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ABSTRACT

LITHIUM-ION BATTERY AND BEYOND: OXYGEN VACANCY CREATION IN TUNGSTEN TRIOXIDE AND SURFACE MODIFICATION OF LITHIUM METAL

RAJESH PATHAK

2020

The graphite-based anode material has a low theoretical specific capacity of 371 mAh g\(^{-1}\). The transitional metal oxides (TMOs) are considered a better choice owing to their relatively higher specific capacity. Among TMOs, tungsten trioxide (WO\(_3\)) is considered promising due to a higher specific capacity of 693 mAh g\(^{-1}\), low cost, mechanically stable, and eco-friendly. It has been a challenge to utilize the TMOs as anode materials as they suffer from poor electronic conductivity and large electrode volume expansion during discharge/charge cycles. In our first project, we demonstrate a unique self-recovery of capacity in reduced WO\(_3\) by the incorporation of urea followed by annealing at 500 \(^\circ\)C under the N\(_2\) environment. The reduced WO\(_3\) exhibited a unique cycling phenomenon, where the capacity was significantly self-recovered after an initial sharp decrease. This can be attributed to the activation of oxygen vacancy sites or defects, making the WO\(_3\) electrode more electrochemically active with cycling. In our second and third projects, we modify the surface of lithium metal to utilize them as anode because LIBs are approaching their theoretical energy density limit. Lithium metal anodes are expected to drive practical applications that require high energy-density storage. However, the direct use of metallic lithium causes safety concerns, low rate capabilities, and poor cycling performances due to unstable solid electrolyte interphase (SEI) and undesired lithium dendrite growth. To address these issues, in our second project, radio frequency (R-F) sputtered graphite-SiO\(_2\)
ultrathin bilayer on a Li metal chips was demonstrated, for the first time, as an effective solid-electrolyte interface (SEI) layer. In the third project, we developed a facile, cost-effective, and one-step approach to generate an artificial lithium metal/electrolyte interphase by treating lithium anode with an electrolyte containing tin fluoride. The development of artificial SEI on top of lithium metal anode led to a dendrite free uniform Li deposition to achieve a stable voltage profile and outstanding long hours plating/stripping compared to the bare Li. The generated SEI not only ensures fast lithium-ion diffusion and suppression of lithium dendrite growth but also brings a synergistic effect of storing lithium via a reversible silicon-lithium or tin-lithium alloy formation and lithium plating.

Keywords: Lithium-ion battery, tungsten trioxide, lithium metal battery, physical deposition, chemical deposition, stable solid electrolyte interphase, dendrite-free Li deposition.
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

Energy is very essential to sustain daily life activities, which includes various consumer applications such as tablets, and laptops to industrial applications such as long-drive electric vehicles and large-scale energy storage micro-grids. To meet the ever-increasing energy demand, fossil fuels such as oil, kerosene, and petroleum are being widely exploited. The excessive use of fossil fuel leads to global warming and the exhaustion of limited fossil fuels. The replacement of fossil fuels with renewable energy sources in reducing global CO$_2$ emission is critical. The International Energy Agency (IEA) pronounced that the production of CO$_2$ from the transportation and power generation area needs to be reduced by 21% and 42%, respectively, by 2050 to relish a sustainable future [1, 2]. This is to say that the extensive use of diesel or petrol-based vehicles are to be replaced by electric-based vehicles. Thus, it is very essential to utilize abundant renewable energy sources which include wind, hydropower, and solar. The low cost and ecofriendly renewable sources are considered as promising energy sources. The widespread renewable sources provide the opportunity for energy production, but we are not able to utilize them instantly and it is challenging to store them for the future. Extensive research is going on to harvest renewable energy sources and it is believed that renewable energy consumption will increase from 15% in 2018 to 28% by 2050 [3]. Figure 1.1(a) shows the prediction of primary energy consumption by sources across the globe up to 2050. Figure 1.1(b) shows the comparison of the energy consumption by percentage among the energy sources between the years 2018 and 2050.
Figure 1.1: (a) Prediction of primary energy consumption by sources across the globe up to 2050 and (b) Comparison of the energy consumption by percentage among the energy sources between the years 2018 and 2050 [3].

However, it is not possible to harness renewable energy whenever we need them because they are constrained on the location and weather. For example, we cannot harvest solar energy at night, cloudy time, or in the winter season. Similarly, wind and hydropower are not available everywhere on earth. For an uninterrupted supply of energy, an energy storage device is required which can store the harvested renewable energy and supply in the form of electrical energy. There is continuous increasing market demand for an electric energy storage device in transportation, grid storage, and residential power-backup applications. To bring the existence of electric vehicles in large scale and grid storage of harvested renewable energy, the development of high-energy-density energy and power density storage devices are crucial [4-7]. Among various energy storage devices, lithium-ion batteries (LIBs) are considered promising energy storage devices due to their high specific energy density (lighter weight) and high volumetric energy density (smaller size).
1.2 Introduction to Lithium-ion batteries and beyond

During the 1980s, the conventional rechargeable batteries such as lead-acid, nickel-cadmium, and nickel-metal hydride were on the stage of development. These energy storage devices were not efficient to meet the growing demands of recharging portable electronics such as video cameras and notebook computers. The alternative energy storage technology with higher capacity, smaller size, and lesser weight was needed. Substantial efforts were done to convert the metallic lithium battery into a secondary battery. However, the lithium precipitation forming lithium-dendrites on the negative electrode during charging, infinite lithium volume expansion issues, and the highly reactive nature of lithium hinder its further practical application. Whittingham et al. demonstrated the first rechargeable LIB using lithium metal as anode and TiS$_2$ as a cathode at Exxon Corporation in the USA [8]. Goodenough and the worker reported the use of LiCoO$_2$ as cathode material in 1979. Yazami and Touzain demonstrated the intercalation/deintercalation of lithium into graphite in 1982. In 1986, Yoshino et al. designed a non-aqueous secondary LIB using LiCoO$_2$ as a cathode and carbonaceous material as an anode [9]. The tireless effort for the development in the rechargeable Li-ion battery results in the commercialization of LIB by SONY in 1991. Three chemists Goodenough, Yoshino, and Whittingham were awarded the Nobel Prize in Chemistry-2019 for their great contribution to the development of LIB technology [10].

The current state-of-art LIBs are reaching their theoretical energy density of ~350 Wh kg$^{-1}$ at the cell level. This amount of energy density is not sufficient to drive the long-range electric vehicles and other high energy density requiring applications such as microgrid. Thus, it is imperative to research the alternative to Li-ion battery technology. The
replacement of conventional graphite-based anode material with lithium metal anode is considered as a promising strategy to obtain a high energy density of 500 Wh kg\(^{-1}\) or higher. Lithium metal anode (LMA) based batteries are considered high energy density batteries owing to the high theoretical specific capacity (3860 mAh g\(^{-1}\)), the most negative electrochemical potential (-3.04 V vs the standard hydrogen electrode), and low mass density (0.534 g cm\(^{-3}\)) of Li. The lithium metal batteries (LMBs) paired with aggressive cathodes with a high voltage such as lithium nickel manganese oxide (LiNMO) or high capacity such as Lithium-sulfur/air (Li-S/air) can fulfill the immediate demand of today's' industrialized world. Figure 1.2(a) shows the bar chart showing the practical specific energy (pink) and energy densities (blue) of gasoline, rechargeable Li-ion, and various LMBs such as Li-Lithium manganese oxide (LMO), Li-S, and Li-air batteries [11]. Although the Li-S and Li-air (O\(_2\)) battery demonstrated high energy density, the battery design and operating system are very complex in this energy technology. Such energy storage technologies are still considered in the infancy period. Similarly, Figure 1.2(b) shows the calculated cell-level specific energy as a function of cell component and parameter [12]. The specific energy density not only depends on the type of anode but also the type of cathode, amount of lithium & electrolyte, and the mass loading of the cathodes. For practical high energy density batteries, lean lithium, lean electrolyte, and high mass loading cathode are considered promising.

Although the LMA is considered as a promising anode material for next-generation Li-ion batteries, it has numerous issues which hinder its further practical applications. As shown in Figure 1.2(c), LMA is highly reactive that leads to the side reaction and consumption of both Li and electrolyte. This leads to low Coulombic efficiency (CE) and quick capacity
fading. Besides, the infinite volume expansion issues of Li metal lead to the pulverization of the electrode and formation of unstable solid electrolyte interphase (SEI). Moreover, the uncontrolled Li deposition leads to Li dendrite growth which can consume excess electrolyte and also can pierce the separator challenging the safety concern of the battery. The formation/deformation of SEI and detrimental Li dendrite growth hinders the practical use of Li metal as an anode in beyond Li-ion batteries.

Figure 1.2: (a) Bar diagram showing the specific energy and energy densities (blue) and the specific energy (purple) [11], (b) Cell-level specific capacity based on the cell’s
parameter and component [12], (c) Different issues associated with Li metal anode [11] and (d) possible solution to the challenges associated with Li metal anode.

Substantial efforts have been made by a larger and growing number of researchers to address the inherent issues related to the LMA to achieve the potential application of LMBs as shown in Figure 1.2(d). For guiding the uniform Li deposition and improved cycling performance, the common approaches are: (1) the development of lithiophilic and conductive three dimensional (3D) micro/nanostructured framework to guide the uniform Li deposition and accommodate the volume expansion; (2) the engineering of an artificial protective layer on top of Li by \textit{ex-situ/in-situ} can greatly inhibit the side reactions; (3) the development of solid-state electrolyte, and (4) other strategies such as modification of separator, and defining the battery testing conditions.

1.3 Literature review

1.3.1 Lithium-ion battery

Lithium-ion battery (LIB) technology is considered as one of the most promising energy storage devices owing to its high gravimetric and volumetric energy density. The capacity storage mechanism in LIBs mainly includes three mechanisms such as intercalation (graphite), alloying (silicon), and conversion (WO$_3$). Thanks to the low cost and high stability of the graphite as an anode (with theoretical specific capacity 372 mAh g$^{-1}$) [13]. Although silicon has the highest theoretical specific capacities of 4200 mAh g$^{-1}$ and 990 mAh g$^{-1}$ respectively, it suffers from large volume expansion of $\sim$ 400 % during charge/discharge cycles which is detrimental for battery performance [14, 15]. Numerous transitional metal oxides (TMOs) such as Fe$_3$O$_4$ [16], SnO$_2$ [17], MoO$_3$ [18], NiO [19], and Co$_3$O$_4$ [20] have been widely studied as anode materials in LIBs. Despite
the attractive features, TMOs suffer mainly from the poor electronic conductivity and large electrode deterioration resulting from volume expansion of the electrode during charge/discharge cycles [21-23]. Besides, the formation of unstable solid-electrolyte interphase (SEI) and irreversible capacity leads to the consumption of electrolyte, leading to low coulombic efficiency (CE) and quick capacity fading [17, 24]. The formation of insulating Li$_2$O increases the cell’s impedances and highly irreversible capacity.

Among various TMOs, WO$_3$ has attracted great attention as a potential anode in LIBs owing to its high theoretical capacity (693 mAh g$^{-1}$), environmentally friendliness, and low cost. Besides, the high melting point and strong mechanical stability of WO$_3$ provides better safety [25, 26]. The different preparation and modification on WO$_3$ anode material have been reported such as porous single-crystalline nanoplates [27, 28], nanostructured thin films [29], core-shell [30], both Chrysanthemum-like and cookie-like [24, 31], composite using graphene [21], micro flowers and nanowires [28], nanoparticles [32] nanoparticles [32] and hexagonal ultrathin nano-ribbons [33]. Scientists are still struggling to achieve a high practical specific capacity with long-term stable cycling performance.

The use of urea and/or N$_2$ doping has been investigated in anode or cathode electrodes as the source of carbon increasing the catalytic activity of the active materials. Such pretreatment has introduced secondary particles with smaller particle sizes increasing the surface area, and porosity in the materials, resulting in improved battery performance. In our study, the improved catalytic performance was obtained by using urea which produces ammonia gas while annealing at 500 °C, and also annealing was done under N$_2$. There has never been a report on the incorporation of both urea and nitrogen treatment for WO$_3$. The
synergetic effect of urea and N₂ doping helps to create excess oxygen vacancies, increasing the defect sites, and catalytic activity in the electrode material.

1.3.2 Beyond Lithium-ion battery

Lithium-ion batteries (LIBs) are established as the leading energy storage device all over the world. The ever increasing demand for high energy density batteries urges the coupling of ultimate metallic Li anode, and high capacity and/or voltage cathode. However, the use of Li metal anode challenges the safety concern and stable battery performance due to its hyperactive nature and infinite volume expansion issues. It is highly recommended to develop the three-dimensional (3D) Li host, utilize the solid-state electrolyte (SSE), or develop the artificial solid interphase (SEI) for addressing the aforementioned issues of Li metal anode.

1.3.2.1 Nano/microstructured Li host

The development of three-dimensional (3D) nano/microstructured metal-based or carbon-based Li hosts has proven to be a successful technique to accommodate the dendrite-free Li deposition [34]. The uniform distribution of the electric field leads to the Li-ion flux distribution. Besides, the high surface area of the interconnected porous framework provides sufficient space to constrain the Li dendrite growth with much high areal capacity deposition, and significantly lowers the current density. In contrast, the planar current collectors have rough and uneven surfaces creating a non-uniform electric field. The large protuberance or cracks act as a hotspot for the non-uniform Li-ion flux distribution, resulting in inhomogeneous Li deposition. The most commonly used current collectors are metal or carbon-based porous frameworks which show a poor affinity towards Li metal. The poor Li-wettability of the porous framework cannot uniformly
guide the initial Li deposition, leading to large nucleation overpotential, and subsequent large voltage hysteresis during the higher plating/stripping cycles. Various approaches to using lithophilic coating or decorations on the porous framework have been reported to guide the uniform Li deposition. For example, the use of metal oxide-based, metal nitride-based lithophilic materials, heterogeneous doping, and the functional group-containing compounds have significantly improved the Li deposition behavior. Some metal (nitrides or oxides) based lithophilic material can guide the uniform Li deposition through the conversion or alloying reaction. However, the formation of Li$_2$O during the conversion of alloying reaction is considered detrimental for achieving high CE. The metal-based Li host/current collector has higher mass density, high processing cost, and complex processing techniques compared to the carbon-based Li host/current collector[34]. Free-standing carbon-based materials with lithophilic decorations are considered suitable for making Li/C composite anode. The mainly used techniques for making Li/C composites are (1) Li electrodeposition, (2) molten Li infusion, and (3) mechanical roll pressing. The Li electrodeposition is not only tedious and time-consuming but also suffer from non-uniform Li deposition in the Li/C composite anode. The molten Li infusion into the free-standing porous carbon with lithophilic doping/decorations is considered a better approach than the Li electrodeposition as it does not involve dissembling the battery, cleaning the electrode before assembling the full cell. Moreover, the uniform Li deposition is expected while infusing the molten Li into the porous lithiophilic carbon structure. However, the risk and use of high temperatures for melting the Li is always challenging. The simple technique for making Li/C composite is the mechanical and roll press of Li into the porous structure. Moreover, the Li/C composite can be further
modified by the formation of in-situ/ex-situ based SEI, which significantly improves the electrochemical battery performance. In our previous work, we reported the copper-coated carbon foam, where the melamine-formaldehyde foam was pyrolyzed to obtain the carbon foam (CF) followed by electroplating of Cu on CF to obtain copper clad carbon foam (CuCF). Figures 1.3 (a-c) show the nucleation overpotential of Li plating at 0.5 mA cm$^{-2}$ to reach a capacity of 4 mAh cm$^{-2}$ on planar Cu, CF, and CuCF current collector. It can be observed that CuCF shows the least nucleation overpotential. This can be attributed to various factors such as high electronic conductivity, high porous structure, and lithiophilic nature of CuCF. Figures 1.3(d-f) show SEM images of the corresponding current collector after plating 4 mAh cm$^{-2}$ capacity. The CuCF current collector or Li host shows the uniform and dendrite-free Li deposition compared to planar Cu and CF.
Figure 1. 3: (a-c) Nucleation overpotential and (d-f) corresponding SEM images after a plating capacity of 4 mAh cm\(^{-2}\) [35].

