposed as an alternative approach to reduce GHG emissions and enhance soil health (Mollinedo et al., 2015; Trippe et al., 2015; Cayuela et al., 2013,2014). However, the impact of biochar on the soil physical and biological properties has been mixed. For example, Chintala et al. (2014b) reported that biochar reduced CO2 emission, whereas Fernández et al. (2014) and Wang et al. (2012a) reported that biochar did not influence or increased emission. Mixed results could be attributed to biochar having different impacts on different components of the N cycle, and that GHG emissions are impacted by the process used to produce biochar (Singh et al., 2010; Castaldi et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2011, 2012a, 2012b; Zimmerman et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2012; Case et al., 2012; Kammann et al., 2012; Cayuela et al., 2013; Clough et al., 2013; Biederman and Harpole, 2012; Chintala et al., 2014a, 2014c; Fernández et al., 2014; Song et al., 2014; Prommer et al., 2014; Creamer et al., 2014; Nelissen et al., 2014; Mollinedo et al., 2015).

In soil, CO2 and N2O emissions are the result of microbial respiration (denitrification/co-denitrification) and nitrification. In respiration, organic materials are oxidized to produce energy, and during the final stage of aerobic respiration, electrons are combined with O2 to produce water. However, if O2 becomes limited and nitrate is available, some microbes have the capacity to switch from O2 to NO3– as the terminal electron acceptor (Linn and Doran, 1984: Bateman and Baggs, 2005; Liu et al., 2007). This process is called denitrification, and the rate is indirectly related to the oxygen...
biochar, by enhancing nitrification, can increase N2O emission if the soil temperature is low, respiration may not be high enough to nitrate are important processes. The mixed impact of biochar on GHG emissions may be related to the impact of temperature on the rate that C and N compounds are processed through nitrification and respiration. For example, if the soil temperature is low, respiration may not be high enough to produce anaerobic conditions. Under these conditions, biochar, by enhancing nitrification, can increase N2O emission (Prommer et al., 2014). Under higher temperatures, biochar may reduce N2O emission by reducing respiration (Chintala et al., 2014b). The objective of this experiment was to determine the impact of corn stover biochar on CO2 and N2O emissions in field experiments with different water and temperature cycles.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

This experiment was conducted at the Aurora Experimental Farm in Brookings, SD (44°18′20.57″ N, 96°40′14.04″ W) in the middle of May (from 17th–23rd) and middle of August (from 14th–20th), 2014. The May and August treatments were selected to represent two different temperature regimes. Treatments were applied to a site previously cropped to corn and soybean (*Glycine max* (L.) Merr.) and the field was chisel plowed and disked to control weeds and prepare a seedbed on 12 May. The soil type was a Brandt silty clay loam (fine-silty, mixed, superactive, frigid Calcic Hapludoll), with clay, silt, and sand percentage of 28.6, 40.75, and 20.65%, respectively. The soil initial SOC concentration was 1.2 g cm–3. Plants were excluded from the study area.

**Experimental Design**

In the May study, a randomized complete block experiment was used to determine the impact of two N rates (0 and 224 kg Ca(NO3)2–N ha–1), two biochar rates (biochar and no-biochar) and two water-filled porosity (low and high) treatments on N2O–N and CO2–C emissions. Each treatment was replicated twice. In the August study, the number of N treatments were increased from two to three (0, 224 kg Ca(NO3)2–N ha–1, and 224 kg (NH4)2SO4–N ha–1) and each treatment was replicated three times. The two N treatments [(NH4)2SO4 and Ca(NO3)2] were used to calculate different components of the N cycle. For example, nitrification rate calculations were based on changes in ammonia concentration in the (NH4)2SO4 treatment.

The N treatments were prepared by mixing the reagent grade chemical compounds with 100 mL of water, which were subsequently sprinkled onto the bare soil surface. The water or water + N treatments were sprinkled onto all treatments including the no-N and no-biochar controls. For these treatments, the fertilizer were mixed with type 1 water (EC < 0.1 dS m–1).

