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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CSF</td>
<td>cerebrospinal fluid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FCM</td>
<td>Fuzzy C-Means</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GM</td>
<td>gray matter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INU</td>
<td>Intensity Non-Uniformity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LBP</td>
<td>Local Binary Pattern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LBP-TOP</td>
<td>Local Binary Patterns on Three Orthogonal Planes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRI</td>
<td>Magnetic Resonance Image</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TFCM</td>
<td>Texture weighted Fuzzy C-Means</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOI</td>
<td>Volume of Interest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WM</td>
<td>white matter</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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ABSTRACT

DEVELOPMENT OF TEXTURE WEIGHTED FUZZY C-MEANS ALGORITHM FOR 3D BRAIN MRI SEGMENTATION

JI YOUNG LEE

2018

The segmentation of human brain Magnetic Resonance Image is an essential component in the computer-aided medical image processing research. Brain is one of the fields that are attracted to Magnetic Resonance Image segmentation because of its importance to human. Many algorithms have been developed over decades for brain Magnetic Resonance Image segmentation for diagnosing diseases, such as tumors, Alzheimer, and Schizophrenia. Fuzzy C-Means algorithm is one of the practical algorithms for brain Magnetic Resonance Image segmentation. However, Intensity Non-Uniformity problem in brain Magnetic Resonance Image is still challenging to existing Fuzzy C-Means algorithm.

In this paper, we propose the Texture weighted Fuzzy C-Means algorithm performed with Local Binary Patterns on Three Orthogonal Planes. By incorporating texture constraints, Texture weighted Fuzzy C-Means could take into account more global image information. The proposed algorithm is divided into following stages: Volume of Interest is extracted by 3D skull stripping in the pre-processing stage. The initial Fuzzy C-Means clustering and Local Binary Patterns on Three Orthogonal Planes feature extraction are performed to extract and classify each cluster’s features. At the last stage, Fuzzy C-Means with texture constraints refines the result of initial Fuzzy C-Means.
The proposed algorithm has been implemented to evaluate the performance of segmentation result with Dice’s coefficient and Tanimoto coefficient compared with the ground truth. The results show that the proposed algorithm has the better segmentation accuracy than existing Fuzzy C-Means models for brain Magnetic Resonance Image.
INTRODUCTION

Magnetic Resonance Imaging is one of the most popular non-invasive imaging techniques for human brain [1]. Segmentation of brain Magnetic Resonance Image (MRI) is useful for clinical purposes according to the characteristics of each part [1-6]. The segmentation has been performed for three types of tissues: cerebrospinal fluid (CSF), gray matter (GM), and white matter (WM). The segmented MRI helps medical experts in diagnosing various diseases such as tumors, Alzheimer, and Schizophrenia [7].

Various segmentation methods have been suggested for brain MRI segmentation due to its complicated structure and absence of a well-defined boundary between different tissues [8] such as edge detection [10], region growing [11], classification method [12], and clustering method [1][9]. Fuzzy C-Means (FCM) clustering is one of the most popular clustering methods because of its robust characteristics for segmentation [9,13]. It assigns each pixel to one of the pre-defined classes according to the similarities to the clusters. Ahmed et al. [15] and many researchers introduced various spatial FCM methods that consider not only the pixel itself but also its neighboring pixels [15,17-21]. However, spatial FCM methods still suffer from Intensity Non-Uniformity (INU) problem in brain MRI because FCM easily falls into local minima [22]. In this paper, we propose the Texture weighted Fuzzy C-Means (TFCM) which considers not only intensities of local neighbors but also texture patterns of them. It makes use of Local Binary Patterns on Three Orthogonal Planes (LBP-TOP) feature to represent texture information of each pixel and neighboring region and embed the information into the objective function of FCM.
The rest of this paper is organized as follows: BACKGROUND section briefly introduces fundamental of related algorithms; RELATED WORK section briefly reviews related methodologies and applications; MATERIAL AND METHODS section describes the proposed algorithm; RESULT AND ANALYSIS presents the evaluated results; CONCLUSION shows the conclusions.
BACKGROUND

Fuzzy C-Means Clustering Algorithm

Clustering is an unsupervised technique which analyzes and finds the hidden patterns from the raw and unlabeled data. Clustering partitions the data into groups (or clusters) based on some measurements for similarities and shared characteristics among the data. So, the data in the same clusters have similar characteristics after clustering, while the data in the different clusters have rare characteristics.