1.3.2.2 Solid-state electrolyte

The replacement of flammable liquid electrolyte with solid-state electrolyte can substantially improve the safety, energy density, the lifespan, and enable the use of alkali metal anodes such as Na and Li [36]. The use of SSE which also functions as a separator suppresses the growth of Li dendrites addresses the issues of the shuttle effect of polysulfides in Li-S battery and degradation of electrodes in the Li-O\(_2\) battery.
technologies. Figures 1.4(a-d) show the schematic illustration of the Li-ion battery using flammable liquid electrolyte and lithium metal batteries using SSE. The use of SSE not only increases the gravimetric energy density but also increases the volumetric energy density. Despite many potential benefits of SSE, significant issues need to be addressed for its commercialization. The design of an ideal SSE should consider ionic conductivity, electro/chemical, and mechanical stability, ecofriendly and low cost. Figure 1.4(e) shows the various key factors that need to be investigated and considered while employing SSE in the battery. This includes the ideal property of SSE, state of art characterization tools, scalable and large production, and the recyclability of the battery materials. To date, different types of SSEs such as oxides, sulfides, Li-rich antipervoskites, thin-films, and polymers have been developed and investigated. As shown in radar plots in Figures 1.4(f-k), each kind of SSE has various advantages and limitations. Thus, scientists are trying to optimize or modify by material selection, material synthesis process to achieve higher performance, higher safety, and lower cost of SSE. The oxide-type (NASICON, LISICON, garnet, antipervoskite, and thin-film LiPON) SSEs are expensive for large scale production. The higher ionic conductive sulfide-based SSE, due to the polarizable nature of sulfur anion, has been explored as an alternative to the oxide-type SSEs (LiPON, garnets, LISICON, LTAP, etc.). But still, the sulfide-based SSE has chemical instabilities (Li$_7$P$_3$S$_{11}$) and contains an expensive germanium element (Li$_{10}$GeP$_2$S$_{12}$). Scientists are continuously working to improve the functionalities of SSE such as (1) superionic conductivity, (2) large bandgap to hinder electric conduction, (3) compatible with lithium metal anode, (4) excellent thermodynamic & electrochemical stability, and (5) low cost, lightweight and eco-friendly [36].
Figure 1.4: (a-d) Schematic demonstration of Li-ion battery using liquid electrolyte and solid-state electrolyte [37], (e) Key challenges in solid-state battery[38], and (f-k) Radar plots showing the performance of different solid-state electrolyte [39].

1.3.2.3 Solid-electrolyte interphase

The development of an interface on top of lithium metal protects the lithium metal and inhibits the consumption of electrolyte. The development of such artificial solid electrolyte interphase (ASEI) between solid lithium metal and liquid electrolyte greatly improves the electrochemical performance. The ASEI can be developed in two ways, the in-situ
formation of SEI during battery operation and *ex-situ* formation of SEI before battery operation.

1.3.2.3.1 *In-situ* formation of SEI

In general, the most commercial LIBs and next-generation LMBs use a non-aqueous liquid electrolyte. The commonly used solvents for the electrolytes are ester-based and ether-based. The common Li salts for the electrolyte are bis(trifluoromethanesulfonyl)imide (LiTFSI), lithium hexafluorophosphate (LiPF₆), lithium bis(fluorosulfonyl)imide (LiFSI), and so on. The organic solvent usually gets reduced below 1.0 V (vs Li⁺/Li). The ether-based electrolyte system has shown the highest CE, lowest overpotential, and low reactivity with Li metal, but they have limited oxidative stability. In contrast, the carbonate-based electrolyte is suitable for higher voltage batteries, but they have poor compatibility with LMA. During the initial cycle, there is an immediate reaction between the hyperactive LMA and the electrolyte which results in the deposition of insoluble discharge products on the Li metal surface, known as SEI as shown in the schematic Figure 1.5(a). The formation of SEI originates from the non-faradic adsorption/desorption and the faradic electrochemical reaction process. An ideal SEI should possess several desired properties such as (1) high ionic conductivity (σ) to allow efficient Li-ion diffusion, (2) high mechanical strength to suppress the Li dendrite growth and chemical stability to inhibit side reactions, (3) reversibility to store Li by chemical-reaction/plating mechanism, (4) low electronic conductivity to prevent the flow of electron or inhibit the growth of Li, and (5) flexibility to accommodate the Li expansion during plating/stripping cycles as illustrated in Figure 1.5(b).
There are some different opinions regarding the SEI formation process and its mechanism. Figure 1.5c shows the schematic of the Peled model. This model discusses the primary surface reaction mechanism where the components of electrolyte get reduced [40]. The Li-ion migration through the polycrystalline materials containing SEI takes place by mobile point defects, which are called vacancy. Figure 1.5(d) shows the schematic for the Mosaic model. This model discusses that the insoluble multiphase reaction products during electrolyte reduction get deposited on the surface of negatively charged anode materials. Li-ion transport is possible through the mosaic surface[41]. The boundary between the interface of mosaic multiphase products allows for Li-ion migration. Thus, it is essential to consider the contribution of the grain boundaries into account. Figure 1.5(e) shows the schematic for coulombic interaction mechanism model. The positively charged and partially positively charged Li-ions aligned as head and foot, respectively[42]. The greater adhesion stimulated by the ion-pairs layer or unique double electric layer facilitates the stability of the SEI. Later, Thevenin and Muller suggested several other modified models such as the SEI model, compact stratified layer model, solid polymer layer model, and polymer electrolyte layer model also offer an intuitive understanding of the electrochemistry in the SEI [43, 44].

In the practical battery operation, the LMA is thermodynamically unstable (Gibbs energy -8.670 kJ/mol) in organic solvents/electrolytes, which leads to the in-situ decomposition of electrolyte and Li, forming an SEI layer. This SEI layer exhibits low Li-ion conductivity, moderate modulus, poor chemical stability, and does not fully passivate the LMA. In addition, the SEI layer cannot accommodate the large volume change of Li during plating/stripping cycles. The physical adhesion of in-situ formed SEI onto the
LMA is inadequate. Moreover, insufficient electrolyte affinity and low Li-ion conductivity lead to sluggish Li-ion transport. The repeated breakage and repair of the SEI layer consumes both Li and electrolyte, leading to the electrolyte dry-out and severe Li corrosion. Furthermore, the uncontrolled growth of sharp needle/whisker-shaped Li dendrites can pierce the separator concerning the safety issues. Thus, to improve the quality of in-situ based SEI, the use of additives and fillers in the electrolyte [45, 46], optimized concentration[47], dual salts[48], dual solvent [49], etc. have been proposed. However, the reduction of electrolyte components consumes superfluous amounts of electrolyte and Li while forming the SEI passivation during cycling [50]. Besides, the decomposition of Li and electrolyte components may not have deliberate control over the dimension and distribution of SEI-component, thickness, and spatial resistance. Scientists are still struggling to improve the contact between the Li electrode and the SEI layer to prevent the wear-off of the layers and lower the interfacial impedances. SEI without control of their composition, distribution, and thickness could detach from the bulk Li.

Recently, the engineering of ex-situ artificial SEI with the use of physical deposition or chemical deposition to modify the Li metal surface before the battery operation has attracted great attention in LMBs. Ex-situ derived SEIs are expected to solve the fragility and compositional uncontrollability of in-situ SEI. The uniform Li-ion flux provided by the uniformly coated ex-situ SEI and controllable interfacial resistance improved the quality of SEI. The ex-situ coating of polymer, carbon-based layer and an inorganic layer are the common selection to protect the LMA. Despite significant success and ease fabrication, there are still challenges such as low-Li ionic conductivity, low flexibility,
insufficient mechanical/chemical stability low adhesion, and peeling-off of the ex-situ based ASEI during cycling that need to be addressed.

Figure 1.5: (a) SEI formation due to the direct contact of the Li electrode and electrolyte during charge/discharge cycles [51], (b) schematic illustration of SEI properties in an ideal SEI and (c-e) the schematic of SEI mechanism in the Peled model, Mosaic model, and Coulombic interaction model, respectively [52].

1.3.2.3.2 Ex-Situ formation of SEI

The rough, crack surface, and non-uniform electronic conductivity distribution on lithium metal anode (LMA) lead to the non-uniform Li plating/stripping. The rough surface and cracks act as a hotspot or Li-ion accumulation center for initial Li nucleation. The growth
of Li dendrites in such hot spots gets amplified with the higher Li plating cycles. The \textit{ex-situ} based ASEI via physical deposition or chemical deposition onto the LMA before battery operation is proven to smooth the LMA surface and uniformly distribute the Li-ion flux. The development of ASEI can be categorized into two types (1) The physical deposition technique, where the SEI-material is coated on the surface, and (2) chemical deposition, where the SEI-material undergoes a chemical reaction with LMA, forming new product as ASEI. Figure 1.6(a) shows the Li plating/stripping mechanism in modified Li by the physical deposition method (where the bilayer of graphite and SiO$_2$ was sputtered by the R-F sputtering technique). Figure 1.6(b) shows the Li plating/stripping mechanism in modified Li by the chemical deposition method (where the Li was pretreated with SnF$_2$ containing electrolyte by drop cast method to form LiF, Sn, and Li-Sn alloy as SEI components). Most of the previous studies are focused only on a single aspect of the SEI requirement such as high mechanical strength, high Li-ion conductivity, improving the interface stability or uniformity of ASEI. But, it is always challenging to choose the materials for SEI development and optimize all the parameters during SEI deposition which contributed to the stable and longer battery performance. The optimized thickness, high Li-ionic conductivity, high mechanical and chemical stability, and strong anchorage affinity towards the LMA is required for the design of an efficient ASEI. Besides, the selection of SEI-material with high flexibility, and reversibility which significantly improve battery performance will be discussed in this section.
1.3.2.3.2.1 Physical Deposition

The engineering of ASEI on the surface of LMA by the surface coating but without any surface chemical reaction is the physical deposition. Table 1.1 summarizes the materials & methods used for the ASEI development. The various methods of physical deposition include ultra-high vacuum deposition such as Radio-frequency (R-F) magnetron sputtering, Direct-Current (DC) sputtering, thermal evaporation, atomic layer deposition (ALD), pulsed laser deposition (PLD), chemical vapor deposition (CVD), and other solution-based processes such as drop cast, spin coat, and doctor blade.

Previous studies showed that various ceramics such as SiO$_2$, TiO$_2$, SnO$_2$, and Al$_2$O$_3$ are very promising interfacial layers to buffer the volumetric expansion of the anode [55-58]. Such ceramic layers can conduct Li$^+$ and block electron transport [59]. Lithiated multiwall carbon nanotubes and multilayered graphene with high mechanical rigidity have been reported as a controlled Li diffusion interface [60, 61]. Besides, glass fibers, silica sandwiched between two separators, and SiO$_2$@PMMA nanosphere modified Cu electrode has also been studied [62-64]. These artificial layers improve wettability.
towards electrolyte, reduce the concentration of Li ions, and react with growing Li to suppress the dendrites. Organic/inorganic dual layers were considered a better choice for stable SEI to suppress the volume expansion of Li anode [65, 66]. However, it is noted that those artificial layers without control on their composition and thickness could be worn away from Li anodes after continuous cycling due to the insufficient thickness and weak elasticity [11, 67]. Various mechanically stable but thick and unregulated protective layers were also reported [11, 68, 69]. However, these layers are required to be efficiently Li-ion diffusible and can control the flow of Li ions, which suppresses Li dendrite growth. Moreover, physical cracking in the interface layers can greatly induce inhomogeneous Li deposition leading to corrosion of Li, detrimental side reaction, and harsh Li dendrite growth [68-70]. Consequently, it is desired to have an effective interfacial layer that needs to address all these issues. Besides surface coating, the separate interfacial layer between the LMA and liquid electrolyte can also be used as an ASEI for protecting the LMA. Some of the interfacial layers include a monolayer of nanostructured and interconnected amorphous hollow carbon nanospheres [71], carbon paper interlayer [72], 3D conductive stainless steel fibrous metal felt [73], glass fiber cloth [62]. These interlayers are roll-pressed or solid-transfer on the surface of LMA.

Table 1. Summary of the artificial SEI engineered by a surface coating method.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Physical deposition method</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>graphite/SiO$_2$, Li$_3$PO$_4$, carbon, Cu$_3$N, Al$_2$O$_3$, MoS$_2$</td>
<td>R-F/-magnetron sputtering</td>
<td>[53,  74-78]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>ALD</td>
<td>[64, 79]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Double layer nanodiamond</td>
<td>MPCVD</td>
<td>[80]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Chemical Deposition

The design of ASEI which can provide strong anchorage affinity with LMA facilitates the ultra-dense deposition of Li and fully shield the Li metal from the electrolyte is highly recommended. For engineering, the ASEI by the chemical deposition method, the surface chemical reaction treatment of LMA can be carried out between the LMA and the various organic/inorganic material dissolved in solution, and various gases. The chemical reaction between LMA and the solution can be done by immersing the Li into the solution or drop-cast, spin coat, doctor blade of the solution on Li metal. Similarly, the exposure of Li to direct gas flow or production of gases from solid chemical has been reported to allow the chemical reaction between those gases and the hyperactive Li metal. The complete reaction is allowed to happen with sufficient time, temperature, or any other required conditions, and then the SEI generated on Li is dried. Thus the composition and thickness of the ASEI depend upon the selection of the reactant-type, concentration, time, pressure, temperature, and other necessary parameters. Table 1.2 summarizes the method
used to generate different kinds of SEI components by different chemical deposition method.

Improving the modulus properties and ionic conductivity of the interphase by various strategies have been reported [80, 91]. The poor Li contact between these interfacial layers and bulk Li could lead to an increase in both interfacial and overall cell resistance. The low wettability of interphase towards non-aqueous electrolyte also leads to sluggish Li-ion transport. Differing from surface engineering of the artificial interphase layer, the use of various electrolyte additives [92, 93] provides an alternative pathway, where a more intimate contact could be ensured.