The fertilizer and biochar treatments were applied to PVC rings with a 25 cm diam. and a 15 cm height. Each ring was an individual plot and plants were excluded from the rings. The rings were pushed 5-cm deep into the soil. For the biochar treatments, 1000 kg of corn stover biochar ha–1 were mixed 7.5-cm deep into the soil. This rate was approximately 10 g of biochar for each kg of soil. The area outside of the ring was not treated with biochar or fertilizer.

The biochar was produced from corn stover collected in the fall of 2012. The baled biomass was pelletized to size of 6 by 1500 mm. Biochar was produced in a two stage continuous process in which the reactor temperature increased from 150 to 850°C over a 4 h and 4 min period (Biochar Solutions, Inc, Carbondale, CO). The specific surface area, pH, electrical conductivity (EC), cation exchange capacity (CEC), total C, and N of corn stover biochar were 1.76 ha kg–1, 10.0, 0.80 dS m–1, 24 cmolc kg–1, 480 g kg–1, and 4.1 g kg–1, respectively (Chintala et al., 2014b). The C/N ratio of the biochar was 17. The soil pH and electrical conductivity of the soil and biochar mixture was measured with a 1:1 soil to water mixture. When added to soil, the biochar increased the soil pH (1:1 water) from 5.77 (±0.03) to 6.20 (±0.29), and in the August experiment, biochar addition did not influence the 1:1 soil to water EC (0.37 ± 0.11 dS m–1) value.

For the high WFP treatment, 300 mL of water (0.61 cm) was initially uniformly added across the treatment area to saturate the soil. Thereafter, 100 mL (0.2 cm) of water was sprayed across the treatment area three times daily. For the low WFP treatment, water was not added during experiments. The WFP for the biochar and non-biochar treatments are shown in Table 1. Soil temperature of the surface 7.5 cm was measured in the plots using a Taylor 3516 Digital Instant Read Thermometer (Taylor Precision Product, Inc. Las Cruces, NM). The average soil temperatures in May at the 7.5-cm depth were 15°C (range of 5.4– 21.9°C) and 14°C (range of 5.6– 21.8°C) in the low and high WFP, respectively, whereas in August, the average soil temperatures were 24°C (range of 19.6– 31.8°C) and 22°C (range of 18.0– 26.9°C) in the low and high WFP, respectively. Apparent nitrification rate for the August NH4–N treatment was calculated with the equation, [(Initial NH4 + NH4–N added) – remaining NH4]/7 d. This equation does not account for N mineralization.

**Measuring Greenhouse Gases**

To trap the N2O and CO2 greenhouse gases, 10 mL gas samples were collected with a syringe at 0 and 20 min after the PVC cylinders were covered and sealed. Each cover had a
The amount of inorganic N contained in the surface 15 cm prior to the May study was 46.4 kg inorganic-N ha\(^{-1}\), and after the experiment was completed, the low and high WFP no-fertilizer control treatments contained 42.1 and 45.0 kg inorganic-N ha\(^{-1}\), respectively. After the experiment was completed, the low and high WFP no-fertilizer control treatments contained 42.1 and 45.0 kg inorganic-N ha\(^{-1}\), respectively.

Soil Analysis

In the May and August experiments at time zero, soil samples were collected adjacent to the rings from 2 depths (0–15 and 15–30 cm), and at completion of the experiment, samples were collected from the rings. Bulk density was determined and soil samples were analyzed for soil moisture, air dried, ground, and analyzed for ammonium and nitrate concentrations using a 10:1 1 M KCl solution to soil ratio (Kim et al., 2008). Ammonium and nitrate concentrations were used to determine net inorganic N accumulations, relative amount of nitrate in the surface soil, and assess the relative impact of biochar on nitrification. Gravimetric values were converted to volumetric values using the measured soil bulk densities.

The amount of inorganic N in the surface 15 cm prior to the May study was 33.4 kg of inorganic-N ha\(^{-1}\). After the experiment was completed, the low and high WFP no-fertilizer control treatments contained 42.1 and 33.0 kg inorganic-N ha\(^{-1}\) in the surface 15 cm, respectively. The amount of inorganic N in the surface 15 cm prior to the August study was 46.4 kg inorganic-N ha\(^{-1}\), and after the experiment was completed, the low and high WFP no-fertilizer control treatments contained 68.4 and 70.4 kg inorganic-N ha\(^{-1}\), respectively.