FCM clustering algorithm is developed by Dunn [37]. In image processing, FCM assigns each pixel (or voxel) to one of the pre-defined classes according to the similarities to the clusters. Different from K-Means clustering algorithm, one of the most famous clustering algorithms, FCM allows one piece of data to belong to two or more clusters which is called the soft clustering, while K-Means allows the data to belong to only one clusters which is called the hard clustering.

FCM aim to minimize the objective function which is called cost function in machine learning. The objective function of FCM is consist of two parts, membership function and similarity between measured data and center of the cluster.

\[ J_m = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{i=1}^{C} u_{ij}^m d^2(x_j, v_i) \]  

(1)

where \( N \) indicates the number of pixels in the whole image, \( C \) indicates the number of clusters, \( u_{ij} \) represents the membership function of the \( j \)th pixel to respect cluster \( i \), \( m \)
indicates the fuzzification factor that controls the effect of fuzziness, and \(d^2(,\) represents the Euclidean distance between the measured data \(x_j\) and the cluster center \(v_i\).

The membership function \(u_{ij}\) refers to the probability that each pixel (or voxel) is belongs to each cluster. The range of the membership function is 0 to 1. Thus, \(u_{ij}\) satisfy the constraints \(\sum_{i=1}^{C} u_{ij} = 1\) for \(\forall j 1 \leq j \leq N\). To minimize the objective function, taking the derivative of Equation 1 respect to membership function \(u_{ij}\). Then, \(u_{ij}\) is obtained as

\[
u_{ij} = \frac{1}{\sum_{k=1}^{C} \left( \frac{d(x_j, v_i)}{d(x_j, v_k)} \right)^{2/(m-1)}}
\]

Euclidian distance is typically used to represent the similarity between measured data and center of the cluster as Equation 3.

\[d^2(x_j, v_i) = \|x_j - v_i\|^2\] (3)

Figure 1 shows the updating progress of membership function during the FCM iteration with Iris flower dataset provided from MATLAB library [38]. This is a multivariate dataset which consists of 150 observations with 3 species; Iris setosa, Iris virginica, and Iris versicolor with 4 features; sepal length, sepal width, petal length, and petal width. The graph plotted the membership function of the data for each cluster 1 to 3 with different colors. Figure 1 (a) shows the randomly initialized membership function. Through Figure 1 (b) to (c), membership functions of the data are being gradually rearranged to the end.
Figure 1. Membership function of FCM with Iris dataset. a) iteration = 1, b) iteration = 6, and c) iteration = 32.
For example, the membership function of data 1 at 1\textsuperscript{st} iteration is

\[ X = \begin{bmatrix} 0.4138 & 0.1519 & 0.4344 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \end{bmatrix} \]

At 6\textsuperscript{th} iteration, the membership function of data 1 is

\[ X = \begin{bmatrix} 0.0349 & 0.0030 & 0.9621 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \end{bmatrix} \]

At 32\textsuperscript{nd} iteration, the membership function of data 1 is

\[ X = \begin{bmatrix} 0.0023 & 0.0011 & 0.9966 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \end{bmatrix} \]

The data 1 is clustered to 3\textsuperscript{rd} cluster, since the highest membership value for each cluster of data 1 is 0.9966 for 3\textsuperscript{rd} cluster.

Similar to membership function, taking the derivative of Equation 1 respect to cluster center \( v_i \), then we obtained

\[ v_i = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{N} (u_{ij})^m x_j}{\sum_{j=1}^{N} (u_{ij})^m} \]  \hspace{1cm} (4)

Equation 2 and 4 are the two necessary conditions for \( J_m \) to be at its local optimization. Every iteration, FCM update the membership function and the cluster center based on Equation 2 and 4, respectively, and calculate the new objective function based on Equation 1 to aim to minimize it.
Figure 2. Cluster center of FCM with Iris dataset. a) iteration = 1, b) iteration = 6, and c) iteration = 32.
Figure 2 shows the updating progress of cluster center during the FCM iteration with Iris flower dataset. The graph scattered the data based on sepal length and sepal width in centimeters unit. The bold number from 1 to 3 indicates the cluster center of each 1st to 3rd cluster. Figure 2 (a) shows the randomly initialized cluster centers. Through Figure 2 (b) to (c), we can visually notice that cluster centers are being gradually relocated to the end.