Table 1. Summary of the artificial SEI engineered by surface chemistry method.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SEI components</th>
<th>Chemical deposition method</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Li-O-Si linkage</td>
<td>solution-based treatment</td>
<td>[94]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li$_3$PO$_4$</td>
<td>solution-based treatment</td>
<td>[69]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>organic/inorganic</td>
<td>solution-based treatment</td>
<td>[95]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li &amp; metal alloy/Li-halides</td>
<td>solution-based treatment</td>
<td>[54, 96-98]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ge, GeOx, Li$_2$CO$_3$, LiOH, &amp; LiCl,</td>
<td>solution-based treatment</td>
<td>[99]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIEC LLTO</td>
<td>solution-based treatment</td>
<td>[100]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[LiNBH]$_n$ chains</td>
<td>solution-based treatment</td>
<td>[101]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li$_2$S/Li$_2$Se</td>
<td>gas-based treatment</td>
<td>[102, 103]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Recently, fluorinating SEI with LiF as a key component has been widely adopted to improve the cycling performance of Li metal anode based on two hypotheses: (1) LiF is an excellent electronic insulator whose wide gap effectively prevents electron tunneling [108]; (2) When interfacing with other ingredients at nano-scale, LiF could provide high ionic conductivity, low diffusing energy, and high surface energy, which not only allows sufficiently fast Li-ion kinetics but more importantly promotes the electrodeposition of Li in a parallel rather than vertical manner [98, 109]. Consequently, LiF-based interphase ensures better surface morphology and serves as a robust barrier to Li dendrite growth [49, 98, 105, 109-113]. Besides LiF, lithium-based alloys have also been studied as protective interphase to suppress Li dendritic growth, because the Sn-Li alloy phase could reduce the Li-ion diffusion barrier, and lead to improved Li metal interphase stability [96, 114, 115]. Such alloy approaches include the in-situ formation of Sn-Li, Li$_{13}$In$_3$, Li-Zn, Li$_3$Bi, Li$_3$As, Au-Li, Si-Li, etc [114, 116]. However, the development of an artificial SEI is still at its early stages. The mechanical and electrochemical instability of interphase leads to persistent deterioration. Low Li-ion conductivity, chemical instability, morphological inhomogeneity, and the subsequent uneven growth of natural SEI remain to be unresolved. In particular, there has never been synergy established between inert but protective LiF, the electrochemically active Sn and Sn-Li alloy on the SEI.
1.4 Motivation

The material modification of WO$_3$ -TMO as anode for improving the Li-ion battery performance and development of *ex-situ* SEI with sufficient Li-ion conductivity, high adhesion, or anchorage affinity with Li metal surface, high mechanical and chemical stability for reviving the Li metal anode.

1.5 Objective

The objective of this work is to reduce the WO$_3$ -TMO and develop the *ex-situ* based (physical or chemical deposition) solid electrolyte interphase to stabilize the Li metal anode for achieving outstanding electrochemical battery performance. The following tasks were performed to achieve the goal.

1. A novel technique to reduce WO$_3$ by incorporating urea into WO$_3$ followed by annealing at a high temperature of 500 °C under nitrogen.

2. A novel technique to develop the *ex-situ* artificial SEI by
   a. R-F sputtering an ultrathin film of the graphite-SiO$_2$ bilayer on Li metal (physical deposition method).
   b. Drop-casting SnF$_2$ containing electrolyte on Li metal surface to create an artificial SEI composed of LiF, Sn, and Sn-Li alloy (chemical deposition method).

3. Optimize the experimental condition for developing the *ex-situ* artificial SEI including the thickness deposition and/or the concentration of the SnF$_2$.

4. Perform the structural and morphological characterization using X-ray diffraction, Raman spectroscopy, and field emission scanning electron microscopy/ energy dispersive spectroscopy (FESEM/EDS).
5. Perform the electrochemical characterization using cyclic voltammetry (CV),
electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS), symmetrical cell test, and full cell
test.

1.6 Organization of the Dissertation

Chapter 1 describes the importance of energy sources and energy storage devices. A variety
of techniques to improve the battery performance of TMOs anode material for Li-ion
battery application. A comprehensive review of the strategies to address the issue of Li
metal anode. The advantages and limitations of the various efforts that have been done to
solve the issues of Li dendrite growth and unstable SEI in Lithium metal batteries were
presented. Further, the details on the SEI engineering process and the electrochemical
performance of previously reported ex-situ based physical and chemical deposition
techniques have been discussed. Finally, the motivation, objective and organization of the
work have been presented.

Chapter 2 discusses the details of the lithium-ion battery and beyond with its working
principle. Besides, the important parameters that are required to evaluate the battery
performance are listed and described briefly. Moreover, the variety of material and
electrochemical characterization tools are briefly described.

Chapter 3 discusses details of experimental procedures for the modification of commercial
WO₃, development of ex-situ artificial SEI by physical and chemical deposition techniques.
Besides, it discusses the materials and electrochemical characterizations carried out to
study the properties of WO₃ anode material and artificial SEI based on the stability and
battery cycling performance.
Chapter 4 includes the results and discussions of the structural and electrochemical characterization of WO$_3$ anode materials and the developed *ex-situ* artificial SEI. The electrochemical characterization and optimization of the experimental conditions are discussed. All the material's property and electrochemical test results are analyzed.

Chapter 5 is a summary of all three works (self-recovery of capacity using reduced WO$_3$ anode material, development of artificial SEI by physical and chemical deposition techniques) with specific conclusions and future work.
 CHAPTER 2: THEORY

2.1 Components and working principle of Li-ion batteries

Each battery consists of a positive and negative electrode divided by a separator soaked in with an electrolyte solution containing dissociated Li salts. The negative and positive battery electrodes are composite structures made up of a mixture of active material particles, a polymeric binder, and a conductive additive. The porous electrode wets the surface with electrolyte for Li-ion transport and the conductive additive transports electron. Metallic current collector such as Cu and Al are used to make good electrical contact between the electrodes. The porous separator which is an electrically insulating but ionically conducting layer physically separates the two electrodes from short-circuit. When the battery is charging, the cathode gives up its lithium ions to the anode. The battery stores energy during this charging process. When the battery is discharging, the lithium ions from the anode move back across the electrolyte to the cathode, producing the energy that powers the battery. In both cases, electrons flow in the opposite direction to the ions around the external circuit. The reaction occurs in each electrode during the charge/discharge process. The driving force that causes a reaction is the difference in the chemical potential of Li between the two electrodes. The reaction mechanisms are shown in the equations below:

At cathode,

\[ \text{LiCoO}_2 \leftrightarrow \text{Li}_{1-x}\text{CoO}_2 + x\text{Li} + xe^- \]  \hspace{1cm} (2.1)

At anode,

\[ 6\text{C} + x\text{Li} + xe^- \leftrightarrow x\text{LiC}_6 \]  \hspace{1cm} (2.2)
2.2 Important parameters for evaluating battery performance

Before going into the details of the Li-ion batteries and beyond, it is beneficial to first explain some of the commonly used terms in this work. Particularly, for the investigation, evaluation, and comparison of the battery cycling performance of the electrode materials, it is important to understand the specific capacity, coulombic efficiency (CE), cycle life, voltage, energy density, and power density.

2.2.1 Specific capacity

The charge stored by applying certain current (I) for a particular time (t) is the capacity (Q). The amount of charge that can be stored per unit mass of the electrode materials is called a specific capacity (SC).

\[
Q = I \times t \quad 2.3
\]

\[
SC = \frac{F \times z}{M \times 3.6} \quad 2.4
\]
Where, $F$ is the Faraday’s constant, $z$ is the amount of charge transfer per mole, $M$ is the molar mass of the active material.

2.2.2 Coulombic efficiency and Cycle life

Coulombic efficiency (CE) is defined as the ratio of the discharge capacity to the charge capacity. It indicates how much capacity is lost in the cycle. If the CE is 100%, that means the capacity is reversible. The loss of CE or CE less than 100% can be attributed to the side reactions between the anode/cathode and the electrolyte. This is one of the important parameters which determines the battery capacity with cycle number. A 0.1% CE loss leads to a 10% capacity loss over 100 cycles.

2.2.3 Voltage

The potential difference or difference in chemical potential between the anode and cathode electrode gives the voltage of the battery. The reference voltage value used in Li-battery literature is the electrochemical potential of $\text{Li}^+/\text{Li}$.

The chemical potential of Li in electrode is defined as

\[
\mu_{\text{Li}} = \mu_{\text{Li}}^\circ + RT \ln a_{\text{Li}}
\]

2.5

Voltage of the cell (V) = \[-\Delta G / nF\]  2.6

Where, $\mu_{\text{Li}}^\circ$ = chemical potential of the Li in its standard state, $R$ = universal gas constant, $T$ = absolute temperature and $a_{\text{Li}}$ = activity of Li. Similarly, $\Delta G$ = Gibbs free energy of the reaction, $n$ = no. of moles of electrons consumed in the electrochemical reaction.

2.2.4 Energy density

The integration of the voltage range and the specific capacity is called the specific energy or gravimetric energy density (Wh kg\(^{-1}\)).

\[
\text{Energy density} = \int V \cdot dSC
\]

2.7
If a battery has a high energy density, then it implies that it can store a large amount of energy in a small amount of mass.

2.2.5 Power density

The power density is calculated by multiplying the current density applied for charge/discharge by the voltage of the cell. If the battery has high power density, then it can deliver a large amount of energy in a short time based on its mass.

2.3 Material characterizations

2.3.1 X-ray diffraction Spectrum (XRD)

X-ray diffraction (XRD) spectra are used to examine the structural property of the material. When X-rays are incident on the crystallographic plane of the materials, it gets diffracted according to the arrangement of atoms. The incident X-rays are diffracted back which undergoes constructive interference to produce increased intensity based on the spacing between atomic layers. X-ray source, sample holder, goniometer, and detector are the main components of X-ray diffractometer. Bragg’s law explains the interference pattern scattered by the crystals as given below.

$$2d \sin \theta = n \lambda$$  \hspace{1cm} 2.8

Where $2d \sin \theta$ is the path difference between the scattered ray and incident ray, $d$ is the interplanar spacing between the crystal planes, $n$ is an integer and $\lambda$ is the wavelength of X-ray.

2.3.2 Field emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM)

FESEM is a microscope that works with the emission of electrons liberated by a field emission source and accelerated by a high electric field gradient. Inside the high vacuum
column, the primary electrons are focused and deflected by electronic lenses to produce a narrow scan beam. The surface to be imaged is scanned by the beam of electrons in a zig-zag pattern. These narrow beams bombard the surface of the sample, resulting in secondary electrons. The detector collects the secondary electrons and displays the electronic signal, which is amplified and transformed into a video scan-image.

2.3.3 Energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDS)

Energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) or (EDX) is a chemical microanalysis tool used in combination with SEM or FESEM. The elemental composition of the sample can be recorded with the help of EDS. When the sample is bombarded with the electron beam, the electrons are ejected out from the atoms of the sample. The created vacancies are filled by electrons from a higher state. To balance the energy difference between the ejected electrons and bombarded electrons, x-rays are emitted. These x-rays carry the properties of the elements in the sample. The atomic% or wt% of the elements can be calculated in the specific area. Besides, the elements present in the sample can be mapped into different colors.

2.3.4 Braunauer Emmett and Teller measurement

Braunauer Emmett Teller (BET) measurement provides the information on the physical adsorption of gas molecules on the solid surface and helps to calculate the specific surface area (SSA) of the solid materials, usually in m$^2$ g$^{-1}$ unit. The specific surface area can also be expressed in terms of area per unit volume (m$^2$ cm$^{-3}$), which can be calculated by multiplying the BET SSA with the density of the sample. Thus, the inert gases (such as nitrogen, krypton, and argon) which do not react with the solid materials are used to quantify the SSA. BET theory is based on or can be derived from the Langmuir theory,
which assumes the adsorption of the monolayer to multilayer. The samples are degassed before BET measurement to remove the physical impurities from the surface of the solid materials. This can be done at elevated temperatures in conjunction with a high vacuum or continuous flow of argon gas. The BET analysis is carried out in the linear region of the BET plot and efficiently evaluated with the help of Rouquerol transform. BET analysis can be carried out based on the single point and multi-point BET.

2.3.5 X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy

X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) is considered as an indispensable tool which can provide the chemical composition and quantitative information in any bulky materials, thin-film, or interphase. The average depth analysis that XPS can do is ~ 5 nm. In XPS, a sample is excited with mono-energetic Al kα x-rays which causes the emission of photoelectrons. The electron energy analyzer measures the energy of the emitted photoelectrons. The intensity and binding energy (BE) of the emitted photoelectron peak provide the detailed chemical state, elemental, and quantitative information of the sample. The shift in the binding energy of a core electron of an element signifies the change in the chemical bonding of that element. Besides, XPS provides information on the atomic composition, chemical state, electronic structure, and band structure. The information from the ex-situ or in-situ XPS analysis of the battery materials or components before charging/discharging, after charging, and after charging/discharging can be collected. From such information, we can identify, quantify, and reflect the chemical distribution of elements. Besides, the shift in the binding energy of a core electron indicates the occurrence of lithiation/delithiation reaction responsible for storing charges. The information on the reversibility of the reaction can be obtained based on the recovery of the shifted peak. The
information on the electrochemistry of the battery components such as anode or cathode help to understand the shortcomings involved, which eventually help to improve the specific capacity and energy density. Moreover, understanding the mechanism of SEI is very complicated without XPS.

2.3.6 Raman Spectroscopy

Raman spectroscopy is a non-destructive chemical analysis characterization tool which is useful to obtain the vibrational, rotational, and other low-frequency modes of the systems. The interaction of light and the chemical bond within the material or system provides detailed information on the chemical structure, polymorph, crystallinity, molecular interaction, and phase of the system. Raman spectroscopy is a light scattering tool, where the molecules of the sample scatter the incident light from a laser light source with high intensity. The wavelength of the scattered beams which are different from those of the incident beam is called Raman scatter or inelastic scatter and provides the information of the material/system. Although X-rays can be used, in general, monochromatic light from a laser in the visible, near-infrared, or ultraviolet range is used. The different peaks at different Raman shift wavelength position and with different intensity corresponds to a particular molecular bond vibration such as C-C, C=O, C-H, and N-O. Raman spectroscopy can be both qualitative and quantitative. Raman spectroscopy can also be used for microscopic materials with a spatial resolution in the range of 0.5- 1 µm. Raman can measure different samples such as solids, powders gels, slurries, and gases.
2.3.7 Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy

Fourier transform infrared is the selected characterization technique for infrared spectroscopy. When the infrared radiation is allowed to fall on the sample, some of the radiations are absorbed by the samples and some of them are transmitted or emitted. The FTIR spectrometer collects high spectral resolution data over a broad range. The absorption of the infrared radiation by the sample at a certain wavelength is measured by FTIR, which is the characteristics of the molecular structure in a sample. During the absorption, the infrared radiation excites the molecules of the sample to a higher vibrational state. The difference between the energy at rest and after excitation will be absorbed by the particular molecule in a sample. In general, the intensity versus wavenumber is plotted for the results from FTIR. The reciprocal of wavelength is called wavenumber (cm\(^{-1}\)). The intensity represents the percentage of light transmitted or absorbed at each wavelength or wavenumber.