The Fourier transformation of the air temperatures, CO\(_2\)–C, and N\(_2\)O–N emissions were used to determine the phase angle and amplitudes of the soil moisture, temperature, CO\(_2\)–C, and N\(_2\)O (amplitude) diurnal cycles. In this transformation, the data was fit to the equation,

\[ y(t) = A \cos \left( \frac{2\pi c t}{T} - \phi \right) \]

where \(T\) is the interval, \(y_j(t)\) is the gas concentration at time \(t\), \(A_j\) is amplitude of the cosine curve, \(\phi_j\) is phase angle of the cosine curve, and \(c\) is the frequency of the wave cycles (Carr, 1995). The amplitude represents the height of diurnal cycle peak, whereas the phase angle or shift represents the offset of peak in the cosine wave. The peak time of diurnal cycle was determined by converting the phase angle to 24 h basis. In this experiment, \(T = 1\) (a day in 24 h period) and \(c = 1\) (a complete cycle).

The statistical analysis was conducted in PROC GLM in SAS (SAS Institute, 2008). The Fisher’s LSD at the 0.1 level of significance were used to separate means. May and August experiments were analyzed separately.

The influence of biochar on dissolved organic C was evaluated using surface soil from the study site where moist soil was mixed with two biochar rates (none and 1%) and incubated for 7 d at 20°C. The gravimetric soil moisture was 25% and the soil was placed into covered containers. The containers had a radius of 8 cm and a height of 10 cm and volume of 2 L. The soil depth was 7 cm and each treatment was replicated four times. At the completion of the experiment, 20 g of soil was mixed with 20 mL of water, centrifuged, and analyzed for dissolved organic C (Rice et al., 2012).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Nitrous Oxide and Carbon Dioxide Emissions

During the May experiment, the CO\(_2\)–C, N\(_2\)O–N emissions, and soil temperature followed diurnal cycles (Fig. 1) and had similar diurnal cycle phase shifts (Table 2). Biochar did not influence the phase angles for either GHG. The diurnal CO\(_2\) and N\(_2\)O emissions patterns were attributed to microbial respiration that increased with soil temperature, and N\(_2\)O and CO\(_2\) solubility that decreased with increasing temperature. For example, increasing the temperature from 15 to 35°C reduces N\(_2\)O and CO\(_2\) solubility in water 42 and 43%, respectively. Smith et al. (1998) and Clay et al. (1990) had similar results and reported that GHG emissions increased with soil temperature. However, there were distinct differences between the N\(_2\)O–N and CO\(_2\)–C emissions pattern. These differences were associated with the time delay between the start of the experiment and the strong diurnal N\(_2\)O emission cycles that were observed 2 to 4 d later (Fig. 1). This delay was not observed for CO\(_2\)–C emissions.

The N\(_2\)O–N emissions were generally higher in the high WFP (WFP = 67%) than the low WFP (WFP = 45%) treatments. The impact of WFP on N\(_2\)O–N emissions is consistent with Linn and Doran (1984) and it supports the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>May: Soil depth, cm</th>
<th>August: Soil depth, cm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0–7.5</td>
<td>7.5–15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High WFP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biochar</td>
<td>68.0</td>
<td>64.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No-biochar</td>
<td>67.0</td>
<td>65.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low WFP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biochar</td>
<td>45.0</td>
<td>57.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No-biochar</td>
<td>45.0</td>
<td>56.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
hypothesis that O<sub>2</sub> flux slowed with increasing WFP. However, because nitrate increased N<sub>2</sub>O–N emissions in both the low and high WFP treatments, it is likely that denitrification/co-denitrification occurred in both WFP treatments (Table 2). In addition: (i) in the low WFP treatments, Ca(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> addition increased CO<sub>2</sub>–C emissions, (ii) inorganic N accumulation (final N – initial N) was less in the unfertilized high WFP (12 kg N ha<sup>−1</sup>) than the unfertilized low WFP (49 kg N ha<sup>−1</sup>) treatment; (iii) biochar reduced CO<sub>2</sub>–C emissions by 12 and 14% in the low and high WFP treatments, respectively; (iv) the amplitudes of the May N<sub>2</sub>O diurnal cycles were approximately 22% lower in the low WFP than the high WFP treatments when Ca(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> was added; and (v) 0.21% of the applied Ca(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>–N [(N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>fertilized</sub> – N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>no-fertilizer</sub>) / N applied] was emitted as N<sub>2</sub>O over 7 d. Cayuela et al. (2013) also reported that biochar reduced N<sub>2</sub>O emission, however they attributed the reduction to an enhanced electron shuttle which increased N<sub>2</sub> emissions and an associated reduction in the N<sub>2</sub>O to [N<sub>2</sub>+N<sub>2</sub>O]<sup>−1</sup> ratio. Findings from Harter et al. (2014) support this hypothesis. Our study proposed an alternative hypothesis, where biochar sorbed soluble organic matter which reduced the amount organic substrate available for respiration.