Local Binary Pattern Feature Extraction Operator

Ojala et al. [27,28] introduced Local Binary Pattern (LBP), which is a very simple and efficient discriminative texture descriptor to extract texture patterns from the image [29]. The LBP operator in [28] was defined as

\[
LBP = \sum_{p=0}^{P-1} \text{sign}(v_p - v_c)2^p
\]

where

\[
\text{sign}(x) = \begin{cases} 
0, & x < 0 \\ 
1, & x \geq 0 
\end{cases}
\]

(5)

where \(P\) is the total number of neighboring pixels, \(v_c\) and \(v_p\) are the intensity values of the center pixel and its neighborhood pixels respectively.
Figure 3. Encoding process of LBP operator.

Figure 3 shows the example of how LBP value is encoded. Pixel with 27 intensity value threshold neighbor pixels within 3x3 window and multiply power of 2 matrix. The final encoding LBP value is 195. The LBP value is used as a bin of histogram that count the number of pixels who have the LBP value.

\[ 1 \cdot 2^0 + 1 \cdot 2^1 + 0 \cdot 2^2 + 0 \cdot 2^3 + 0 \cdot 2^4 + 0 \cdot 2^5 + 1 \cdot 2^6 + 1 \cdot 2^7 = 195 \]

Figure 4. Examples of 2D encoded patterns by histogram bins when \( P = 8 \).
Each unique LBP value is used as a minimal unit of texture representation and refers to the value of the x-axis of the histogram. Figure 4 shows the labeled pixels with computed LBP value. For example, LBP value (or bin number of histogram) 193, 7, 28, or 112 indicates edges. These computed LBP values would be used as a texture descriptor for the pixels (or voxels) within the image.
RELATED WORK

FCM is a soft clustering method based on fuzzy set theory [1,9,14,16]. It performs similar with K-Means algorithm but allows each pixel to belong to multiple classes according to a certain membership value [1]. Local minima is one of the well-known drawbacks of FCM method [22]. To deal with the problem, various spatial FCM methods have been proposed.

Ahmed et al. [15] introduced the first spatial FCM method FCM_S by modifying the objective function to compensate intensity inhomogeneity. In this method, each pixel in a whole image was labeled with considering its immediate neighborhood. However, FCM_S is sensitive to noise and time-consuming. Chen et al. [17] proposed FCM_S1 and FCM_S2 based on FCM_S by applying mean filter and median filter in advance respectively. They also simplified the neighborhood term of the objective function of FCM_S. FCM_S1 and FCM_S2 improved the immunity to Gaussian noise and impulse noise. However, they are still weak to salt and pepper noise. Szilágyi et al. [18] proposed EnFCM with the reconstructed image prior to segmentation. Linear weighted sum method performed clustering the image based on the gray-level histogram instead of pixels in an image. Time complexity was greatly reduced with this algorithm, but it requires prior knowledge for choosing major parameters and only works on gray-level images. Cai et al. [19] proposed FGFCM by incorporating local spatial and gray information. They enhanced the flexibility to select the spatial term control parameter, but still dependent on another parameter selection. Krinidis et al. [20] proposed FLICM with a new fuzzy factor, which does not require pre-processing. It is a parameter
determination free algorithm, but FLICM is time-consuming for large-scale image since it requires several iteration steps on the same window. With previous work, we proposed TFCM algorithm. The proposed algorithm suggested a global and accurate model by incorporating texture terms to intensity distance.
MATERIAL AND METHODS

In this section, our proposed algorithm and its preliminary are introduced. Figure 5 shows the overview of the proposed algorithm. The algorithm firstly pre-processes the original image for Volume of Interest (VOI) extraction using 3D Skull Stripping. Then, the feature extraction and classification are performed using LBP-TOP and initial FCM. The classified texture information of each White Matter, Gray Matter, and Cerebrospinal Fluid cluster are used as texture constraints of final TFCM segmentation algorithm.

Figure 5. Overview of the methodology.

3D Skull Stripping

In order to reduce the effect of background noise and time complexity, extra-cranial tissues were removed from the input image before segmentation. To extract the VOI region, several pre-processing techniques such as thresholding, morphological operation [24], and skull stripping algorithm were performed. In this paper, we used
3DSkullStrip by Smith et al. [23,25,26] as a skull stripping method to extract the VOI region.

Figure 6. VOI extraction using 3D Skull Stripping: (a), (c) Original image. (b), (d) VOI extracted image.