2.4 Electrochemical characterizations

2.4.1 Cyclic voltammetry

Cyclic voltammetry (CV) measurements are carried out using potentiostat which can source as both current and voltage. The potential of the working electrode is ramped linearly with time at a certain scanning rate. CV has been widely adopted to investigate the redox behavior of electrochemical reactions, such as the identification of chemical species, chemical reaction rates, and electron transfer properties. The potential between the working electrode and the counter electrode is ramped linearly from a negative to a positive potential (forward scan) and vice versa (reverse scan) with the help of potentiostat. The
scanning can be done multiple or single time where the resulting current versus voltage between the working and counter electrode is plotted.

2.4.2 Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy

Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) is an important characterization tool for understanding electrochemical reactions and charge transfer dynamics. The response of the electrochemical by the application of electric potential is recorded. EIS has been widely used in many applications such as energy storage devices, photovoltaic devices, and other electrochemical devices. The alternating current (AC) voltage is applied across the electrodes and the function of frequency is noted to understand the internal non-linear electrochemical dynamics. EIS results can be interpreted as a Nyquist plot. In the Nyquist plot, the Z-real axis corresponds to the ohmic/series resistance ($R_s$) which is the bulk resistance. The semicircle in the lower frequency range determines charge transfer resistance ($R_{ct}$) between the two interfaces, and the constant phase element (CPE) represents the electric double layer capacitance between the interfaces [117, 118]. The inclined line in the lower frequency region is called Warburg impedance (W) which represents the diffusion of charge. The Randles equivalent circuit can be used to fit the EIS results.

2.4.3 Symmetrical cell test

The electrochemical test using the same material as the positive and negative electrode (for example, the symmetrical cell with Li/Li as electrodes on both sides) is called a symmetrical cell test. The symmetrical cell can be done to understand the electrochemical Li plating/stripping cycles. To understand the Li plating mechanism, the identical Li electrode can be used on both sides of the cell. The plating/stripping can be done at various
current densities to achieve various capacities to understand the Li deposition behavior and long-term voltage versus time profile. Although the cell has zero voltage Vs Li$^+/\text{Li}$ and is not useful for practical applications, it provides a lot of information about the reactions between the electrode materials and electrolyte, comparison between the control symmetrical cell, and any modifications are done on any components of the cell.

2.4.4 Half-cell or Full cell test

The cell with a different positive and negative electrode, showing the voltage difference is called half-cell or full cell. The cell which uses anode other than lithium (such as graphite and tungsten trioxide) and any cathodes such as lithium cobalt oxide (LCO) and lithium nickel manganese oxide (NMC) are regarded as full cell and the cell with lithium as anode and any other electrode materials are called half-cell. The term half-cell is also called a full cell in lithium metal batteries.
CHAPTER 3: EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

3.1 Materials and preparation

For project 1, where the self-recovery of capacity was observed by reducing WO₃ anode material for LIBs, the slurry was made by mixing 1g tungsten(VI) trioxide WO₃ (typically 99.9% pure), 1ml alpha-terpineol (Sigma-Aldrich), and 0.1g Urea 99% (Acros Organics) using a mortar and pestle. The slurry was annealed inside a tube-furnace under nitrogen flow at 500°C for 2 h. As a result, pristine WO₃ is designated as P-WO₃ was reduced to obtain reduced WO₃ (R-WO₃).

At Urea decomposes to ammonia at temperature ~ 180 °C, the urea was decomposed to ammonia, which was further decomposed to N₂ gas and reactive H₂ at higher temperatures (> 400 °C). Thus, P-WO₃ was reduced to R-WO₃ due to the available N₂ gas and reactive H₂, creating oxygen vacancies as follows [119-124].

\[
\begin{align*}
H₂N–CO–NH₂ & \rightarrow NH₃ + HNCO & 3.1 \\
2NH₃ & \rightarrow N₂ + 3H₂ & 3.2 \\
WO₃ + xH₂ & \rightarrow xH₂O + WO₃-x & 3.3
\end{align*}
\]

3.1.1 Anode electrode fabrication for LIBs

Active materials, P-WO₃ and R-WO₃ powders were individually mixed with conductive super-P carbon black and binder polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) at a weight ratio of 8:1:1, respectively. N-Methyl-2-pyrrolidone (NMP) was used as a solvent to form two slurries using a magnetic stirrer, and the mortar & pestle. The doctor blading method was applied to obtain film out of each slurry by coating each slurry on a copper foil current collector separately. The coated electrodes were then dried overnight in a vacuum oven at 100°C to remove the solvent and other impurities. The dried electrodes were cut into circular disks.
with a diameter of 12.7 mm using an electrode cutter and were used as the working anode electrode. For each electrode, P- WO₃ and R- WO₃, the average mass loading of the electrode was ~2.5 mg cm⁻² and the average areal mass loading of active material WO₃ was ~2.0 mg cm⁻².

3.2 Development of solid-electrolyte-interphase

The development of SEI was done by *ex-situ* physical and chemical deposition methods as described below.

3.2.1 Physical deposition

3.2.1.1 Materials and Preparations

For project 2, the Li-metal chips with diameter size 15.6 mm and thickness 450 µm were purchased from MTI corp, USA. The sputtering targets, graphite, and silicon dioxide (SiO₂) with a diameter size 2.0-inch were purchased from Kurt J. Lesker. Before radio-frequency (R-F) sputtering or battery assembling, the surface of as obtained Li chips were cleaned. The sharp razor blades were used to polish the surface until the shiny Li surface was obtained. This helps to remove the oxide layer and other impurities from the surface of Li metal. The closed container was used to transfer the Li samples from the Ar-glove box to the sputtering system, SEM, or XPS system to avoid exposure to air and moisture. The Li metal anode chips were protected using Kapton tape while doing XRD measurement. In the R-F sputtering system (Torr combination), the distance between Li chip samples on the top side and target on the bottom side was ~15 cm. The thickness deposition rate was ~ 0.1 Å/sec using Ar as a carrier gas at a constant flow of 20 sccm. Figure 3.1(a,b) shows a schematic illustration of the Li deposition behavior on bare Li and graphite-SiO₂ bilayer modified Li metal anode, respectively. The different thicknesses of
an individual thin film of graphite and SiO$_2$ ranging from 10 – 30 nm were deposited to optimize the thickness and then again the bilayer, graphite (bottom layer), and SiO$_2$ (top layer), were sputtered on Li, which was designated as graphite-SiO$_2$ Li. The bilayer thin film deposited Li chips were cut into 13mm circular discs to use as anode material.

3.2.1.2 Cathode electrode fabrication for LMBs

Lithium titanate (LTO) as an active material powder, super-P carbon black as a conductive additive, and polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) as a binder were mixed at a weight ratio of 8:1:1 using NMP as a solvent. The slurry was obtained using a magnetic stirrer and mortar and pestle. The slurry was coated on a copper foil current collector by doctor blading and then dried overnight (~12 h) in a vacuum oven at 100°C. The dried samples were cut into circular disks with a diameter of 12 mm and used as the working electrode. The average total areal mass loading of the electrode was ~2.5 mg cm$^{-2}$ and the average areal mass loading of active material LTO was ~2.0 mg cm$^{-2}$. The cathode electrode, lithium nickel cobalt manganese oxide (LiNi$_{1/3}$Co$_{1/3}$Mn$_{1/3}$O$_2$) or (NMC111) was used as received from the US Army Research Lab. The areal mass loading of the cathode electrode was 11.88 mg cm$^{-2}$ and the areal mass of active material (NMC11) was 9.98 mg cm$^{-2}$. The electrodes were cut into circular disks with a diameter of 12 mm.
Figure 3.1: Schematic demonstration of Li deposition behavior: a) on bare Li anode, and b) on graphite-SiO$_2$ bilayer sputtered Li metal anode [53].

3.2.2 Chemical deposition

3.2.2.1 Materials and preparations

For project 3, the Li-metal chips with diameter size 15.6 mm and thickness 250 µm were purchased from Xiamen Tmax, China. Tin (II) fluoride was purchased from Acros Organics, USA. Before the chemical pretreatment of Li metal anode, the surface of as obtained Li chips were cleaned. The sharp razor blades were used to polish the surface until the shiny Li surface was obtained. This helps to remove the oxide layer and other impurities from the surface of Li metal. The closed container was used to transfer the Li samples from the Ar-glove box to FESEM, or XPS system to avoid exposure to air and moisture. The Li metal anode chips were protected using Kapton tape while doing XRD measurement. The different wt% (1, 3, 5 wt%) of SnF$_2$ partially dissolved in 1M LiPF$_6$ in a mixture solvent of ethylene carbonate (EC)/diethylcarbonate (DEC) (1:1 v/v) was ultrasonicated before drop-casting on the Li metal surface. The amount of electrolyte-containing different concentrations of SnF$_2$ used for drop casting was 30 µL. The surface of Li metal
immediately changed from the silver shiny color to dark gray after drop-casting SnF$_2$ containing electrolyte. A replacement reaction between Li metal and SnF$_2$ occurs which allows the formation of LiF, Sn, and Li-Sn alloy [111, 114].

$$\text{SnF}_2 + 2\text{Li} \rightarrow 2\text{LiF} + \text{Sn} \quad 3.4$$

$$5\text{Li} + 2\text{Sn} \leftrightarrow \text{Li}_5\text{Sn}_2 \quad 3.5$$

The Li metal chips with drop cast (SnF$_2$+electrolyte) electrolyte were allowed to dry inside the Ar-glove box for ~ 48 hrs at 60 °C. The surface of Li chips becomes whitish color after 48 hrs and the electrodes were continuously rinsed 2-3 times with dimethyl carbonate (DMC) solvent to remove any undissolved residues. The bare Li and the Li treated with SnF$_2$ chips were cut into a circular disc with a diameter size of 12 mm.

3.2.2.2 Cathode electrode fabrications for LMBs

The cathode electrode, lithium nickel cobalt manganese oxide (LiNi$_{1/3}$Co$_{1/3}$Mn$_{1/3}$O$_2$) or (NMC111) was used as received from the US Army Research Lab. The areal mass loading of the cathode electrode was 11.88 mg cm$^{-2}$ and the areal mass of active material (NMC11) was 9.98 mg cm$^{-2}$. The electrodes were cut into circular disks with a diameter of 12 mm.

Figure 3.2(a) shows the schematic illustration of dendritic Li growth and formation of mossy and dead Li after plating/stripping cycles using bare Li anode. Figure 3.2(b) shows the schematic illustration of the fabrication process of Li pretreatment using the drop cast method. It also shows the modified Li with ex-situ developed fluorinated hybrid solid-electrolyte-interphase results in dendrite-free, smooth, and uniform Li deposition.
3.3 Material characterizations

3.3.1 X-ray diffractometer

The crystal structure and crystallite size measurements of P-WO$_3$ and R-WO$_3$ samples were conducted on a Rigaku SmartLab X-ray diffractometer (XRD) with Cu-Kα radiation ($\lambda=1.54178$ Angstrom). The XRD of bare Li metal, ultrathin bilayer modified and SnF$_2$ pretreated Li metal was conducted using the same XRD instrument, encapsulating the samples with Kapton tape during XRD measurement to avoid moisture contamination. The samples were scanned in the suitable 2-theta degree range depending upon the samples. The X-ray is generated when the applied tube voltage and current reached 40 kV and 44 mA. Figure 3.3 shows the picture of available XRD set up in the Lab at SDSU.
3.3.2 Field emission/-scanning electron microscopy and energy dispersive spectroscopy

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) characterization were carried out using a Hitachi S-4300 N SEM. SEM images, EDS, and elemental mapping were performed using Hitachi S-3400N SEM and Hitachi S-4700N FESEM. The surface topography and the cross-sectional SEM images were measured. The sensitive samples such as Li and modified Li were taken from the glove box to the SEM chamber in a closed vial. While using Hitachi S-4300 N SEM, the semiconducting samples were gold-sputtered with the help of CRC-sputtering. The various accelerating voltage was applied to scan the high resolution and low-resolution SEM images depending upon the type of samples. The Aztec software (Oxford Instruments) was used to record the elemental
mapping and EDS spectrum. The atomic/weight percentage and the elemental distribution can be investigated using SEM. Figure 3.4 shows the picture of Hitachi S-3400N SEM and Hitachi S-4700N FESEM available in the cleanroom of SDSU.

![Hitachi S-3400N SEM and Hitachi S-4700N FESEM](image)

Figure 3. 4: Picture of a Hitachi S-3400N SEM EM and b Hitachi S-4700N FESEM located in the cleanroom at SDSU.

3.3.3 Atomic force microscopy

The topography of thin films was measured using an Agilent SPM 5500 atomic force microscope (AFM) equipped with MAC III controller using a tip with a resonance frequency of 75 kHz as shown in Figure 3.5. The average particle size was measured. To investigate the surface morphology and Young's modulus measurement of bare Li and SnF$_2$ treated Li was carried out using atomic force microscopy (AFM) equipped with the MAC III controller using RTESPA-525 tip with a resonant frequency of 75 kHz through quantitative nano-mechanical (QNM) mode.
3.3.4 Raman spectroscopy

Raman spectroscopy was carried out using the Horiba Raman system with a 532 nm laser. The characteristic peaks (D-band and G-band) of the graphite and the ratio of their intensities were studied. Figure 3.6 shows the experimental setup for the Horiba Raman system in the Lab at South Dakota State University (SDSU).
3.3.5 UV-visible spectrum spectroscopy

The absorption characterization was performed using Agilent 8453 UV-vis spectrophotometer. For this, 0.1g of each P-WO$_3$ and R-WO$_3$ powder were dissolved separately in an aqueous solution of nitric acid with a 1:1 volume ratio. Tauc’s plots were obtained using Tauc’s equation $\alpha \nu = A(\nu - E_g)^n$, where $A$ is constant, $\nu$ is photon energy, $E_g$ is bandgap, $\alpha$ is absorption coefficient and $n$ (1/2 for WO$_3$) is the exponent coefficient which denotes the nature of electron transition from the valence to the conduction band.

3.3.6 X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy

X-ray Photoelectron Spectrum (XPS) was performed on the Thermo Scientific X-ray Photoelectron Spectrometer with Al Ka radiation. X-ray Photoelectron Spectrum (XPS) was performed on the Thermo Scientific X-ray Photoelectron Spectrometer with Al Ka radiation. The XPS experiment was performed in part in the Nebraska Nanoscale Facility: National Nanotechnology Coordinated Infrastructure and the Nebraska Center for Materials and Nanoscience, which are supported by the National Science Foundation under Award ECCS: 1542182, and the Nebraska Research Initiative.
3.3.7 Brunauer Emmett and Teller

Isotherm adsorption analysis with N\textsubscript{2} was carried out using an ASAP 2020 Micropore Analyzer at 77 K (liquid nitrogen bath). Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) equation was used to calculate the specific surface areas. The BET experiment was performed in the department of agricultural and biosystems engineering at SDSU.