During the August experiment, CO<sub>2</sub>–C, N<sub>2</sub>O–N, soil water, and soil temperature also followed diurnal cycles. In the low water filled porosity treatments (WFP = 42.5%), the CO<sub>2</sub>–C, N<sub>2</sub>O–N, and soil temperature phase shifts were similar and peak values occurred between 1700 and 1900 h, whereas the soil moisture peak occurred 10 h earlier (1600–1800 h). These findings suggest that GHG emissions and soil moisture cycles

---

**Fig. 1.** The N<sub>2</sub>O–N and CO<sub>2</sub>–C emission, soil moisture (0–7.5 cm), and temperatures (7.5 cm) in the biochar and non-biochar experiments conducted in May and August. All treatments were fertilized with 224 kg Ca(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>–N ha<sup>−1</sup>.
were offset from each other, and that gas samples collected at 700 h would underestimate GHG emissions, while samples collected at 1400 h would overestimate emissions. Others have noted that the sampling protocols can impact calculated GHG emissions (Parkin, 2008; Reeves and Wang, 2015).

In the no-biochar low WFP treatments, the percentage of N$_2$O–N emissions over 7 d that was attributed to the addition of Ca(NO$_3$)$_2$ or (NH$_4$)$_2$SO$_4$ were 0.656 and 0.692%, respectively. In addition, (i) CO$_2$–C over 7 d was higher when (NH$_4$)$_2$SO$_4$ was added than Ca(NO$_3$)$_2$; (ii) biochar reduced CO$_2$–C emissions in the (NH$_4$)$_2$SO$_4$ treatment; and (iii) biochar reduced N$_2$O emissions 22 and 31% in the (NH$_4$)$_2$SO$_4$ and Ca(NO$_3$)$_2$ treatments, respectively. Based on these results, nitrification and denitrification/co-denitrification contributed to N$_2$O–N emissions in the low and high WFP treatments. It is important to point out that there are differences between our results and those of Archontoulis et al. (2016) and Rabot et al. (2015). Archontoulis et al. (2016) model focused on long-term impacts of biochar, whereas Rabot et al. (2015) evaluated nitrous oxide emissions without considering CO$_2$ emissions.

**Soil Inorganic Nitrogen and Nitrification**

In May, the percentage of nitrate in the soil was higher in the fertilized than the no-fertilizer treatments at the end of the experiment, and the NH$_4$–N concentrations were similar in the biochar and non-biochar treatments (Table 3). In the August experiment when Ca(NO$_3$)$_2$ was added, between 91 and 92% of the remaining inorganic N was in the nitrate form. In the high WFP treatment, N$_2$O emissions were primarily attributed to denitrification as opposed to dissimilatory nitrate reduction, because when Ca(NO$_3$)$_2$ was added to the biochar treatment, ammonium concentrations remained unchanged from the beginning (23.8 kg NH$_4$–N ha$^{-1}$) to end of the experiment (23.8 kg NH$_4$–N ha$^{-1}$).