Initial Fuzzy C-Means

The conventional Fuzzy C-Means algorithm was performed to classify the features from each type of tissue such as WM, GM, CSF, and background. Segmentation refinement was performed with the proposed algorithm introduced in following sections after extracting classified features.
Feature Extraction

Zhao et al. [30] proposed LBP for spatiotemporal data by concatenating LBP on three orthogonal planes, i.e., the xy-, xt-, and yt-planes. We applied LBP-TOP to brain volume image as in [23], we also used z-dimension instead of t-dimension. In the proposed algorithm, a histogram of each plane was summed up rather than concatenated to exaggerate the distribution of the encoding values. The modified histogram could obtain more distinct features since brain volume image has similar texture patterns in xy-, xz-, and yz-planes.

The LBP operator in (5) could not distinguish each tissue since the key factor to classify the brain volume is the intensity values. The proposed algorithm modified LBP-TOP by incorporating texture patterns and intensity values to specify the estimating intensity range of tissues. The equation (5) is modified as

$$LBP = \sum_{p=0}^{P-1} \text{sign}(v_p - v_c)2^p L_c$$

(6)

$$\text{sign}(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x < 0 \\ 1, & x \geq 0 \end{cases}$$

where $L_c$ denotes the initially extracted class index by Fuzzy C-Means. Figure 6 shows the derived normalized histogram of each cluster after feature classification. The x-axis of the histogram represents the LBP value obtained from (6), and the y-axis represents the probability of occurrence. The extracted features are classified into 4 clusters by using
initial FCM as described in previous section. The normalized encoding value is used as a texture membership probability of each voxel to each cluster.

Figure 6. Extracted LBP-TOP histogram of each tissue: 1 is background, 2 is cerebrospinal fluid, 3 is gray matter, and 4 is white matter.
Various Fuzzy C-Means improved invariants algorithms have been developed by many researchers as we researched in related work section. Many researchers have studied to enhance the models’ performance in 2 ways: Segmentation accuracy and speed. The very first model of modification to the conventional FCM to improve the segmentation accuracy was proposed by Ahmed et al. [15] which is called FCM_S by introducing neighbor term to the original objective function. The introduced neighbor term allows the influence of its immediate neighboring pixels when labeling the pixel. This term regularizes the intensities within the neighborhood window so that can get the piecewise homogeneous labeling solution. The objective function of conventional FCM (1) is modified as

\[ J_m = \sum_{i=1}^{c} \sum_{k=1}^{N} u_{ik}^{m} \| x_k - v_i \|^2 + \frac{\alpha}{N_R} \sum_{i=1}^{c} \sum_{k=1}^{N} u_{ik}^{m} \sum_{r \in N_k} \| x_r - v_i \|^2 \]  

(7)

where \( c \) is the number of cluster, \( N \) is the number of pixels in image, \( x_k \) is the intensity value of the \( k \)th pixel, \( v_i \) represents the center value of the \( i \)th cluster, \( u_{ik} \) represents the fuzzy membership of the \( k \)th pixel to respect cluster \( i \), \( N_R \) is its cardinality, \( x_r \) represents the neighbor of \( x_k \), and \( N_k \) represents the set of neighbor within a window around \( x_k \). The parameter \( m \) is a weighting exponent on each fuzzy membership that determines the
amount of fuzziness of the resulting classification. The parameter $\alpha$ is used to control the effect of the neighbor term.

By the definition, each sample point $x_k$ satisfies the constraint that $\sum_{i=1}^{c} u_{ik} = 1$. Two necessary conditions for $J_m$ to be at its local optimization will be obtained as

$$u_{ik} = \frac{\left(\|x_k - v_i\|^2 + \frac{\alpha}{N} \sum_{r \in N_k} \|x_r - v_i\|^2\right)^{-1/(m-1)}}{\sum_{j=1}^{c} \left(\|x_k - v_j\|^2 + \frac{\alpha}{N} \sum_{r \in N_k} \|x_r - v_j\|^2\right)^{-1/(m-1)}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

$$v_i = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{N} u_{ik}^m (x_k + \frac{\alpha}{N} \sum_{r \in N_k} x_r)}{(1 + \alpha) \sum_{k=1}^{N} u_{ik}^m}$$ \hspace{1cm} (9)

But there was a trade-off that FCM_S improved the segmentation accuracy compared to conventional FCM model but resulted with the high computational cost since every pixel has to compute the neighborhood influence when labeling the pixel.