3.4 Electrochemical characterizations

The coin type CR-2032 Li-ion cell was fabricated using lithium metal as the counter and reference electrode and WO\textsubscript{3}, LTO, and NMC as the working electrode inside an argon-filled glove box (moisture and O\textsubscript{2} level <1 ppm). Celgard 2500 with a film thickness of 25 \( \mu \text{m} \) was used as a separator. For the first project, the liquid electrolyte consisting of 1M LiPF\textsubscript{6} dissolved in a mixed solvent of ethylene carbonate (EC), dimethyl carbonate (DMC), and diethyl carbonate (DEC) in the volume ratio of 4:2:4. The amount of electrolyte used was 100 \( \mu \text{L} \) during each cell fabrication. For the second & third project, the liquid electrolyte consisting of 1M LiPF\textsubscript{6} dissolved in a mixed solvent of ethylene carbonate (EC) and diethyl carbonate (DEC) in the volume ratio of 1:1. The amount of electrolyte used was 60 \( \mu \text{L} \) during each cell fabrication.

Symmetrical cell test and full cell test measurements of the coin cells done using the LAND CT2001A system.

3.4.1 Cyclic voltammetry and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy

Cyclic voltammetry (CV) was performed by an electrochemical workstation (Ametek VERSASTAT3-200 and EC Lab potentiostat). The CV measurement was carried out in different potential ranges for multiple cycles in the range 0.1 to 3 V and in a single cycle from -0.2 V to 0.2 V for linear sweep voltammetry. The electrochemical impedance
spectroscopy (EIS) measurement was carried out using the same electrochemical workstation with a 10mV amplitude AC signal, where the frequency range used was from 100 kHz to 0.1 Hz. Figure 3.7 shows the available set up for measuring CV and EIS in our Lab.

Figure 3.7 The picture of VERSASTAT and EC-Lab device for measuring CV and EIS.

3.4.2 Symmetrical cell and full cell test

Galvanostatic charge-discharge measurements of the coin cells were carried out using the LAND CT2001A system and Neware battery analyzer. Figure 3.8 shows the digital photograph of Neware battery analyzer located in DEH 32 at SDSU. The symmetrical cell test was carried out using different current density up to 5 mA cm$^{-2}$ to achieve a different capacity such as 1 mAh cm$^{-2}$ to 3 mAh cm$^{-2}$). LTO and NMC were cycled between 1V to 3 V, and 2.7 V to 4.2 V at 0.1 C for the first 5 cycles and 1C for the following cycles,
respectively. For rate performance, cells were cycled at 0.1 C, 0.2 C, 1C, 3 C, 5 C, and again back to 0.1 C.

Figure 3. 8 Picture showing the Neware battery analyzer used for galvanostatic charge and discharge.
4.1 Self-recovery of capacity in the WO₃ anode material

Although the transitional metal oxides are considered promising anode materials, they suffer from poor electronic conductivity and volume expansion issues. In this first project, we tried to modify the commercially available tungsten trioxide (WO₃) by incorporating urea and annealing at a high temperature of 500 °C under the N₂ environment. This technique created the defects and oxygen vacancies in the WO₃ anode material, improving the electronic property. As a result improved electrochemical battery cycling performance was achieved.

4.1.1 Structural characterization

Figures 4.1 (a,b) shows the XRD patterns and Raman spectra, respectively, for P-WO₃ and R-WO₃. In XRD, it can be observed that the sharp crystalline peaks of P-WO₃ become broader in R-WO₃ suggesting the transformation to amorphous, indicating the creation of oxygen vacancies [125-128]. The peaks observed at 2θ of ~ 26°, 28°, 36°, 41.8°, 44°, 46°, 63°, 71°, and 77°, respectively in P-WO₃ are disappeared in R-WO₃. Besides, new peaks are observed at 2θ ~ 41°, ~54°, and ~ 58° in R-WO₃, indicating the successful reduction of P-WO₃ reports [21, 128, 129].

In Raman spectra, it can be observed that the main peaks at ~264 cm⁻¹, ~324 cm⁻¹, ~710 cm⁻¹, and ~801 cm⁻¹ in P-WO₃ become broader in R-WO₃ which indicates the transformation to the amorphous phase, due to the creation of oxygen vacancies[124, 128]. Figures 4.1(c,d) shows the AFM topography of P-WO₃ and R-WO₃. Figures 4.1(e,f) shows the high-resolution AFM corresponding to Figures 4.1(c,d). It can be observed that the particles of R-WO₃ are comparatively smaller than that of P-WO₃. The decrease in particle
size decreases the time of Li-ion transport [130]. To further calculate the specific surface area (SSA), BET measurement was carried out. BET measurement calculates the SSA of P-WO₃ and R-WO₃ to be 4.59 m² g⁻¹ and 47.59 m² g⁻¹, respectively. The increase in surface area wets the larger surface of the electrode with electrolyte, providing quick and excess Li-ion transport.

Figure 4.1: (a) XRD patterns, (b) Raman spectra and (c-d) AFM surface topography for P-WO₃ and R-WO₃, and (e,f) shows the high-resolution AFM of (c-d).

The Scherrer equation: \( \tau = K\lambda/\beta \cos\theta \), where \( \theta \) is the usual Bragg angle, \( \lambda \) is the radiation wavelength, \( \beta \) is peak width and \( K \) is a constant at 0.9, was used to measure the average crystallite size of P-WO₃ and R-WO₃. The average crystallite size of R-WO₃ is smaller compared to that of P-WO₃. This can be attributed to the annealing in the inert environment and the creation of oxygen vacancies [131]. The smaller particle increases the charge transport mechanism by shortening the diffusion path lengths [131-134]. Table 4.1 shows
the results of the EDS analysis. It can be observed that the weight percentage of Oxygen (O) decreased from 69.79 % to 67.93% indicating the successful reduction or creation of oxygen vacancy in R-WO₃.

Table 4.1 Weight percentage of oxygen (O) and tungsten (W) in P-WO₃ and R-WO₃.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Line</th>
<th>Intensity (c/s)</th>
<th>Conc. (wt%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P-WO₃</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>Kα</td>
<td>69.78</td>
<td>21.739</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>W</td>
<td>Lα</td>
<td>18.91</td>
<td>78.261</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-WO₃</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>Kα</td>
<td>67.93</td>
<td>19.718</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>W</td>
<td>Lα</td>
<td>21.23</td>
<td>80.282</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.1.2 Electrochemical characterizations

Figure 4.2 shows the electrochemical battery cycling performance. At a constant rate of 100 mA g⁻¹ as shown in Figure 4.2(a), R-WO₃ delivered a higher first discharge capacity of 959.15 mAh g⁻¹ versus 670.16 mAh g⁻¹ for P-WO₃. There was continuous capacity fading in P-WO₃ which reaches to 236.5 mAh g⁻¹ at the 100th cycle. In contrast, R-WO₃ showed unusual behavior of capacity recovery after a few cycling with repetition. The self-recovery was observed at various cycles (at 15th, 36th, and 45th cycle), and the discharge/charge capacity remain steady to ~558.68 mAh g⁻¹/550.23 mAh g⁻¹ at 100th cycle. Such a phenomenon of self-recovery in R-WO₃ can be attributed to the formation of defects or active sites due to the creation of oxygen vacancies which activates with higher cycling.

Figure 4.2(b) shows the rate capability test starting from a current density of 35 mA g⁻¹ to 280 mA g⁻¹ and again back to 35 mA g⁻¹. R-WO₃ showed higher capacity at each current density compared to P-WO₃. The discharge/charge specific capacity at different current densities are summarized in Table 4.2. For, R-WO₃, the capacity retention at the 22nd cycle compared to the 2nd cycle was 94.22%. In contrast, P-WO₃, the specific charge capacity
retention at the 22\textsuperscript{nd} cycle compared to the 2\textsuperscript{nd} cycle was 87.96\%. The higher capacity retention and higher capacity at higher current density can be attributed to the chemical stability and higher electronic conductivity, higher specific surface area of the electrode materials. Similarly, P-WO\textsubscript{3} and R-WO\textsubscript{3} showed the first CE of 60.25\% and 66.62\%, respectively as shown in Figure 4.2(c,d) and remain as high as 99.5\% at higher cycles. Figures 4.2(e,f) shows the voltage versus specific capacity profile for P-WO\textsubscript{3} and R-WO\textsubscript{3}, respectively. It can be observed that R-WO\textsubscript{3} shows a higher sloping profile than the P-WO\textsubscript{3}, indicating excess Li-ion storage.
Figure 4.2: Electrochemical battery cycling performance at (a) constant rate, (b) different rates, (c,d) corresponding CE and (e,f) corresponding voltage profile.

Table 4.2 Rate capability test of P-WO$_3$ and R-WO$_3$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cycle number</th>
<th>Current density (mA/g)</th>
<th>Discharge/charge capacity (mAh/g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P-WO$_3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1$^{st}$</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>739.6/441.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2$^{nd}$</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>487.2/422.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6$^{th}$</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>237.0/217.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11$^{th}$</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>133.7/122.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16$^{th}$</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>88.3/87.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22$^{nd}$</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>354.7/371.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 4.4(a,b) shows the CV measurement and voltage-specific capacity profile of P-WO$_3$. Figure 4.4(c,d) shows the CV measurement and voltage-specific capacity profile of R-WO$_3$. During lithiation, the appearance of the voltage peak at 2.3 V, 0.59 V, and 0.01 indicates the reaction between the Li and WO$_3$. The peak at 2.3 V represents lithiation of WO$_3$ to Li$_x$WO$_3$ and the peak at 0.59 V represents the conversion of Li$_x$WO$_3$. The voltage peak at 0.01 indicates the conversion of W$^{+}$ to W$^{0}$ and/or Li plating$^{[135, 136]}$. Similarly, during delithiation, the voltage peak at 1.2 V represents the oxidation of W to Li$_x$WO$_3$, and the voltage peak at 2.0 V indicates the formation of WO$_3$ [135]. The CV measurement is in good agreement with the voltage profile. The R-WO$_3$ shows the sharp peaks even at higher cycles but P-WO$_3$ shows the flat shape of the CV curve. This indicates that R-WO$_3$ is electrochemically active and stable indicating the sufficient charge storage mechanism. In contrast, P-WO$_3$ shows the disappearance of peaks and voltage plateau with higher cycles, indicating the surface storage only. Figure 4.4(e) shows Tauc’s plot of both P-WO$_3$ and R-WO$_3$. The bandgap of R-WO$_3$ (~2.32 eV) is lower than P-WO$_3$ (~2.85 eV), indicating higher electronic conductivity in R-WO$_3$. The Nyquist plot in Figure 4.4(f) that the charge transfer resistance ($R_{ct}$) of R-WO$_3$ is greatly reduced to 135.1 $\Omega$ which was 1195.0 $\Omega$ for P-WO$_3$. The significant decrement in Rct and increment of electronic conductivity of R-WO$_3$ improves the charge transport mechanism. As a result, the improved battery cycling performance was achieved in R-WO$_3$ compared to P-WO$_3$.

The reaction mechanism during lithiation/delithiation in WO$_3$ anode material is as follows.

$$WO_3 + xLi^+ + xe^- \leftrightarrow Li_xWO_3 \quad 4.1$$

$$Li_{1.1}WO_3 + 4.9Li^+ + 4.9e^- \leftrightarrow W + 3Li_2O \quad 4.2$$
To further understand the self-recovery mechanism cells were dissembled to carry out SEM and XRD analysis. Figure 4.4(a) shows the electrochemical cycling performance up to the 1st self-recovery discharge. Figure 4.4(b) shows the corresponding voltage versus specific capacity for the first self-recovery cycle. Figure 4.4(c,d) shows the surface topography SEM image of the R-WO₃ electrode before cycling and after cycling at which the self-recovery was obtained. The SEM images as shown in Figure 4.4(d) show that the surface has dense morphology which can be due to the Li plating. To confirm the existence of Li on the surface of the R-WO₃ electrode, XRD was carried out. From the XRD, we can see the presence of Li peak, implying the plating of Li. A similar observation was reported in graphite-based anode material where the Li storage was due to the Li plating and Li-intercalation mechanism [137].
4.2. Development of *ex-situ* ASEI by a physical deposition method

In this second project, the development of *ex-situ* artificial SEI was developed by R-F sputtering techniques. The ultrathin bilayer of graphite and SiO$_2$ was deposited on the Li
metal surface. Different thickness of graphite and SiO\(_2\) was deposited separately and optimized to be 20 nm for each. For the bilayer, initially, the graphite was deposited on top of Li metal followed by the deposition of SiO\(_2\).

4.2.1 Material characterizations

Figure 4.4(a) shows the Raman spectroscopy with characteristics D and G peaks at \(~1350\) cm\(^{-1}\) and \(~1572\) cm\(^{-1}\). The \(I_D/I_G\) ratio of \(~0.51\) indicates an excess amount of graphite in the sputtered film. The presence of a 2D peak \(~2696\) cm\(^{-1}\) indicates the defects associated with the graphite. To explore the chemical composition on the surface of graphite-SiO\(_2\) Li, XPS measurement was carried out. The presence of C 1s spectra with the binding energy (BE) at \(~284.5\) eV can be assigned to Sp\(^2\) hybridized C-C bond as shown in Figure 4.4(b), indicating the presence of graphite. The BE at \(~103.3\) eV Figure 4.4(c) and \(~532.71\) eV (Figure 4.4d) indicates the Si 2p and O 1s core level, indicating the successful deposition of SiO\(_2\).

To investigate the electrolyte wettability of the electrode, contact angle measurement was performed. The bare Li electrode shows a contact angle of \(~43\) Figure 4.4(e) and graphite-SiO\(_2\) Li shows almost zero as shown in Figure 4.4(f) contact angle with the electrolyte. This indicates that graphite-SiO\(_2\) has a higher affinity towards electrolyte, implying faster Li-ion transport. As a result, improved battery performance was obtained.
Figure 4.5: (a) Raman spectroscopy of graphite sputtered on Li, (c-d) XPS analysis of graphite and SiO$_2$ sputtered on Li and (e,f) Contact angle measurement of bare Li and graphite-SiO$_2$ Li using electrolyte solvent.

Figure 4.6(a,b) shows the SEM images of bare Li and graphite-SiO$_2$ deposited Li. The surface roughness and Young’s modulus of the Li metal electrode were measured using AFM. The bare Li shows higher roughness of 520.1 nm compared to graphite-SiO$_2$ Li (324.5 nm). The higher roughness of the electrode surface can create protuberance which acts as a hotspot. These hotpots generate non-uniform Li-ion flux during plating/stripping cycles, favoring the Li dendrite growth. Besides the graphite-SiO$_2$ Li shows an average Young’s modulus of 10.7 GPa and bare Li shows the average Young’s modulus of 0.23 GPa. The root means square (RMS) roughness and Young’s modulus results are summarized in Table 4.3. Higher Young’s of artificial SEI provides mechanical strength to suppress the Li dendrite growth. As a result, we can observe that the bare Li after 1$^{st}$
plating shows the formation of sharp needle-shaped Li dendrites Figure 4.6(g). After 100th plating cycles, the surface of bare Li has mossy-like morphology and formation of inactive dead lithium as shown in Figure 4.6(h). In contrast, graphite-SiO$_2$ Li has uniform Li deposition after 1st plating and 100th plating cycles as shown in Figure 4.5(i,j).