When (NH$_4$)$_2$SO$_4$ was added, the biochar treatments had less remaining ammonium than the non-biochar treatments ($P = 0.05$). These results were attributed to biochar increasing the apparent nitrification rate. Based on changes in the inorganic N concentration in the low WFP (NH$_4$)$_2$SO$_4$ treatment, the apparent nitrification rates were 16 kg NH$_4$–N (ha × d$^{-1}$) and 24.8 kg NH$_4$–N (ha × d$^{-1}$)
The factors responsible for this increase are poorly understood (Wells and Baggs, 2014), and may be indirectly related to biochar reducing dissolved organic C. It is important to point out that even though biochar appeared to increase nitrification, biochar reduced N2O emissions. Biochar enhanced nitrification was previously reported by Prommer et al. (2014). The impact of biochar on CO2 emission and associated respiration was attributed to biochar reducing dissolved organic C concentration in the Brandt silty clay loam from 44.5 to 37.5 mg (g soil)–1 (P = 0.035). Decreases in the dissolved organic C decrease the concentration of substrates available for microbial respiration and requirement to transfer electrons to nitrate. This reduction is attributed to biochar sorbing the dissolved organic C into its structure. Biochar has been reported to sorb other organic compounds. For example, Clay et al. (2016) reported that the biochar used in this experiment reduced the amount of positive and negatively charged herbicides in the soil solution. Prommer et al. (2014) had similar results and reported that biochar increased total organic C and decreased dissolved organic C, whereas Jones et al. (2011) reported that biochar increased simazine (6-chloro-N,N’,diethyl-1,3,5-triazine-2,4-diamine) sorption, which reduced its leaching and degradation. Biochar has also been reported to sorb inorganic compounds such as phosphate (Chintala et al., 2014c).

CONCLUSIONS

Findings from this experiment show that biochar influenced C and N cycling, and that the relationship between N2O–N and CO2–C emission and soil respiration (CO2–C) was dependent on temperature and WFP. Biochar reduced CO2–C emissions in the high WFP Ca(NO3)2 treatments in the May and August experiments 15.4 and 16.3 kg ha–1, respectively. Associated with this reduction was a 15.7% reduction in dissolved organic C due to
biochar additions. In the May experiment, the average temperature was between 13 and 14°C and N₂O–N and CO₂–C emissions were not correlated in either the low or high WFP Ca(NO₃)₂ treatments. However in the August experiment, the average temperature was between 22 and 24°C and N₂O–N and CO₂–C emissions were highly correlated (r² = 0.98**) in the Ca(NO₃)₂ high WFP treatment. The strong correlation between N₂O–N and CO₂–C emissions suggests that in August, respiration and N₂O emissions were strongly linked (Fig. 2).

The temperature increase from May to August most likely was responsible for the increasing correlation between N₂O and CO₂. In addition, biochar increased the apparent nitrification from 16 to 25 kg NH₄–N d⁻¹ in the August low WFP (NH₄)₂SO₄–N ha⁻¹ treatment. The factor responsible for this increase is unknown, however it might be related to the biochar induced decrease in soluble organic C. In general, N₂O–N emission increased with WFP and N rate and were reduced by biochar. The impact of biochar on reducing N₂O–N emission was attributed to biochar reducing mineralizable soluble organic carbon (SOC) followed by a reduction in respiration. At both dates and WFP treatments, multiple mechanisms contributed to N₂O emissions. Soils with different O₂ flux characteristics or biochars with different abilities to sorb organic compounds may have different results.

This research also showed that soil temperature and N₂O and CO₂ emissions followed diurnal cycles and had common phase angles, which were not influenced by biochar. The diurnal cycle is attributed to decreasing GHG solubility with increasing temperature and/or that microbial activity mirrored the temperature cycle. The GHG diurnal cycles complicates the collection of representative gas samples. For example, if the samples were collected at 700 h, the GHG would be underestimated and if the samples were collected at 1400 h GHG would be overestimated. Because biochar did not influence the phase angle, a common sampling protocol could be used for both treatments. For this system, a single representative sample should be collected between 0900 and 1100 h. Soils with different temperature diurnal cycle phases should require different sampling protocols. This interpretation is consistent with Parkin and Venterea (2010).
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