Szilágyi et al. [18] proposed a modified spatial FCM algorithm EnFCM by speeding up the segmentation process for the gray-level image. In order to accelerate the time performance of previous spatial FCM methods, a linearly-weighted sum image is formed in advance from the original image. The local neighbor average image is obtained in terms of

$$\xi_k = \frac{1}{1 + \alpha} (x_k + \frac{\alpha}{N} \sum_{j \in N_k} x_j)$$ \hspace{1cm} (10)
where $\xi_k$ denotes the gray value of the $k$th pixel of image $\xi$, $x_k$ is the intensity value of the $k$th pixel, $N_R$ is the cardinality, $N_k$ represents the set of neighbors within a window around $x_k$, and $x_j$ represents the neighbors of $x_k$. The parameter $\alpha$ is used to control the effect of the neighbor term. EnFCM is performed on the gray-level histogram of the generated image $\xi$. The objective function of the EnFCM is defined as

$$J_s = \sum_{i=1}^c \sum_{l=1}^q \gamma_l u_{il}^m (\xi_l - v_i)^2$$

(11)

where $u_{il}$ represents the fuzzy membership of gray value $l$ with respect to cluster $i$ and $v_i$ represents the center value of the $i$th cluster. The parameter $m$ is a weighting exponent on each fuzzy membership that determines the amount of fuzziness of the resulting classification. $c$ denotes the total number of cluster and $q$ denotes the number of the gray-levels of the given image, and $N$ is the number of pixels in an image. $\gamma_l$ is the number of the pixels having the gray value equal to $l$ where $l = 1, ..., q$. So, one of the constraints of $l$ is defined as

$$\sum_{i=1}^q \gamma_l = N$$

(12)

By the definition, each pixel $x_k$ satisfies the constraint that $\sum_{l=1}^c u_{lk} = 1$ for any $l$. Two necessary conditions for $J_s$ to be at its local optimization will be obtained as

$$u_{il} = \frac{(\xi_l - v_i)^{-2/(m-1)}}{\sum_{j=1}^c (\xi_l - v_j)^{-2/(m-1)}}$$

(13)
\[ v_i = \frac{\sum_{l=1}^{q} Y_l u_{il}^m \xi_l}{\sum_{l=1}^{q} Y_l u_{il}^m} \] (14)

Texture weighted Fuzzy C-Means

We designed the clustering process of TFCM with extracted texture in (6) as \( t_{ik} \) for \( k \)th voxel to cluster \( i \). The texture membership probability \( t_{ik} \) for all \( k \) is computed in advance as described in previous section. Initially, we designed the TFCM based on FCM_S on the purpose of improving the accuracy. The objective function is modified as

\[ J_{t1} = \sum_{i=1}^{c} \sum_{k=1}^{N} u_{ik}^m \|x_k - v_i\|^2 + \alpha \sum_{i=1}^{c} \sum_{k=1}^{N} (\beta u_{ik} + (1 - \beta) t_{ik})^m \|\bar{x}_k - v_i\|^2 \] (15)

where \( t_{ik} \) represents the texture membership of the \( k \)th voxel to \( i \)th cluster, parameter \( \beta \) controls the effect of the intensity features and texture features.

The constrained optimization will be solved using one Lagrange multiplier as

\[ F_m = \sum_{i=1}^{c} \sum_{k=1}^{N} (u_{ik}^m \|x_k - v_i\|^2 + \alpha(\beta u_{ik} + (1 - \beta) t_{ik})^m \|\bar{x}_k - v_i\|^2) \]

\[ + \lambda(1 - \sum_{j=1}^{c} (\beta u_{ij} + (1 - \beta) t_{ij})) \] (16)
Taking the derivative of $F_m$ with respect to $u_{ik}$ and setting the result to zero, we have, for $m > 1$

$$\frac{dF_m}{du_{ik}} = \left(\frac{\beta \lambda}{m \|x_k - v_i\|^2 + \alpha \beta \|x_k - v_i\|^2}\right)^{1/(m-1)}$$

(17)

By constraints $\sum_{i=1}^c u_{ik} = 1$ for all $k$, we obtained

$$\lambda = \frac{m}{\beta \left(\sum_{j=1}^c (\|x_k - v_i\|^2 + \alpha \beta \|x_k - v_i\|^2)^{-1} \right)^{m-1}}$$