Table 4. 3 Table showing the average Young’s modulus and RMS roughness.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Average Young’s modulus</th>
<th>RMS roughness</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>bare Li</td>
<td>0.23GPa</td>
<td>520.1nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graphite-SiO$_2$ Li</td>
<td>10.7GPa</td>
<td>324.5nm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The deposited SiO$_2$ film was not detected from XRD due to its amorphous nature as confirmed from XRD as shown in Figure 4.6(k). Only the XRD peaks for Li and Kapton tape were observed. The amorphous nature of SiO$_2$ is favorable for lithiation/delithiation. In general, bulk SiO$_2$ does not react with Li.
Figure 4.6: SEM images of (a) bare Li and (b) graphite-SiO$_2$ Li, corresponding AFM images of (c) bare Li and (d) graphite-SiO$_2$ Li, (e,f) corresponding Young’s modulus measurement of bare Li and graphite-SiO$_2$ Li, SEM image of (g, h) bare Li and (i, j) graphite-SiO$_2$ Li after 1$^{st}$ plating and 100$^{th}$ plating and (k) XRD of the graphite-SiO$_2$ Li.

Figure 4.7(a,b) shows the cross-sectional SEM images if the bare Li and graphite-SiO$_2$ Li after 100$^{th}$ plating/stripping cycles. Figure 4.7(c,d) shows the corresponding high-resolution SEM images. The bare Li shows the formation of dendritic Li with a higher thickness of Li deposition. The higher thickness indicates the irreversible Li deposition which increases the impedance of the battery as the plating/stripping cycle increases,
resulting in battery failure. The higher surface area of Li dendrite consumes both Li and liquid electrolyte resulting in low CE. In contrast, graphite-SiO$_2$ Li has a very thin layer and dendrite-free Li deposition. This implies the reversible Li plating/stripping without the formation of dead Li. The scratches and dents in the bare Li (Figure 4.7e) generate a non-uniform electric field leading to the formation of non-uniform Li-ion flux.

Figure 4. 7: SEM images of (a,c) bare Li (b,d) graphite SiO$_2$ Li after 100$^{th}$ plating/stripping cycles and (e) high-resolution SEM image of bare Li showing the scratches and dents in bare Li.
XPS analysis was carried out on the graphite-SiO$_2$ Li electrode before and after the 50$^{th}$ plating and 50$^{th}$ stripping cycles to understand the composition on the surface of Li. The observed Si 2p and O 1s spectra of SiO$_2$ shifts after 50th plating and after 50$^{th}$ stripping cycles. The peak centers of Si 2p and O 1s at a binding energy of 103.3 eV and 532.71 eV, respectively, indicate the deposition of SiO$_2$ [138, 139]. After 50$^{th}$ plating as shown in Figure 4.8(a), the peak centers of both Si 2p and O 1s shift to ~102.7 eV and 531.6 eV, respectively, which can be attributed to the formation of Li$_2$Si$_2$O$_5$ [138-140]. At 50$^{th}$ stripping as shown in Figure 4.8(b), the peak centers of both Si 2p and O 1s again shift back towards the original BE. The higher peak centers of both Si 2p and O 1s binding energy ~103.1 eV and ~ 532.5 eV, respectively, indicates the delithiation of Li$_2$Si$_2$O$_5$ and complex irreversibility of Li$_4$SiO$_4$. This phenomenon indicates the appearance of electrochemical lithiion/delithiation of amorphous SiO$_2$. Figure 4.8(c) shows the CV measurement of bare Li and graphite SiO$_2$ deposited Li symmetrical cell. The straight line indicates the storage of Li by the plating/stripping mechanism in the bare Li symmetrical cell. In graphite/SiO$_2$ Li the redox peaks can be observed. This is the indication of electrochemically active thin-film SiO$_2$. In addition to the Li plating/stripping mechanism, the lithiation/delithiation is another mechanism that can store Li in the graphite SiO$_2$ Li electrode. As a result, excess Li can be stored and higher capacity at higher current density rates can be expected.
Figure 4. 8: (a,b) XPS analysis on the graphite-SiO$_2$ electrode before and after the 50$^{th}$ plating and 50$^{th}$ stripping cycle and (c) CV measurement of graphite-SiO$_2$ Li electrode for 5 cycles.

4.2.2 Electrochemical characterizations

The symmetrical cell test was carried out to optimize the thickness of graphite and SiO$_2$ deposited on the surface of Li. Figure 4.9 shows that 20 nm graphite shows the best plating/stripping cycles with longer plating/stripping hours and lower voltage hysteresis compared to 10 nm and 30 nm graphite. The lower thickness of the graphite may not fully cover the surface of Li. As a result, there will be direct contact between the liquid
electrolyte and bare Li. This results in the consumption of both liquid electrolyte and lithium. Thus, the electrolyte dry-out occurs in the cell, resulting in poor CE and capacity fading. In comparison, the higher thickness (30 nm) of graphite may retards the Li-ion transport which increases the impedance of the battery. Similarly, the thickness of SiO\textsubscript{2} was also optimized to 20 nm, assuming it will fully protect the lithium metal anode. The symmetrical cell test with 30 nm SiO\textsubscript{2} deposited on Li is shown in Figure 4.9b.
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Figure 4.9: Symmetrical cell test with different thicknesses of (a) SiO\textsubscript{2} and (b) graphite.

The stability test of bare Li and graphite-SiO\textsubscript{2} Li was carried out in the air and the carbonate-based electrolyte. The bare Li and the graphite-SiO\textsubscript{2} Li electrodes were kept outside the Ar-glove box in the air with 51% humidity at 66 °F. The bare Li electrode
quickly changes to black and is completely dark in 1 hour, covering the surface of the Li metal anode (Figure 4.10a). The chemical reaction between the hyperactive lithium metal and the air or moisture can form chemical compounds such as lithium hydroxides (LiOH), lithium nitrides (Li$_3$N), lithium carbonates (Li$_2$CO$_3$), and lithium oxides (Li$_2$O/Li$_2$O$_2$). In contrast, the graphite-SiO$_2$ protected Li metal gradually changes the color, and even after 1 hr it was not fully black implying the effectiveness of bilayer in inhibiting the side reactions of hyperactive lithium metal and air/moisture. Further, the stability test was carried out by immersing the bare Li and graphite-SiO$_2$ Li on the carbonate-based electrolyte for 4 days using the same amount of electrolyte (3 ml) for both cases (Figure 4.10b). The surface of bare Li changes from shiny silver color to mixed different colors with an extra layer, which can be attributed to the side reaction and consumption of both Li and liquid electrolyte. However, the graphite-SiO$_2$ Li still looks the same to its original color, implying the hyperactive lithium metal is well protected. As a result, higher CE and stable electrochemical cycling performance can be expected with graphite-SiO$_2$ modified lithium metal anode.

Figure 4. 10: (a) Air and (b) liquid electrolyte stability of a bare Li and graphite-SiO$_2$ Li.
Figure 4.11(a,b) shows the Nyquist plot of both bare Li and graphite-SiO$_2$ Li symmetrical cells as a function of days. The Z-real axis in the Nyquist plot represents the series resistance, which the bulk resistance of all the components (such as electrolyte, separator, and spacer) of the battery[141]. The graphite-SiO$_2$ Li symmetrical cell two semi-circles, where the first semicircle in the high-frequency region represents the interfacial resistance of SEI and the second semicircle in the lower frequency region represents the charge transfer resistance between the SEI (graphite/SiO$_2$) and the liquid electrolyte [69, 83, 110, 142-144]. The bare Li symmetrical cell shows a single semicircle as it has no artificial SEI.

the in-situ formed SEI by the side reaction between the bare Li and the liquid electrolyte is too thin and does not fully cover the surface of bare Li [143]. Initially, the bare Li and graphite-SiO$_2$ Li symmetrical shows a charge transfer resistance $R_{ct}$ of ~ 588 $\Omega$ and 124 $\Omega$, respectively. In the bare Li symmetrical cell, the $R_{ct}$ increases quickly to 1313 $\Omega$ continuously after 20 days and does not shows any steady-state [110]. In contrast, $R_{ct}$ of graphite-SiO$_2$ Li symmetrical cell slowly increased and comes to a steady-state after 15 days. This implies that there is a continuous side reaction between the bare Li and the electrolyte but the graphite and SiO$_2$ bilayer effectively inhibit the side reaction between the Li metal and liquids electrolyte. The stabilized SEI and higher electrolyte affinity of SiO$_2$ enhance the Li-ion transport without any side reactions [145, 146]. In contrast, the bare Li reacts with electrolyte resulting in the formation of thick carbonate-rich unstable SEI and an insulating surface [110]. All the impedance results are summarized in Table 4.4. The lower charge transfer resistance was also achieved in graphite-SiO$_2$ Li symmetrical cell as shown in Figure 4.11(c), compared to the bare Li, which can be attributed to the stabilized SEI and dendrite-free Li deposition.
To investigate the stability of the SEI and the morphology of Li deposition, the symmetrical cell test was carried out at different current density rates. Figure 4.11(d) shows the Li plating/stripping at 0.5 mA cm\(^{-2}\) to achieve a capacity of 1 mAh cm\(^{-2}\) and Figure 4.11(e) shows the corresponding voltage hysteresis with cycle number. The voltage hysteresis is defined as the average difference between the discharging potential and charging potential plateau [147]. It can be observed that the bare Li symmetrical cell dies earlier than the graphite-SiO\(_2\) Li symmetrical cell. In bare Li, the unstabilized SEI and continuous side reactions lead to the electrolyte dry-out and increase in the cell’s impedance. The large fluctuations in the plating/stripping voltage curve were also observed in the bare symmetrical cell. In contrast, the graphite-SiO\(_2\) Li symmetrical cell shows longer plating/stripping hours with substantially reduced overpotential. The introduction of an artificial protective layer leads to the stable SEI and inhibition of the side reaction between the Li metal and liquid electrolyte. Figure 4.11(f,g) shows the Li plating/stripping voltage versus time profile and corresponding voltage hysteresis at a current density of 1 mA cm\(^{-2}\) to achieve a capacity of 1 mAh cm\(^{-2}\). The longer plating/stripping hours with reduced overpotential or voltage hysteresis was achieved with graphite-SiO\(_2\) Li symmetrical cell compared to the bare Li symmetrical cell. An improved symmetrical cell test was obtained for graphite-SiO\(_2\) Li symmetrical cells while plating/stripping at various higher current density rates and capacities as shown in Figure 4.12 and Figure 4.13. The improved plating/stripping in graphite-SiO\(_2\) Li can be attributed to the synergetic advantage of using graphite and SiO\(_2\). Graphite provides high electronic conductivity to bridge the plated Li with bulk Li electrode and offers flexibility to buffer the volume expansion of Li. Besides,
SiO$_2$ has higher electrolyte wettability, sufficient Li-ion diffusion, poor electronic conductivity, and high Young’s modulus.

Table 4.4 Impedance results of the symmetrical cell using bare Li and graphite-SiO$_2$ Li.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>bare Li</th>
<th></th>
<th>graphite-SiO$_2$ Li</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$R_s$ (Ω)</td>
<td>$R_{int}$ (Ω)</td>
<td>$R_{ct}$ (Ω)</td>
<td>$R_s$ (Ω)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fresh</td>
<td>5.08</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>588.60</td>
<td>14.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2days</td>
<td>6.66</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>764.20</td>
<td>9.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5days</td>
<td>6.97</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>921.60</td>
<td>8.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10days</td>
<td>8.59</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1110.00</td>
<td>10.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15days</td>
<td>9.26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1230.00</td>
<td>9.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20days</td>
<td>11.66</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1313.00</td>
<td>10.95</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 4.11: (a,b) Nyquist plot as a function of days, (c) Nyquist after 10 cycles of plating/stripping cycles, (d,e) plating/stripping cycle and corresponding voltage hysteresis at a current density of 0.5 mA cm\(^{-2}\) and (f,g) plating/stripping cycle and corresponding voltage hysteresis at a current density of 1 mA cm\(^{-2}\)
Figure 4.12: Symmetrical cell plating/stripping performance at various current densities and capacities.
Figure 4.13: Symmetrical cell plating/stripping performance at various current density to achieve a capacity of 1 mAh cm\(^{-2}\).

To understand the practical applications of the graphite-SiO\(_2\) modified Li anode, the full cell tests were carried out using lithium titanate (LTO) and lithium nickel cobalt manganese oxide cathode (NMC111) as cathodes. LTO is considered as a zero-strain stable and safe material for the battery electrode [148, 149]. The battery cycling was done at the current density rate of 0.1 C for the first five cycles and then at 1C for the rest cycles. The bare Li shows the first CE of 86.43% and graphite-SiO\(_2\) Li shows a first CE of 84.34%. The lower CE for the bare Li can be assigned to the side reaction and formation of SEI. The lower CE for graphite-SiO\(_2\) Li can be attributed to the impedance of artificial SEI and the formation of *in-situ* SEI both [142, 150]. With higher cycling, the CEs were stabilized more than 99%. Figure 4.14(a,b) shows the cycling performance of NMC and LTO cathodes, respectively using bare Li and graphite-SiO\(_2\) Li anode. Figure 4.11(c,d) shows the corresponding voltage profile at a specific cycle number. The bare Li shows quick capacity
fading and large voltage overpotential when paired with LTO or NMC. In contrast, graphite-SiO$_2$ Li showed significant improvement in capacity and reduced overpotential. The bare Li when couple with NMC shows capacity retention of $\sim 50\%$ at the 150$^{th}$ cycle and when coupled with LTO shows capacity retention of $\sim 80\%$ at the 400$^{th}$ cycle. In contrast, graphite-SiO$_2$ Li anode paired with NMC shows capacity retention of $\sim 74\%$ at the 150$^{th}$ cycle and when coupled with LTO shows capacity retention of $\sim 88\%$ at the 400$^{th}$ cycle.

Figure 4. 14: Electrochemical battery cycling performance using bare Li and graphite-SiO$_2$ Li paired with NMC and LTO cathodes.
The rate capability test was also carried out starting from 0.1 C to 5C and back to 0.1 C using both NMC and LTO cathodes. The battery performance was compared using bare Li and graphite-SiO\textsubscript{2} Li anode. In both the cathodes, higher capacity at higher rates and the high rate capability was observed for graphite-SiO\textsubscript{2} modified Li compared to bare Li. Similarly, the significant reduction in the voltage hysteresis was obtained for graphite-SiO\textsubscript{2} Li anode at higher discharge/charge rates compared to bare Li anode. All the specific capacity values at a specific rate using NMC and LTO cathodes are summarized in Table 4.5 and Table 4.6, respectively.

![Rate capability test of NMC cathode](image)

Figure 4.15: Rate capability test of NMC cathode paired with bare Li and graphite-SiO\textsubscript{2} Li and (b,c) Corresponding charge/discharge voltage curve at a different rate.
Table 4.5 Charge/discharge capacity of the full cell at different rates using NMC cathode.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cycle Number</th>
<th>current rate</th>
<th>bare Li</th>
<th>Graphite-SiO₂ Li</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2nd</td>
<td>0.1C</td>
<td>146.68/143.58</td>
<td>149.33/147.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8th</td>
<td>0.2C</td>
<td>118.14/116.11</td>
<td>142.67/141.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13th</td>
<td>1C</td>
<td>88.46/87.13</td>
<td>119.37/118.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18th</td>
<td>3C</td>
<td>73.73/72.81</td>
<td>103.87/103.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23rd</td>
<td>5C</td>
<td>51.85/49.41</td>
<td>90.14/89.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28th</td>
<td>0.1C</td>
<td>121.35/118.37</td>
<td>147.20/143.77</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4.16: (a) Rate capability test of LTO cathode paired with bare Li and graphite-SiO₂ Li and (b,c) corresponding discharge/charge voltage curve at a different rate.
Table 4. 6 Charge/discharge capacity of the full cell at different rates using LTO cathode.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cycle Number</th>
<th>current rate</th>
<th>Discharge/charge capacity (mAh/g)</th>
<th>bare Li</th>
<th>Graphite-SiO$_2$ Li</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2nd</td>
<td>0.1C</td>
<td>158.08/153.84</td>
<td>159.34/154.21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13th</td>
<td>0.2C</td>
<td>138.85/138.46</td>
<td>152.22/151.48</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23th</td>
<td>1C</td>
<td>123.08/122.69</td>
<td>146.29/145.93</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33th</td>
<td>3C</td>
<td>110.34/110.00</td>
<td>138.08/137.69</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43th</td>
<td>5C</td>
<td>82.26/82.25</td>
<td>114.90/114.90</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53th</td>
<td>0.1C</td>
<td>145.93/145.55</td>
<td>154.21/153.84</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.3 Development of ex-situ ASEI by a physical deposition method

In this third project, the drop cast method was applied to chemically pretreat the Li metal surface. Different concentration of SnF$_2$ containing electrolyte was drop cast on the surface of Li metal. Due to the replacement reaction, SnF$_2$ reacts with the Li metal surface forming electrochemically active Sn, lithium fluoride (LiF), and Li-Sn alloy as SEI components. The concentration of SnF$_2$ was optimized to be 3 wt% designated as artificially fluorinated hybrid SEI with thickness 25 µm (AFH-25).

4.3.1 Material characterization

Figure 4.17(a) shows the XRD of bare Li protected by Kapton tape. The XRD peaks at ~36°, 52°, and 65° represent the pure lithium metal. The broad peak at ~20° is for the Kapton tape. Figure 4.17(b) shows the XRD of lithium metal after the drop-cast of different weight percentages (wt %) of SnF$_2$ containing electrolyte. The peaks at ~31.1°, 32.4°, and 44.1° indicates the presence of Sn, the peaks at ~23.4°, 27.5°, 40.5° indicates the presence of Li$_5$Sn$_2$, and the peaks at ~38.7°, 44.9°, and 65.4° represents the presence of LiF [49, 114, 151]. XPS was also carried out to investigate the chemical composition of SEI. The presence of peaks at ~487.70 eV and ~496.01 eV corresponds to Sn. The peak at 55.78 eV for Li and peak at ~684.96 eV for F indicates the presence of LiF [98, 111].
Figure 4.17: XRD and XPS analysis of pristine Li and after drop cast of SnF$_2$.

Figure 4.18a-d shows the photographic images of bare Li and treated with 1, 3, and 5 wt% of SnF$_2$ and Figure 4.18 e-h shows the corresponding SEM images. The bare Li has a rough surface, 1 wt% SnF$_2$ treated Li is not fully covered by the artificial SEI and has a lot of pinholes. The electrolyte can penetrate through this pinhole and reaches to the Li underneath the SEI. As a result side reaction occurs, consuming both lithium and electrolyte. Artificial SEI formed by the pretreatment of Li by 3wt% SnF$_2$ fully covered the lithium and the surface has smooth morphology. Although the lithium metal of Li is fully protected by the pretreatment of 5 wt% SnF$_2$, the surface of SEI looks little rough.

Figure 4.18i-l shows the cross-sectional SEM images of bare Li and SEI developed on the lithium metal anode. It can be observed that the thickness of SEI developed by pretreating lithium with 1, 3, and 5 wt% SnF$_2$ is 10, 25, and 55µm, respectively. The lower thickness may not fully cover the surface of lithium and the higher thickness of SEI leads to sluggish
Li-ion transport. Figure 4.18(m-p) shows the SEM images of bare Li and 1, 3, 5 wt% SnF2 treated Li after 1st plating at 0.5 mA cm$^{-2}$. The bare Li shows the dendritic Li growth which can be due to the inhomogeneous electric field generated by the surface of bare Li metal. In contrast, SnF2 treated Li shows the uniform Li deposition, where the Li plating/stripping is governed by artificial SEI. The optimized concentration of 3 wt% SnF2 shows the best Li deposition.

Figure 4.18: (a-d) Photographic digital images, e-h surface topography SEM images, and (i-l) cross-sectional SEM images of bare Li and surface topography SEM images after 1st plating of Li in bare Li and SnF2 pretreated Li with different concentrations.

Figure 4.19 shows the AFM measurement of bare Li and AFH-25 Li. The root means square (RMS) value of roughness was measured to be 360 nm for the bare Li and 48 nm
for AFH-25 Li as shown in Figure 4.19(a,b). This indicates that the artificial SEI generated on the Li metal has a smooth and uniform surface which facilitates uniform Li-ion flux. The rough surface on bare Li creates hot spots responsible for the accumulation of Li deposits [152]. Figure 4.19(c,d) shows Young’s modulus measurement of bare Li and AFH-25 Li. The AFH-25 Li shows Young’s modulus of 55.60 and the bare Li shows Young’s modulus of 0.28 GPa. The higher Young’s modulus of AFH-25 Li is sufficient enough to suppress the Li dendrite growth. The strong ionic bond between Li and fluorine to form LiF, the high mechanical stability of Sn and Li-Sn alloy contributes to the high Young’s modulus of AFH-25.

Figure 4.19: (a,b) AFM measurement of bare Li and AFH-25 and (c,d) corresponding Young’s modulus measurement.
To understand the electrodes affinity towards the electrolyte, contact angle measurement was measured. In Figure 4.20(a,b) the bare Li shows the contact angle of ~ 38° and the AFH-25 Li shows the contact angle of ~1°, indicating the higher surface energy provided by the ASEI. The electrolyte wets all the surface of SEI to facilitate Li-ion transport. The linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) in Figure 4.20(c) shows that AFH-25 has a less steep (slope) than the bare Li indicating the poor electronic conductivity which minimized the flow of electrons and favors the Li deposition underneath the SEI.

Figure 4. 20: (a,b) Contact angle measurement of bare Li and AFH-25 Li with electrolyte solvent and (c) LSV measurement of bare Li and AFH-Li electrode.
4.3.2 Electrochemical characterization

The symmetrical cell test was carried out to understand the stability of SEI and optimize the SnF$_2$ concentrations. Figure 4.21(a) shows the Li plating/stripping of bare Li and different wt\% SnF$_2$ treated Li symmetrical. The bare Li symmetrical cell dies in less than 400 hours of plating/stripping with higher voltage hysteresis. In contrast, the SnF$_2$ treated Li shows better plating/stripping cycles. Among different wt\% of SnF$_2$, 3 wt\% SnF$_2$ (AFH-25) shows the longest plating/stripping hours more than 2300 hours with significantly reduced overpotential. The smooth surface and optimized thickness of 25 µm regulate the uniform Li deposition. The symmetrical cell test with a higher thickness of SEI dies earlier due to the increased impedance of the cell. The lower concentration of SnF$_2$ may not fully cover or protect the lithium metal.

Figure 4.21(b) shows the Nyquist plot of bare Li and different concentrations of SnF$_2$ treated Li symmetrical cell at fresh conditions. The bare Li symmetrical cell shows the highest charge transfer resistance of $\sim$ 400 Ω and the optimized AFH-25 Li shows the least charge transfer resistance of $\sim$ 50 Ω. The SnF$_2$ treated Li shows a double semicircle indicating the extra layer of SEI. The first semicircle in the higher frequency region indicates the impedance of the SEI layer and the second semicircle in the lower frequency region indicates the charge transfer resistance. The bare Li shows a single semicircle as it does not have an additional layer. Figure 4.21(c) and Figure 4.21(d) show the Nyquist plot of bare Li and AFH-25 Li symmetrical cells after the 10$^{\text{th}}$ and 50$^{\text{th}}$ cycles of plating/stripping. In both cases, the AFH-25 shows lower charge transfer resistance compared to bare Li symmetrical cells, indicating the stable SEI of AFH-25. Figure 4.22
a, b shows the equivalent circuit for fitting the Nyquist plot with two semicircles and one semicircle, respectively.

Figure 4. 21: (a) Symmetrical cell test of bare Li, 1, 3, and 5 wt% of SnF$_2$ treated Li, and (b-d) Nyquist plot of symmetrical cells at fresh condition, after 10$^{th}$ cycle and after 50$^{th}$ cycle of plating/stripping.
Figure 4.22: (a,b) Equivalent circuit for fitting the Nyquist plot and Nyquist plot of the symmetrical cell as a function of hours for (c) bare Li and (d) AFH-25 Li.

To further understand the electrochemical stability, the EIS measurement was carried out as a function of hours. In bare Li symmetrical cells, the $R_{ct}$ increases rapidly from ~350 $\Omega$ at the fresh condition to more than 1700 $\Omega$ after 600 hours. This continuous increase in the $R_{ct}$ can be attributed to the continuous side reactions between the hyperactive Li and electrolyte. In contrast, the $R_{ct}$ of AFH-25 symmetrical cell increases in a very slow manner and becomes steady after 360 hours, implying the significant control on the side reaction. The physical barrier provided by the SEI and formation of stabilized SEI with the time helps to minimize the $R_{ct}$. 
Figure 4.23: SEM images of (a-c) bare Li and (d-f) AFH-25 Li after 1\textsuperscript{st}, 10\textsuperscript{th} and 100\textsuperscript{th} plating.

Figure 4.23a-c shows the SEM images of bare Li after 1\textsuperscript{st} plating, 10\textsuperscript{th} plating, and 100\textsuperscript{th} plating. The formation of dendritic Li growth consumes excess electrolyte and can pierce the separator, challenging the performance and safety concern of the battery. The longer plating/stripping cycles in bare Li can result in the formation of mossy and dead inactive Li, responsible for increasing the cells’ impedance. In contrast, Li deposition is regulated by the AFH-25. The uniform and smooth Li deposition can be achieved in 1\textsuperscript{st}, 10th, and even in 100\textsuperscript{th} plating as shown in Figure 4.23(d-f). The synergetic effect of uniformly distributed SEI components governs the uniform Li-ion flux, leading to dendrite-free Li deposition.

For a detailed understanding of the plating/stripping behavior, symmetrical cell tests were carried out at 0.5 mA cm\textsuperscript{-2} and at 1 mA cm\textsuperscript{-2} to achieve a capacity of 1 mAh cm\textsuperscript{-2} as shown in Figure 4.24 (a,b). The longer plating/stripping hours with reduced overpotential was achieved for AFH-25 compared to the bare Li. The lower nucleation overpotential was
achieved for AFH-25 symmetrical cells compared to the bare Li as shown in the inset. At a current density of 0.5 mA cm\(^{-2}\) and 1 mA cm\(^{-2}\), the bare Li cell dies at ~ 400 hours and ~ 300 hours, respectively. In contrast, the AFH-Li symmetrical cell shows stable plating/stripping for more than 2300 hours and 800 hours at 0.5 mA cm\(^{-2}\) and 1 mA cm\(^{-2}\), respectively. The corresponding voltage hysteresis shows that the lower voltage hysteresis was obtained for AFH-Li symmetrical cell compared to bare Li symmetrical cell. In bare Li symmetrical cell, the voltage hysteresis rises too high more than 600 mV and the cell dies but it is more stable for a longer period of cycles in AFH-25 symmetrical cell.

The symmetrical cell tests were carried out at constant current densities and capacities such as 2 mA cm\(^{-2}\)/2mAh cm\(^{-2}\), 2 mA cm\(^{-2}\)/2mAh cm\(^{-2}\), and 5 mA cm\(^{-2}\)/1mAh cm\(^{-2}\) as shown in Figure 4.25a-c. In all the cases, AFH-25 symmetrical cells improved symmetrical cell performance.
Figure 4.25: Symmetrical cell tests at various current densities and capacities.

The bare Li and AFH-25 symmetrical cells were tested at various current densities from 1 to 5 mA cm² and fixed areal capacity to 1 mAh cm² for each current density. It was found that the AFH-15 cell shows stable plating/stripping with lower voltage overpotential compared to the bare Li symmetrical cell as shown in Figure 4.26a,b.
Figure 4.26: (a) Symmetrical cell test at various current densities from 0.5 to 5 mA cm$^{-2}$ to achieve a constant capacity of 1 mAh cm$^{-2}$ and (b) The zoomed plating/stripping in the beginning hours.

Figure 4.27 shows the CV measurement of bare Li symmetrical cell and AFH-25 symmetrical cell. The bare Li symmetrical cell shows a straight line as shown in Figure 4.27(a), implying the Li plating/stripping mechanism. In contrast, the AFH-25 symmetrical cell shows the redox peaks at ~ 0.12 V as shown in Figure 4.27(b), indicating the redox reaction. The lithiation and delithiation of electrochemically active Sn in the SEI contributed to the storage of Li. Thus the hybrid SEI stores Li by both Li plating and Li-Sn alloy formation. As a result excess Li can be stored and the uniform Li deposition can be expected even at higher current density. The straight line and reversible alloy/dealloy formation improve the electrochemical symmetrical and full cell battery performance.
Figure 4.27: CV measurement of (a) bare Li and (b) AFH-25 symmetrical cells.

Figure 4.28 shows the cross-sectional SEM images of bare Li and AFH-25 electrode after 100 cycles of Li plating/stripping in symmetrical cells. It can be observed in Figure 4.28(a) that the bare Li electrode has a thickness of 120 µm Li deposition. This can be attributed to irreversible Li deposition. The higher thickness of Li deposition increases the cell’s impedance and leads to sluggish Li-ion transport. From Figure 4.28(b), it can be observed that in the AFH-25 electrode the thickness of Li deposition is only 60 µm including the 25 µm thick ASEI. The thin Li deposition indicates the reversible Li plating and reversible alloy/dealloy formation. As a result, the consumption of Li and electrolyte can be effectively controlled.