(18)

Substituting into equation #, the zero-gradient condition for the membership function can be written as

$$u_{ik} = \frac{\left(\|x_k - v_i\|^2 + \alpha \beta \|x_k - v_i\|^2\right)^{-1/(m-1)}}{\sum_{j=1}^c \left(\|x_k - v_j\|^2 + \alpha \beta \|x_k - v_j\|^2\right)^{-1/(m-1)}}$$

(19)

Similarly, cluster center is obtained as

$$v_i = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^N \left(u_{ik}^m (x_k + \alpha \beta x_k)\right) + \alpha (1 - \beta) t_{ik}^m x_k}{\sum_{k=1}^N \left((1 + \alpha \beta)u_{ik}^m + \alpha (1 - \beta) t_{ik}\right)}$$

(20)

But the time complexity of the proposed algorithm was too high, so we redesigned the objective function based on EnFCM. The revised objective function is defined as
\[ J_t = \sum_{i=1}^{c} \sum_{l=1}^{q} \gamma_l (\beta u_{il} + (1 - \beta) t_{il})^m (\xi_l - v_i)^2 \] (21)

Similar with (15), \( t_{ik} \) represents the texture membership of the \( k \)th voxel to \( i \)th cluster.

The parameter \( \beta \) controls the effect of the intensity distance features and texture features.

The constrained optimization was solved using one Lagrange multiplier as

\[
F_t = \sum_{i=1}^{c} \sum_{l=1}^{q} [\gamma_l (\beta u_{il} + (1 - \beta) t_{il})^m (\xi_l - v_i)^2] + \sum_{l=1}^{q} \lambda_l \left( 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{c} (\beta u_{il} + (1 - \beta) t_{il}) \right)
\] (22)

Taking the derivative of \( F_t \) with respect to \( u_{il} \) and setting the result to zero for \( m > 1 \), we have

\[
\frac{dF_t}{du_{il}} = m\beta \gamma_l (\xi_l - v_i)^2 (\beta u_{il} + (1 - \beta) t_{il})^{m-1} - \beta \lambda_l = 0
\] (23)

For \( u_{il} \), we obtained

\[
u_{il} = \frac{1}{\beta} \left[ \frac{\lambda_l}{m \gamma_l (\xi_l - v_i)^2} \right]^{1/(m-1)} - (1 - \beta) t_{il}
\] (24)

By constraints \( \sum_{i=1}^{c} [\beta u_{il} + (1 - \beta) t_{il}] = 1 \) for all \( l > 0 \), we obtained
\[ \lambda_i = \left( \sum_{j=1}^{c} (m\gamma_j (\xi - v_j)^2)^{\frac{1}{m-1}} \right)^{m-1} \]

Substituting into (24), the zero-gradient condition for the \( u_{il} \) can be written as

\[ u_{il} = \frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{j=1}^{c} \left( \frac{\xi - v_j}{\xi - v_i} \right)^{2/(m-1)} - \frac{1 - \beta}{\beta} t_{il} \]  

(26)

Similarly, taking the derivative of \( F_t \) with respect to \( v_i \), we have

\[ \frac{dF_t}{dv_i} = -2 \sum_{l=1}^{q} \gamma_l (\beta u_{il} + (1 - \beta) t_{il})^m (\xi_l - v_i) = 0 \]  

(27)

Then, the cluster center is defined as

\[ v_i = \frac{\sum_{l=1}^{q} \gamma_l (\beta u_{il} + (1 - \beta) t_{il})^m \xi_l}{\sum_{l=1}^{q} \gamma_l (\beta u_{il} + (1 - \beta) t_{il})^m} \]  

(28)

Through the iteration, TFCM aim to minimize its objective function (21) by revising the membership functions and cluster centers with pre-defined texture and intensity information of each voxel based on (26) and (28), respectively.

The pseudo code of the proposed TFCM algorithm is as follows:
Algorithm 1 TFCM

**Step 1:** Set the cluster number $c$, maximum iteration number $I$, error rate $\varepsilon$, fuzziness parameter $m$, cardinality $N_R$, and the term control parameter $\alpha$ and $\beta$.