Figure 4.29(a) shows the electrochemical full cell cycling performance using NMC111 cathode when paired with bare Li and AFH-25 Li anode. The AFH-25 Li anode shows the 1st CE of ~ 83%. Besides, AFH-25 Li anode demonstrated capacity retention of ~80% with ~ 105 mAh g⁻¹ specific capacity at the 150th cycle compared to the stabilized specific capacity at the 3rd cycle. In contrast, bare Li anode shows the 1st CE of ~ 81%. Besides, the
bare Li anode demonstrated capacity retention of ~ 60% with ~ 80 mAh g⁻¹ specific capacity at the 150th cycle compared to the stabilized specific capacity at the 3rd cycle.

Figure 4. 28: (a,b) Cross-sectional SEM images and corresponding elemental mapping after 100 cycles of plating/stripping in bare Li and AFH-25 Li symmetrical cell.

Figure 4.29(b,c) shows the voltage profile of bare Li/NMC and AFH-Li/NMC full cell at the beginning 3rd cycle and 150th cycle. It can be observed that the voltage profile overlaps in the beginning cycles. However, the voltage overpotential increases in bare Li/NMC compared to AFH-Li/NMC full cell at a higher cycle (150th cycle). This indicates the effective approach of reviving lithium metal anode by the pretreatment with SnF₂.
Figure 4. 29: (a-c) Full cell battery performance at a constant rate and corresponding voltage profiles and (d-f) Full cell battery performance at a different rate and corresponding voltage profiles.

Figure 4.29(d) shows the rate capability test using bare Li and AFH-25 Li anode coupled with NMC111 cathode. It can be observed that the AFH-25 Li/NMC full cell showed a higher capacity at a higher current density rate compared to the bare Li/NMC full cell. At 5 C, the AFH-Li/NMC full cell showed a charge /discharge capacity of ~ 100/100 mAh g⁻¹ and the bare Li/NMC full cell showed ~ 85/84 mAh g⁻¹. The stabilized SEI in AFH-Li allows fast Li-ion transport even at a higher current density rate. Similarly, the improved voltage profile with lower voltage overpotential was achieved with AFH-25 Li/NMC compared to the bare Li/NMC full cell. The full cell test results are in good agreement with the symmetrical cell test. The synergetic effect of SEI components enhanced electrochemical battery performance.
CHAPTER 5: SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

5.1 Summary

Lithium metal batteries are considered as a promising next-generation energy storage devices to drive high energy-requiring battery applications in consumer and industrial applications. Lithium metal anode is the key factor for aiming the energy density of 500 Wh kg$^{-1}$ at the cell level. The inherent problems such as infinite volume expansion issues and the hyperactive nature of lithium limit its commercialization. The lithium batteries with high performance, safety, and low cost can balance the standard way of living and green environment. Thus, it highly recommended addressing the issues of lithium metal batteries such as the formation of unstable SEI and lithium dendrite growth. Besides, the use of lean electrolyte, high mass loading cathode, lean lithium, and optimization of other cell components such as separator, and spring can substantially increase the energy density of the batteries. The practical pathways for achieving the high energy densities under harsh conditions such as a low ratio of the anode to cathode capacity (N/P < 2), and the lean electrolyte of < 3 g (Ah)$^{-1}$ at fast charging rates is very challenging. The in-depth understanding of the SEI formation mechanism and electrode stability during the charge/discharge process by the advanced state-of-art characterization tools is essential [51, 84, 89, 101, 153-175]. The synchrotron X-ray analytical techniques, cryogenic electron microscopy, XPS, time of flight secondary ion mass spectrometry (TOF-SIMS), Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR), atomic force microscopy (AFM), transmission electron microscopy (TEM), cryo-TEM, enhanced Raman spectroscopy, auger electron spectroscopy (AES) are being widely deployed in better understanding the lithium metal batteries. Besides, simulation techniques [40-44, 176-179] such as the finite
Peled model, Mosaic model, coulombic interaction mechanism model, element method, phase field theory, molecular dynamics, first-principle density functional theory are also equally investigated.

Various methods have been established for reviving the lithium metal anode. Among them, the development of solid-state electrolyte is considered a promising strategy to replace flammable liquid electrolyte, but is still in its infancy period, owing to its challenges such as low li-ion conductivity and interface instabilities. The development of Li host is considered a significant technique to provide sufficient space for Li expansion issues and confine the Li dendrites. However, the use of excess Li host increase the total mass of the battery, and further modifications such as optimization of porosity, and lithiophilic coating are required to suppress the Li dendrite growth. The formation of in-situ artificial solid electrolyte interphase can suppress the Li dendrite growth but consume both Li and electrolyte during the battery operation. Recently, the engineering the ex-situ artificial SEI by physical and chemical deposition method has attracted great attention in addressing the inherent issues of lithium metal anode. The physical deposition on the surface of Li metal with sufficient control on the distribution, thickness, and mechanical/electrochemical stability can render the uniform Li-ion flux and regulates the uniform Li deposition. Besides physical coating, interlayer between the lithium metal and liquid electrolyte are also widely investigated in protecting the lithium metal anode. Such interlayers are supposed to have a sufficient Li-ion diffusion coefficient. The chemical surface treatment of lithium could provide the high anchorage affinity of the formed SEI with Li metal anode.

Although various strategies of developing the ex-situ ASEI has been investigated, an ideal SEI with high Young’s modulus, excellent Li-ion conductivity, electrochemical &
mechanical stability, high flexibility, high mechanical stability, or strong anchorage affinity to the lithium metal can only revive the lithium metal for significant improvement in LMBs. The poor electronic conductivity of ASEI also inhibits the flow of electrons, resulting in the suppression of Li dendrite growth. Recently, mixed ionic conductors are also widely used as ASEI where the synergetic effect of both Li-ion conductors and electronic conductors play a significant role in uniform Li deposition. The electronic conductors such as Cu and Ti in SEI has the ability of surface storage or grain boundary capture ability. Other electronic conductors such as Sn, Zn, Ag, and Au can store Li by alloy formation. The ionic conductors allow sufficient Li-ion diffusion bath for capturing the Li-ions. The development of ASEI with high flexibility can buffer the volume expansion issues during Li plating/stripping cycles.

5.2 Conclusions

In the first project, reduced WO$_3$ (R-WO$_3$) was successfully prepared by the incorporation of urea in pristine WO$_3$ (P-WO$_3$) and annealing at 500° C for two hours under the N$_2$ environment. The R-WO$_3$ anode material facilitates faster electron-transfer kinetics and leads to improved electrochemical battery performance. The outcome reveals the unique self-recovery of the specific capacity with~ 193%, 179%, and 166% in R-WO$_3$ compared to the preceding discharge specific capacity at 15th, 36th, and 45th cycles. The observed discharge/charge specific capacity was ~ 521/457, ~538/536, and ~ 555/555 mAh g$^{-1}$ at those respective cycles. R-WO$_3$ exhibits the first discharge capacity of ~ 959 mAh g$^{-1}$ and still maintains as high as 558 mAh g$^{-1}$ at the 100th cycle. In contrast, P-WO$_3$ demonstrated a quick discharge capacity loss from 670 mAh g$^{-1}$ at the 1st cycle to 236 mAh g-1 at the 100th cycle. Besides, at different current density rates starting from 35 mA g$^{-1}$ to 280 mA...
g\textsuperscript{-1} and back to 35 mA g\textsuperscript{-1}, R-WO\textsubscript{3} showed a superior rate capability of \(\sim 94\%\) when the capacity at 22\textsuperscript{nd} was compared to the capacity at the 2\textsuperscript{nd} cycle. In contrast, P-WO\textsubscript{3} showed a rate capability of only \(\sim 88\%\). The excellent electrochemical reversibility and cycling stability in R-WO\textsubscript{3} can be attributed to the higher surface area (smaller particle size), lower charge transfer resistance (lower bandgap), and oxygen vacancies in R-WO\textsubscript{3} compared to P-WO\textsubscript{3}. Moreover, the presence of defects reactivates with cycling to obtain the self-recovery of capacity.

In the second project, the ex-situ SEI was developed successfully by the physical deposition method. Here, in this project, we used R-F sputtering to deposit the ultrathin bilayer of graphite and SiO\textsubscript{2} on top of the lithium metal anode. The symmetrical cell test and full cell test were compared between the bare Li anode and graphite-SiO\textsubscript{2} deposited Li anode. At a current density of 0.5 mA cm\textsuperscript{-2}, the bare Li symmetrical cell dies at \(\sim 350\) hours and shows the dendritic Li deposition. In contrast, the graphite-SiO\textsubscript{2} Li symmetrical lasts for more than 1200 hours and exhibited dendrite-free uniform Li deposition at a current density of 0.5 mA cm\textsuperscript{-2}. Besides, in full cell test, the bare Li anode showed 1\textsuperscript{st} CE of \(\sim 86\%\) (NMC cathode) and \(\sim 84\%\) (LTO cathode). The bare Li/NMC full cell showed capacity retention of \(\sim 50\%\) at the 150\textsuperscript{th} cycle (\(\sim 54\) mAh g\textsuperscript{-1}) and bare Li/LTO full cell showed capacity retention of \(\sim 80\%\) at the 400\textsuperscript{th} cycle (charge capacity of \(\sim 100\) mAh g\textsuperscript{-1}). In contrast, graphite-SiO\textsubscript{2} Li/NMC full cell showed capacity retention of \(\sim 74\%\) at the 150\textsuperscript{th} cycle (\(\sim 125\) mAh g\textsuperscript{-1}) and graphite-SiO\textsubscript{2} Li/LTO full cell showed capacity retention of \(\sim 88\%\) at the 400\textsuperscript{th} cycle (charge capacity of \(\sim 132\) mAh g\textsuperscript{-1}). Moreover, in the rate capability test under different current rates, the bare Li/NMC full cell showed capacity retention of \(\sim 83\%\) at the 28\textsuperscript{th} cycle and the bare Li/LTO full cell showed capacity retention of \(\sim 92\%\) at the 53\textsuperscript{rd}
cycle. In contrast, in the rate capability test under different current rates, the graphite-SiO$_2$ Li/NMC full cell showed capacity retention of $\sim 96\%$ at the 28$^{th}$ cycle and the graphite-SiO$_2$ Li/LTO full cell showed capacity retention of $\sim 97\%$ at the 53$^{rd}$ cycle. This significant outstanding electrochemical performance with the use of \textit{ex-situ} based graphite-SiO$_2$ bilayer SEI can be attributed to the synergetic effect of graphite and SiO$_2$. The graphite is electronically conductivity which acts as a bridge to electrically connect the plated Li with the bulk Li electrode. Besides, the graphite offers flexibility to afford the volume expansion of Li during plating/stripping cycles. Similarly, SiO$_2$ provides sufficient Li-ion conductivity and prevents the electron flow. Besides, SiO$_2$ has a higher electrolyte affinity for fast Li-ion transport and high enough Young’s modulus to suppress the growth of Li dendrites. Moreover, the amorphous SiO$_2$ stores' excess Li by the formation of Li-Si alloy.

In the third project, the \textit{ex-situ} SEI was developed successfully by the chemical deposition method. Here, in this project, we used a drop cast method to generate the artificial SEI on top of the lithium metal anode. Tin fluoride (SnF$_2$) containing electrolyte was drop-casted on the surface of lithium metal. The replacement reaction occurs between the hyperactive lithium metal and SnF$_2$ to form LiF, electrochemically active Sn, and Li-Sn alloy as SEI components. At a current density of 0.5 mA cm$^{-2}$, the bare Li symmetrical cell dies at $\sim$ 350 hours and shows the dendritic Li deposition. In contrast, the artificially fluorinated hybrid SEI with 25 µm thickness (AFH-25) Li symmetrical lasts for more than 2300 hours and exhibited dendrite-free uniform Li deposition at a current density of 0.5 mA cm$^{-2}$.

Besides, in the full cell test, the bare Li/NMC full cell showed 1$^{st}$ CE of $\sim 81\%$ but AFH-25 Li/NMC showed 1$^{st}$ CE of 83%. The bare Li/NMC full cell showed capacity retention of $\sim 60\%$ at the 150$^{th}$ cycle ($\sim$79 mAh g$^{-1}$). In contrast, AH-25 Li/NMC full cell showed
capacity retention of ~ 80% at the 150\textsuperscript{th} cycle (~105 mAh g\textsuperscript{-1}). Moreover, in the rate capability test under different current rates, the AFH-25 Li/NMC full cell showed excellent capacity retention compared to the bare Li/NMC full cell. At a higher rate of 5C, AFH-25/NMC full cell exhibited a specific charge/discharge capacity of 100.54/100.44 mAh g\textsuperscript{-1} which significantly higher than that of bare Li/NMC full cell (85.29/84.60 mAh g\textsuperscript{-1}). The outstanding electrochemical cycling performance and dendrite free Li deposition was achieved with AFH-25 SEI Li anode. This can be attributed to the synergetic effect of SEI components (LiF, Sn Sn-Li alloy). The higher electrolyte affinity, the higher Young’s modulus, and the higher transference number of the AFH-25 SEI facilitate fast Li-ion transport and suppression of Li dendrite growth. The higher Li-ionic conductivity and poor electronic conductivity of LiF, the ability of electrochemically active Sn to store Li by alloy formation, and the ability of Li-Sn alloy to stabilize the interface contributed to the stabilized SEI, dendrite-free Li deposition, and improved battery performance.

5.3 Future Work

For project 1, the technique of creating oxygen vacancies that we used in WO\textsubscript{3} to improve the charge transport dynamics.

(1) This technique can also be applied to other transitional metal oxides such as MoO\textsubscript{3} which has higher theoretical capacity. This will help to improve the reversibility and cycling stability of high capacity anode materials.

(2) The TMOs can be annealed under vacuum without the incorporation of chemicals to study the oxygen vacancy. This will lower the preparation cost.

(3) The materials which can reduce into H\textsubscript{2} can be incorporated with TMOs which ultimately reduces the TMOs.
For projects 2 and 3, the technique of developing SEI by physical deposition and chemical deposition was used to modify the lithium metal surface.

(1) The use of similar organic/inorganic bilayer can be applied using different organic and inorganic materials. The organic layer provides the flexibility and the inorganic layer can provide the high Young’s modulus.

(2) The heterogeneous structure bilayer or trilayer with different properties such as Li-ion conductivity and electronic property can also be utilized to benefit from the synergetic effect of each layer.

(3) The various gas or solution which can react with lithium can be used to develop the SEI. The different sources of gases such as N₂, carbon, and fluorine can be utilized to pretreat the lithium metal surface.

(4) The elements similar to Sn which can make an alloy with Li can be used to pretreat the lithium metal.

(5) The development of such ex-situ SEI can also be applied to the top of 3D metal-based, carbon-based, and other polymer-based Li hosts.

(6) Engineering of the interfacial layer similar to our approach could provide a pathway for the rapid integration of SSE in lithium metal batteries. The development of an artificial layer not only protects the lithium metal but also improve the performance of solid-state electrolyte.
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