**Step 2:** Extract features of each cluster from an original image and set $t$.

**Step 3:** Classify the extracted features into $c$ clusters.

**Step 4:** Form the new local neighbor average image $\xi$ (10) and its histogram.

**Step 5:** Randomly initialize the fuzzy membership matrix as $U^0$ (26) and objective function matrix as $J^0_t$ (21).

**Step 6:** Set the loop counter $i$ to zero.

**Step 7:** Update the cluster centers (28).

**Step 8:** Update the fuzzy membership matrix $U^{i+1}$ at counter $i$ (26).

**Step 9:** Update the objective function $J^{i+1}_t$ at counter $i$ (21).

**Step 10:** If $J^{i+1}_t - J^i_t < \varepsilon$ or loop counter met $I$ then stop, otherwise set $i = i + 1$ and then go to Step 7.
RESULT AND ANALYSIS

In this section, the proposed algorithm was applied to 20 anatomical models of normal brain MR image volumes provided by the BrainWeb database with ground truths [31-33]. The provided dataset is a set of T1-weighted simulated data with these specific parameters: SFLASH (spoiled FLASH) sequence with TR (repetition time)=22ms, TE (echo time)=9.2ms, flip angle=30 degree and 1 mm isotropic voxel size with a resolution of 256×256×181 per volume [34,35].

The number of clusters was set as 4 – background, CSF, GM, and WM. The number of maximum iteration number was set as 100, error threshold as $1 \times 10^{-5}$, fuzziness parameter as 2, and parameter $\alpha$ was set to 4.2 as same as previous methods. For 3D window, the cardinality was set to 6: ±1-pixel volume distance in each x-, y-, and z-axis. The texture and intensity constraints control parameter $\beta$ was set to 0.8 which was found empirically. Figure 7 shows the 2D sliced images for the VOI extracted and segmented image volume.
Figure 7. T1-weighted normal brain MRI from BrainWeb database: (a), (c), and (e) VOI extracted original Image. (b), (d), and (f) segmented Image.
To quantitatively evaluate the performance of TFCM, Dice’s Coefficient (DC) [36] and Tanimoto Coefficient (TC) [7] were used and compared with several FCM applicants. We have programmed the operation results of the models other than the model of [7], and the operation results of the [7] are referred to the author’s paper.

The DC and TC are defined by

\[
DC(MS, GT) = \frac{2|MS \cap GT|}{|MS| + |GT|}
\]

\[
TC(MS, GT) = \frac{|MS \cap GT|}{|MS \cup GT|}
\]

where \(|.|\) denotes the number of pixels included in the region, \(MS\) and \(GT\) are the regions segmented by the method and by the ground truth, respectively. Both DC and TC metrics indicates higher segmentation accuracy when the value reaches 1. Our model was written with the image processing library in MATLAB, tested on 2.8GHz Intel Core i7 with 16GB 2133 MHz LPDDR3 memory, and compared with published Fuzzy C-Means methods on brain MRI segmentation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>DC</th>
<th>TC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FCM [14]</td>
<td>0.7230</td>
<td>0.6142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FCM_S [15]</td>
<td>0.7823</td>
<td>0.6142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FCM_S1 [17]</td>
<td>0.8909</td>
<td>0.7882</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FCM_S2 [17]</td>
<td>0.9327</td>
<td>0.8743</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EnFCM [18]</td>
<td>0.9152</td>
<td>0.8235</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Algorithm</td>
<td>TC</td>
<td>DC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FGFCM [19]</td>
<td>0.9243</td>
<td>0.8620</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLICM [20]</td>
<td>0.9021</td>
<td>0.7781</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCSFCM [7]</td>
<td>0.9504</td>
<td>0.8872</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TFCM</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.9543</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.9322</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 shows the evaluated performance of TFCM and other algorithms. The results show the proposed algorithm has a noticeable improvement in TC with the well-classified intersection between segmented model and ground truth. These results suggest that the proposed algorithm is highly accurate in TC even though there was not a significant improvement in DC. We would continue this study to improve the segmentation accuracy for both coefficients in the near future.
CONCLUSION

Accurate brain MRI segmentation is the important components for its clinical purpose [1-8]. In this paper, texture weighted TFCM method is proposed. The experimental result shows that TFCM is meaningful to segment brain structures by reducing the effect of INU from the brain MRI by incorporating texture constraints with intensity feature distances. With this result, the proposed algorithm shows the feasibility to be used for clinical evaluation of diseases in the brain such as brain tumors, Alzheimer, and Schizophrenia. We tested and evaluated the result with normal brain datasets in this paper, but we would like to expand our study with the lesion brain datasets for future.


